OCCASIONAL PAPERS THE MUSEUM TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

NUMBER 133

27 APRIL 1990

THE SYSTEMATIC STATUS OF TADARIDA BRASILIENSIS CYNOCEPHALA AND ANTILLEAN MEMBERS OF THE TADARIDA BRASILIENSIS GROUP, WITH COMMENTS ON THE GENERIC NAME RHIZOMOPS LEGENDRE.

ROBERT D. OWEN, RONALD K. CHESSER, AND DILFORD C. CARTER

The close relationship of members of the brasiliensis group of the free-tailed bat genus Tadarida long has been recognized. H. Allen (1864) made neither specific nor subspecific distinctions between the named taxa of the group. Later, when he (1893) revised his monograph of North American bats, Allen included Nyctinomus mexicanus, N. murinus, and Nycticea cynocephala in the synonomy of Nyctinomus brasiliensis. Also included in N. brasiliensis were bats from St. Kitts Island, British West Indies, later referred to N. antillularum Miller. Allen noted that specimens of N. brasiliensis from California possibly deserved variety status.

Bangs (1898), in applying the name Nyctinomus cynocephalus to Austroriparian free-tails, contended that the chances of N. brasiliensis and N. cynocephalus being the same were too remote to consider. However, Miller and Rehn (1901) regarded populations in California and the southeastern United States as subspecies (N. b. californicus and N. b. cynocephalus) of N. brasiliensis. Nyctinomus mohavensis Merriam (= T. mexicana), which they must not have seen, was given specific rank. G. M. Allen (1908) did not regard mainland populations of the group as warranting specific recognition, but he considered N. antillularum a distinct species. Later, however, Allen (1911) included in N. brasiliensis the subspecies N. b. antillularum, as well as N. b. musculus and N. b. bahamensis.

Lyon (1914) discussed the use of the name Tadarida in place of Nyctinomus, and subsequent authors have followed his opinion. Miller (1924) regarded the six named taxa of the group as separate species of Tadarida. Shamel (1931) recognized in the brasiliensis group the six species listed by Miller, included T. murina (omitted by Miller), and named two additional species, T. intermedia and T. constanzae. This arrangement was followed until Schwartz (1955) synonomized the nine species of Shamel on the basis that no structural differences distinguished one from any of the others, but he recognized each of the nine as subspecies of T. brasiliensis. Freeman (1981), in her morphometric comparison of 78 molossid species, followed Schwartz (1955) in taxonomic assignments within T. brasiliensis, considering brasiliensis to include the mainland races mexicana and cynocephala, as well as the Antillean populations.

A substantial body of evidence (Carter, 1962) led us to suspect that the taxonomic status of T. b. cynocephala deserved further evaluation. This study was undertaken, therefore, to examine the relationship of T. b. cynocephala to the species T. brasiliensis, with particular reference to two geographically adjacent subspecies, T. b. mexicana and T. b. muscula.

COMMENT ON RHIZOMOPS LEGENDRE

In his thorough odontological analysis of *Tadarida* and related genera, Legendre (1984) proposed the generic name *Rhizomops*, comprised only of *R. brasiliensis* and presumably including all currently recognized subspecies. Although his methods were in a general way phylogenetically oriented, his recognition of *Rhizomops* was based entirely on plesiomorphic characteristics (see his figure 15 for summary of pertinent character states). Therefore, we view his recognition of generic status for the *brasiliensis* group to be unsupported. It is possible, of course, that synapomophies will be found eventually to resolve the basal fork in Legendre's figure 15, and we would point out that *Rhizomops* remains as an available name for the bats of the *brasiliensis* group should their generic status be supported appropriately. At the present time, however, we retain the use of *Tadarida* as the generic name for the *brasiliensis* group.

METHODS

Protein Electrophoretic Analysis

Tissue homogenate of heart and kidney was prepared from individual bats following the methods of Svoboda et al. (1985). Specimens examined for electrophoretic variation were from the following groups: T. b. mexicana-Waco, Texas (14), western Texas (3), western Oklahoma (2); T. b. cynocephala-Florida (14), North Carolina (14), Louisiana (12); T. b. muscula-Cuba (20); T. b. murina-Jamaica (14); T. b. antillularum-Dominica (18). Preliminary analysis of protein electrophoretic patterns indicated a fixed allelic difference between eastern and western mainland populations based on the esterase-2 locus. Therefore, all available specimens of T. b. cynocephala and from Antillean populations were compared to representative specimens of T. b. mexicana based upon this locus. Alleles were coded numerically with the "100" allele denoting the common allele for western (T. b. mexicana) populations, and all other alleles designated as to their mobility relative to the "100" allele.

Morphometric Analyses

A total of 1000 specimens of *T. b. cynocephala* (375), *T. b.* mexicana (584), and *T. b. brasiliensis* (41) was examined, and a maximum of 20 different skin and skull measurements for each specimen was taken (Carter, 1962). Those measurements used in this paper are skull length (greatest length of skull from occiput to and including the incisors); zygomatic breadth (greatest transverse dimension from outside borders of zygomatic arches); forearm (greatest distance from back of elbow to anteriormost border of wrist with wing folded); and fifth metacarpal (greatest linear dimension of that bone).

Bats were aged according to four classes: 0, adult bats with no macroscopically obvious toothwear; I, with obvious toothwear but less that one-third of the upper canines worn away; II, one-third or more but less than two-thirds of the canines worn away; III, two-thirds or more of the canines worn away. Care was taken to avoid misclassifying a bat with broken canines by checking the cheekteeth for obvious toothwear. The age classes are purely arbitrary and the time periods represented are not known. It is assumed, although without evidence other than that some bats seem relatively long-lived for small mammals (Cockrum, 1956; Hall *et al.*, 1957; Keen and Hitchcock, 1980), that each age class represents at least a three- to five-year period.

The effects of locality, sex, age class, and taxon on the observed variation of the variates were estimated with analysis of variance (ANOVA). The 0.01 level of probability (that the observations are due to randomness) was selected as the standard level of significance.

RESULTS

Protein Electrophoretic Analysis

Three alleles (100, 85, and 80) of the esterase-2 locus were observed over all *Tadarida* specimens examined. Genotypes for each specimen and allele frequencies for each population are presented in Table 1. All specimens of *T. b. mexicana* except one possessed the 100 allelle in some combination. All *T. b. cynocephala* possessed the 80 allelle, with two individuals from North Carolina and one from Louisiana being heterozygous with the 100 allele (100/80). All individuals from Cuba and Dominica were homozygous for the 80 allele. Another allele (85) was observed in the Jamaica and the Waco, Texas, populations. In Waco, a single specimen was homozygous for this allele (85/85) and three were heterozygotes (100/85). In contrast, half the Jamaican specimens were homozygous for the allele, with the remainder split about evenly between homozygous for 80 and heterozygotes of the two alleles.

Morphometric Analyses

Tadarida brasiliensis cynocephala can be distinguished from T. b. mexicana (and T. b. brasiliensis) based on skull length or zygomatic breadth (Tables 2 and 3). Carter (1962) discussed other aspects of morphology that serve to separate these two similar taxa, but all appear to be related to a general size factor. For additional information concerning morphometric relationships of T. b. cynocephala and T. b. mexicana populations, see Tables 4-12.

4

OWEN ET AL.-SYSTEMATIC STATUS OF TADARIDA

TABLE 1. — Specimens examined, and electrophoretic mobility of the esterase-2 locus. Specimen numbers listed refer to the Texas Tech University frozen tissue (TK) catalog, except LJB numbers (donated by the Museum of Zoology, Louisiana State University). Waco specimens marked with an asterisk were taken on 3 April; all other Waco specimens were collected in winter (30 December-26 February). Specimens with only one allele listed were homozygous for that allele. Frequencies are presented as percentages for the 100, 85, and 80 alleles, respectively, for each population sample. Question mark indicates uncertainty in scoring that individual.

| | | Tadarida brasilie | nsis mexicana | | |
|---------|--------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| Waco, | Texas | Western | Texas | Western Okla | ahoma |
| 21826 | 100 | 26303 | 100 | 24870 | 100 |
| 21827 | 100 | 26304 | 100 | 24902 | 100 |
| 21828 | 100 | 26540 | 100 | | |
| 21829 | 100/85 | | | (100/0/ | 0) |
| 21830 | 85(?) | (100/0/ | /0) | | |
| 21831 | 100 | | | | |
| *26599 | 100/85 | | | | |
| *26600 | 100/85 | | | | |
| 26601 | 100 | | | | |
| 26602 | 100 | | | | |
| 26603 | 100 | | | | |
| 26604 | 100 | | | | |
| 26605 | 100 | | | | |
| 26606 | 100 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| (86/14) | (0) | | | | |
| | | Tadarida brasilien | sis cynocepha | la | |
| Florida | | North Ca | rolina | Lou | isiana |
| 26635 | 80 | 21811 | 80 | 24825 | 80 |
| 26636 | 80 | 21812 | 80 | 24826 | 80 |
| 26637 | 80 | 21814 | 80 | 24827 | 80 |
| 26638 | 80 | 21815 | 100/80 | 24828 | 80 |
| 26639 | 80 | 21816 | 80 | 24829 | 80 |
| 26640 | 80 | 21817 | 80 | 24830 | 80 |
| 26641 | 80 | 21818 | 80 | 24831 | 80 |
| 26642 | 80 | 21819 | 100/80 | 24832 | 80 |
| 26643 | 80 | 21820 | 80 | LJB2 | 100 80 |
| 26645 | 80 | 21821 | 80 | L JB 2 | 103 100/80 |
| 26646 | 80 | 21822 | 80 | L JB 2 | 105 80 |
| 26647 | 80 | 21823 | 80 | LJB2 | 113 80 |
| 26648 | 80 | 21824 | 80 | | |
| 26649 | 80 | 21825 | 80 | (4/0/96 |) |
| (0/0/10 | 00) | (7/0/93 | 3) | | |

| | TABLE 1.—Continued. | |
|----------|--|------------|
| | Tadarida brasiliensis muscula, Cuba | 1 |
| 32012 80 | 32065 80 | 32083 80 |
| 32059 80 | 32066 80 | 32092 80 |
| 32060 80 | 32067 80 | 32093 80 |
| 32061 80 | 32068 80 | 32094 80 |
| 32062 80 | 32069 80 | 32095 80 |
| 32063 80 | 32070 80 | 32096 80 |
| 32064 80 | 32071 80 | |
| | | (0/0/100) |
| | Tadarida brasiliensis murina, Jamaio | ca |
| 9232 85 | 9241 85 | 9247 80 |
| 9234 80 | 9242 80 | 9248 85/80 |
| 9235 85 | 9244 85/80 | 9250 85 |
| 9236 85 | 9245 85 | 26745 80 |
| 9239 85 | 9246 85/80 | |
| | | (0/61/39) |
| T | adarida brasiliensis antillularum, Dom | inica |
| 15504 80 | 15557 80 | 15563 80 |
| 15552 80 | 15558 80 | 15564 80 |
| 15553 80 | 15559 80 | 15565 80 |
| 15554 80 | 15560 80 | 15566 80 |
| 15555 80 | 15561 80 | 15567 80 |
| 15556 80 | 15562 80 | 15568 80 |
| | | (0/0/100) |
| | | |

DISCUSSION

Carter (1962) detailed a number of reasons for considering cynocephala to be a species separate from brasiliensis and from mexicana, which he also regarded as specifically distinct from brasiliensis. The morphometric data, some of which are compared in Tables 2 and 3, indicate that significant size differences exist between T. b. cynocephala and T. b. mexicana, with cynocephala being larger in the two crainal variates considered. In addition to morphometric data, Carter's (1962) evidence included physiological, distributional, ecological, and behavioral attributes of the two taxa. These will not be reiterated here, other than to point out that they comprised a rather compelling body of evidence for specific recognition of cynocephala.

Electrophoretic evidence presented herein indicates that there is an apparent allelic difference that effectively separates T. b. mexicana from T. b. cynocephala and the Antillean populations. The three occurrences of the mexicana (100) esterase-2 allele in

| Subspecies | Sex | N | Min. | Max. | x | 8 | CV |
|--------------------|------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| T. b. cynocephala | ď | 128 | 16.7 | 18.4 | 17.48 | .307 | 1.75 |
| T. b. mexicana | ď | 173 | 16.2 | 17.7 | 16.94 | .308 | 1.81 |
| T. b. brasiliensis | 20 | 41 | 16.1 | 17.8 | 17.28 | .400 | 2.31 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Subspecies | 2 | | 10.6 | 3 | 104 | | <.001 |
| T. b. cynocephala | ę | 152 | 16.1 | 18.2 | 17.16 | .306 | 1.78 |
| T. b. mexicana | Ŷ | 164 | 16.1 | 17.4 | 16.72 | .274 | 1.63 |
| T. b. brasiliensis | Ŷ٥ | 41 | 16.1 | 17.8 | 17.28 | .400 | 2.31 |
| Main Effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Subspecies | 2 | | 9.99 | | 107 | | <.001 |

TABLE 2.—Comparison of measurement data for skull length of T. b. cynocephala, T. b. mexicana, and T. b. brasiliensis.

TABLE 3.—Comparison of measurement data for zygomatic breadth of T. b. cynocephala, T. b. mexicana, and T. b. brasiliensis.

| Subspecies | Sex | N | Min. | Max. | x | s | CV |
|--------------------|------|-----|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| T. b. cynocephala | ď | 123 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 10.27 | .211 | 2.05 |
| T. b. mexicana | ď | 162 | 9.3 | 10.4 | 9.78 | .186 | 1.90 |
| T. b. brasiliensis | ¥0" | 32 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 9.95 | .282 | 2.83 |
| Main effect d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р | |
| Subspecies | 2 | | 8.36 | | 192 | | <.001 |
| T. b. cynocephala | ę | 144 | 9.6 | 10.6 | 10.10 | .189 | 1.87 |
| T. b. mexicana | Ŷ | 104 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 9.70 | .159 | 1.64 |
| T. b. brasiliensis | \$ď | 32 | 9.1 | 10.5 | 9.95 | .282 | 2.83 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Subspecies | 2 | | 4.64 | | 125 | | <.001 |

specimens of cynocephala sampled may or may not represent genetic introgression from populations of mexicana. It is important also to note that the cynocephala (80) allele was not found either in our mexicana samples (Table 1), or in those of Svoboda et al. (1985), which included 164 specimens of T. b. mexicana (our 80 allele is slower than any of the three esterase-2 alleles reported in their paper).

Several lines of evidence appear to point to the specific status of *cynocephala*, perhaps with the inclusion of the Antillean populations: 1) the evidence detailed by Carter (1962), including the

| Locality | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | 8 | CV |
|---------------------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|------|------|
| | 1 - 1 | | Males | | | | |
| Small samples, | | | | | | | |
| Georgia-Florida | 15 | 17.0 | 17.8 | 17.32 | .177 | .231 | 1.33 |
| Glennville, Georgia | 14 | 17.0 | 18.1 | 17.46 | .252 | .313 | 1.79 |
| Butler, Alabama | 11 | 17.2 | 17.8 | 17.49 | .216 | .226 | 1.29 |
| Brookhaven, | | | | | | | |
| Mississippi | 14 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 17.61 | .235 | .292 | 1.65 |
| Palestine, Texas | 11 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 17.24 | .301 | .315 | 1.82 |
| Pineland, Texas | 77 | 16.7 | 18.4 | 17.51 | .093 | .309 | 1.76 |
| Main effect | d.f | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 5 | | 0.27 | 2 | 3.12 | | .02 |
| | | | Females | | | | |
| Small samples, | | | | | | | |
| Georgia-Florida | 22 | 16.7 | 17.8 | 17.22 | .196 | .325 | 1.88 |
| Ocheesee, Florida | 24 | 16.8 | 17.6 | 17.21 | .142 | .249 | 1.44 |
| Colquitt, Georgia | 30 | 16.5 | 17.7 | 17.12 | .153 | .303 | 1.76 |
| Butler, Alabama | 18 | 16.9 | 17.6 | 17.20 | .120 | .176 | 1.02 |
| Pineland, Texas | 58 | 16.1 | 18.2 | 17.14 | .123 | .352 | 2.05 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 4 | | 0.05 | 7 | 0.60 | | >.20 |
| Sexes | 1 | | 6.90 | 0 | 73.17 | | <.01 |

TABLE 4. - Measurement data for skull length of T. b. cynocephala.

TABLE 5.—Measurement data for skull length of T. b. cynocephala collected at Pineland, Texas, 13 April 1961.

| Age class | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | 8 | CV |
|-------------|------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|------|------|
| | - | 1 | Males | | | | |
| 0 | 37 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 17.38 | .138 | .310 | 1.78 |
| I | 26 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 17.63 | .146 | .268 | 1.52 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Age class | 1 | | 0.94 | 0 | 10.90 | | <.01 |
| | | | Females | | | | |
| 0 | 23 | 16.9 | 18.2 | 17.19 | .167 | .285 | 1.65 |
| I | 20 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 17.00 | .219 | .345 | 2.01 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | 5 | F | | Р |
| Age class | 1 | | 0.39 | 0 | 3.88 | | .11 |

morphometric data presented herein, concerning the differences between cynocephala and the other mainland taxa (particularly

OWEN ET AL.-SYSTEMATIC STATUS OF TADARIDA

| Locality | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | s | CV |
|---------------------|------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|------|------|
| | | | Males | | | - | |
| Small samples, | | | | | | | |
| Georgia-Florida | 12 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 10.11 | .183 | .204 | 2.01 |
| Glennville, Georgia | 14 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.25 | .122 | .152 | 1.48 |
| Butler, Alabama | 11 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 10.27 | .181 | .190 | 1.85 |
| Palestine, Texas | 9 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 10.13 | .237 | .224 | 2.21 |
| Pineland, Texas | 77 | 9.7 | 10.8 | 10.31 | .065 | .213 | 2.06 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 4 | | 0.15 | 2 | 3.69 | | .01 |
| | | | Females | | | | |
| Small samples, | | | | | | | |
| Georgia-Florida | 17 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 10.13 | .165 | .234 | 2.30 |
| Ocheesee, Florida | 24 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 10.09 | .076 | .135 | 1.31 |
| Colquitt, Georgia | 30 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 10.15 | .079 | .157 | 1.54 |
| Butler, Alabama | 15 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 10.13 | .142 | .185 | 1.82 |
| Pineland, Texas | 58 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 10.04 | .071 | .204 | 2.03 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 4 | | 0.07 | 2 | 2.08 | | .15 |
| ; Sexes | 1 | | 2.06 | 0 | 51.50 | | <.01 |

TABLE 6. - Measurement data for zygomatic breadth of T. b. cynocephala.

TABLE 7. -- Measurement data for forearm of T. b. cynocephala.

| Locality | Sex | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | s | CV |
|-------------------------|------|----|------|------|-------|-----------------|-------|------|
| Merrite Island Florida | 2ď | 32 | 40.4 | 43.8 | 41 69 | 401 | 897 | 1 08 |
| Panacea, Florida | ₽ď | 28 | 40.2 | 43.2 | 41.86 | .526 | 1.005 | 2 40 |
| Ocheesee, Florida | ₽ď | 48 | 40.2 | 43.8 | 42.22 | .319 | .826 | 1.95 |
| Glennville, Georgia | 20 | 19 | 40.2 | 43.7 | 42.01 | .627 | .952 | 2.26 |
| Colquitt, Georgia | ₽ď | 32 | 40.4 | 44.3 | 41.97 | .469 | .969 | 2.30 |
| Butler, Alabama | Ŷď | 29 | 41.1 | 44.1 | 42.34 | .453 | .885 | 2.09 |
| Brookhaven, Mississippi | ₽ď | 22 | 40.6 | 43.4 | 41.84 | .484 | .802 | 1.91 |
| Palestine, Texas | ď | 12 | 41.0 | 44.2 | 42.92 | .919 | 1.025 | 2.38 |
| Pineland, Texas | ď | 76 | 40.0 | 44.8 | 42.30 | .293 | .956 | 2.26 |
| Pineland, Texas | Ŷ | 64 | 39.5 | 43.9 | 42.17 | .327 | .982 | 2.32 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | M | IS | | F | | P |
| Localities | 9 | | 2.8 | 300 | 3 | .25 | | <.01 |
| Sexes (Pineland) | 1 | | 0.6 | 510 | 0. | .650 | : | >.20 |

mexicana); 2) the wide geographic separation of the southernmost Antillean populations (St. Lucia-Jones and Phillips, 1970;

| Locality | | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | s | CV |
|-------------------------|------|-----|------|------|-------|-----------------|-------|------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Merrits Island, Florida | | 45 | 23.0 | 25.4 | 24.38 | .250 | .624 | 2.55 |
| Panacea, Florida | | 28 | 23.8 | 26.0 | 24.86 | .296 | .566 | 2.27 |
| Ocheesee, Florida | | 48 | 23.1 | 27.2 | 25.05 | .314 | .814 | 3.24 |
| Glennville, Georgia | | 19 | 23.6 | 26.4 | 24.94 | .515 | .781 | 3.13 |
| Colquitt, Georgia | | 32 | 22.4 | 26.8 | 24.52 | .500 | 1.034 | 4.21 |
| Butler, Alabama | | 29 | 23.2 | 26.6 | 24.79 | .470 | .916 | 3.69 |
| Brookhaven, Missippi | | 22 | 23.0 | 26.4 | 24.57 | .481 | .800 | 3.25 |
| Palestine, Texas | | 12 | 23.6 | 27.5 | 25.11 | .913 | 1.019 | 4.05 |
| Pineland, Texas | | 140 | 22.4 | 26.5 | 24.82 | .163 | .748 | 3.01 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | M | IS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 8 | | 2.0 | 000 | 3 | .22 | | <.01 |
| Sexes (Pineland) | 1 | | 0.5 | 560 | 1 | .00 | | >.20 |

TABLE 8.—Measurement data for fifth metacarpal of T. b. cynocephala. Males and females present in all samples.

TABLE 9.—Geographic distribution of the sample means for the forearm and fifth metacarpal of T. b. cynocephala. Grand mean for forearm is 42.12; for fifth metacarpal, 24.77.

| | Forearm | Fifth Metacarpal | | | | |
|----------------|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| x | Locality (Year) | x | Locality (Year) | | | |
| 41.62 | Merrits Island, Florida (1923) | 24.38 | Merrits Island, Florida (1923) | | | |
| 41.84 | Brookhaven, Mississippi (1937) | 24.32 | Colquitt, Georgia (1959) | | | |
| 41.86 | Panacea, Florida (1958) | 24.57 | Brookhaven, Mississippi (1937) | | | |
| 41.97 | Colquitt, Georgia (1959) | 24.79 | Butler, Alabama (1959) | | | |
| 42.01 42.17 | Glennville, Georgia (1959) Pineland, Texas (22) (1958-61) | 24.82 | Pineland, Texas (d'd' + 99) (1958-61) | | | |
| 42.22 | Ocheesee, Florida (1959) | 24.86 | Panacea, Florida (1958) | | | |
| 42.30 | Pineland, Texas (o o') (1958-61) | 24.94 | Glennville, Georgia (1959) | | | |
| 42.34 | Butler, Alabama (1959) | 25.05 | Ocheesee, Florida (1959) | | | |
| 42.92 | Palestine, Texas (1959) | 25.11 | Palestine, Texas (1959) | | | |

Varona, 1974; Baker and Genoways, 1978; Jones, 1990) from those nearest on the South American mainland (western Venezuela—Koopman, 1982); and 3) the populational differences in the esterase-2 protein locus, presented herein. Based on this evidence, we think it likely that *T. b. cynocephala* and the Antillean populations are isolated reproductively from

OWEN ET AL.-SYSTEMATIC STATUS OF TADARIDA

| Locality | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | s | CV |
|----------------------|------|------|---------|-------|-----------------|------|------|
| | | | Males | - | | | - |
| Nogales, Arizona | 14 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 16.96 | .247 | .307 | 1.81 |
| Arizona | 31 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 16.94 | .132 | .268 | 1.58 |
| Grimes Co., Texas | 9 | 16.4 | 17.7 | 17.23 | .419 | .375 | 2.18 |
| Durango, México | 21 | 16.4 | 17.6 | 17.06 | .196 | .317 | 1.86 |
| Sinaloa, México | 26 | 16.2 | 17.5 | 16.86 | .160 | .294 | 1.74 |
| Michoacán, México | 19 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 16.97 | .177 | .268 | 1.58 |
| Chiapas, México | 42 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 16.89 | .135 | .327 | 1.94 |
| Chimaltinago, | | | | | | | |
| Guatemala | 11 | 16.5 | 17.2 | 16.81 | .202 | .212 | 1.26 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | 5 | F | | Р |
| Localities | 7 | | 0.22 | 4 | 2.49 | | .035 |
| | | | Females | | | | |
| Cochise Co., Arizona | 9 | 16.5 | 17.2 | 16.85 | .265 | .237 | 1.41 |
| Pima Co., Arizona | 23 | 16.2 | 17.0 | 16.62 | .130 | .222 | 1.34 |
| Arizona | 33 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 16.69 | .122 | .254 | 1.52 |
| Grimes Co., Texas | 9 | 16.3 | 17.4 | 16.87 | .479 | .430 | 2.55 |
| Durango, México | 26 | 16.2 | 17.4 | 16.75 | .166 | .304 | 1.81 |
| Sinaloa, México | 22 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 16.59 | .157 | .261 | 1.57 |
| Michoacán, México | 23 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 16.76 | .161 | .275 | 1.64 |
| Chiapas, México | 19 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 16.77 | .133 | .202 | 1.20 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | 5 | F | | Р |
| Localities | 7 | | 0.16 | i0 · | 2.23 | | .06 |
| Sexes | 1 | | 4.26 | 0 | 49.71 | | <.01 |

TABLE 10. - Measurement data for skull length of T. b. mexicana.

other taxa of T. brasiliensis. Additionally, we note the work of previous authors (particularly Shamel, 1931) who pointed out that considerable external and morphometric differences serve to distinguish T. b. cynocephala from Antillean populations.

Notwithstanding these conclusions, we do not believe that sufficient evidence is yet available concerning the species limits of cynocephala with respect to either the adjacent mainland or insular populations. Resolution of this issue is critical not only to our understanding of the evolution and biogeography of the *T.* brasiliensis group, but also to the nomenclature. Assuming that cynocephala is specifically distinct from mexicana, then the name brasiliensis probably would not be applicable to the cynocephala bats. If these prove also to be specifically distinct from Antillean bats, then the name cynocephala Le Conte, 1831, is certainly applicable at the specific level. If, on the other hand, cynocephala

| Locality | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | 8 | CV |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|------|-----------------|------|------|
| | | | Males | - | | | |
| Sta. Cruz Co., Arizona | 13 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 9.71 | .152 | .180 | 1.85 |
| Arizona | 31 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 9.75 | .094 | .190 | 1.95 |
| Grimes Co., Texas | 8 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 9.92 | .317 | .256 | 2.58 |
| Durango, México | 21 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 9.87 | .131 | .211 | 2.14 |
| Sinaloa, México | 24 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 9.75 | .102 | .179 | 1.84 |
| Michoacán, Mexico | 17 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 9.80 | .093 | .132 | 1.35 |
| Chiapas, México | 37 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 9.78 | .072 | .161 | 1.65 |
| Guatemala | 11 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 9.74 | .183 | .192 | 1.97 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 7 | | 0.062 | 7 | 2.00 | | .10 |
| | | | Females | | | | |
| Pima Co., Arizona | 17 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 9.68 | .108 | .152 | 1.57 |
| Grimes Co., Texas | 7 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 9.75 | .352 | .251 | 2.57 |
| Durango, México | 25 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 9.69 | .093 | .167 | 1.72 |
| Sinaloa, México | 17 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 9.65 | .088 | .125 | 1.30 |
| Michoacán, México | 21 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 9.74 | .094 | .151 | 1.55 |
| Chiapas, México | 17 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 9.71 | .109 | .155 | 1.60 |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р |
| Localities | 5 | | 0.02 | 0 | 0.775 | | >.20 |
| Sexes | 1 | | 0.40 | 0 | 12.78 | | <.01 |

TABLE 11.—Measurement data for zygomatic breadth of T. b. mexicana.

populations are conspecific with those of the Greater Antilles, the name murina Gray, 1827, would have priority.

An alternate possibility to consider is that bats referred to cynocephala are specifically distinct from adjacent mexicana populations but not from those west coast populations of mexicana that are nonmigratory. These, and all nonmigratory populations in western North America, Central America, and South America might be conspecific, in which case the specific name brasiliensis would apply to the cynocephala bats but not to migratory populations of mexicana. Because of these diverse and unresolved issues concerning the affinities of cynocephala, in the interest of nomenclatorial stability we follow current taxonomic opinion (Wilkins, 1989) until clearer evidence is available. The relevant synonomy and other taxonomic and biological information concerning Tadarida brasiliensis cynocephala are presented here.

OWEN ET AL. - SYSTEMATIC STATUS OF TADARIDA

| Locality | Sex | N | Min. | Max. | x | CI _x | s | CV | |
|----------------------|------|-----|-------|------|-------|-----------------|-------|------|--|
| Frio Cave, Texas | Ŷď | 42 | 40.7 | 45.8 | 42.81 | .465 | 1.114 | 2.60 | |
| La Boca Cave, | | | | | | | | | |
| Nuevo León, México | 20 | 55 | 40.8 | 45.4 | 42.95 | .342 | .949 | 2.21 | |
| España Cave, | | | | | | | | | |
| Durango, México | ₽ď | 98 | 41.0 | 45.9 | 43.03 | .259 | .975 | 2.26 | |
| España Cave, | | | | | | | | | |
| Durango, México | Ŷď | 35 | 41.0 | 44.5 | 42.56 | .426 | .926 | 2.18 | |
| Chinacaterra Cave, | | | | | | | | | |
| Sinaloa, México | ₽ď | 82 | 40.4 | 44.6 | 42.71 | .314 | 1.077 | 2.52 | |
| Calaya, Guanajuato, | | | | | | | | | |
| México | ď | 15 | 40.8 | 44.8 | 42.87 | .789 | 1.025 | 2.39 | |
| Janitzio, Michoacán, | | | | | | | | | |
| México | ₽ď | 48 | 40.6 | 45.6 | 43.07 | .389 | 1.000 | 2.32 | |
| Jalisco, México | Ŷď | 30 | 40.2 | 44.2 | 42.41 | .546 | 1.086 | 2.56 | |
| Zapaluta Cave, | | | | | | | | | |
| Chiapas, México | ď | 51 | 40.7 | 45.5 | 42.94 | .129 | .346 | 0.80 | |
| Zapaluta Cave, | | | | | | | | | |
| Chiapas, México | Ŷ | 128 | 38.7 | 45.2 | 42.84 | .187 | .812 | 1.90 | |
| Main effect | d.f. | | MS | | F | | Р | | |
| Localities | 9 | | 1.852 | | 2.118 | | | .04 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 12. - Measurement data for forearm of T. b. mexicana.

Tadarida brasiliensis cynocephala (Le Conte)

- Nyctficea] cynocephala Le Conte, in McMurtrie's Cuvier, 1831, Animal kingdom, 1:432 (type locality—probably near Riceboro, Liberty Co., Georgia; type not designated).
- Molossus cynocephalus, Cooper, 1837, Ann. Lyceum Nat. Hist. New York, 4:65, pl. 3, figs. 1 and 2.
- Molossus fuliginosus Cooper, 1837, Ann. Lyceum Nat. Hist. New York, 4:67, pl. 3, figs. 3 and 4 (type locality—Miledgeville, Georgia; type not known to be in existence). One specimen was sent to the Lyceum of Natural History; it differed from *M. cynocephalus* in having four rather than six lower incisors and in having smooth rather than crimped ears.
- Rhinopoma carolinense, Le Conte (not Geoffroy St.-Hilaire), 1855, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, 7:437-438. Le Conte's citation of Geoffroy (1829, Dict. de Sciences Naturales, p. 45) was an error, but Le Conte believed that this bat was from North America and had priority—his source of reference may have been Gundlach (1840, Arch. Naturgeschichte, p. 358). Tomes (1861, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, pp. 68-69) examined the type in the Paris Museum and discovered that an accidental exchange of labels had occurred between a small African molossid and a *Lasiurus borealis* from North America.
- Nyctinomus nasutus, Tomes, 1861, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, pp. 68-69 (part). Tomes (not H. Allen, 1864, Smiths. Misc. Coll., 165:7) was the first to use this name combination; it was based on the belief that *N. cynocephala* Le Conte was a synonym of *Molossus nasutus* Spix.

Nyctinomus brasiliensis, H. Allen, 1893 (not True, 1884), Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 43:163-171 (part). True (1884, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., 7:603) stated that the distribution of *N. brasiliensis* is Kansas and California to Chile and thereby excluded *T. cynocephala*.

Nyctinomus cynocephalus, Bangs, 1898, Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., 28:218. Tadarida cynocephala, Miller, 1924, Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 128:85. Tadarida brasiliensis cynocephala, Schwartz, 1955, J. Mamm., 36:106-109.

Type Locality.—Probably the Le Conte plantation, near Riceboro, Liberty Co., Georgia. No type was designated but what appears to be an original specimen (USNM 4742) collected by J. E. Le Conte is deposited in the United States National Museum of Natural History (Poole and Schantz, 1942).

Geographic Distribution.—From Harnett County, North Carolina (Lee and Marsh, 1978), south along the Atlantic coast through Florida at least as far south as Miami, west through Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, and Louisiana to eastern Texas (Spenrath and LaVal, 1974), and north and west as far as Conway and Hot Springs, Arkansas (Saugey *et al.*, 1988). Additional isolated records have been reported from Ohio (Smith and Goodpaster, 1960; Mills, 1971) and Kentucky (Barbour and Davis, 1974).

Diagnosis. -T. b. cynocephala is similar in size and perhaps in habits to T. b. brasiliensis (perhaps only in part), but it averages larger than T. b. mexicana and T. b. muscula in many variates (Shamel, 1931). Series of specimens can be determined on the basis of skull length and zygomatic breadth (Tables 2 and 3; see also Tables 4, 6, 10, and 11). It is a sedentary, colonial species that utilizes buildings and hollow trees as diurnal roosts, and may be inactive at times, or even hibernate, during the winter.

Variation. — Sexual dimorphism occurs with respect to the cranial variates analyzed. The skulls of males average larger than those of females and the differences between the means are significant (Tables 4 and 6). The forearm and fifth metacarpal evidently are not sexually dimorphic elements (Tables 7 and 8).

Individual variation is low for all variates tested. The length of the fifth metacarpal is the most variable, but it is still less variable than is normal for linear dimensions of anatomical elements of mammals (Simpson *et al.*, 1961:91).

A large sample of males taken at Pineland, Texas, in April 1961 contains two age classes of adults. The younger males (class 0) average smaller in skull length than the older individuals (class I), and the means are significantly different (Table 5). Such a difference between age classes of females from Pineland is not

14

demonstrated. Because most samples (representing localities) were not large enough to allow a segregation of age classes, the effect of locality may be confounded with an effect of age class.

Locality has no significant effect on differences between sample means of skull length and zygomatic breadth (Tables 4 and 6), although for both characters males show a nearly significant effect. For length of the forearm and the fifth metacarpal, locality has a significant effect (Tables 7 and 8). Neither the array for mean lengths of the forearm nor that for means of the fifth metacarpal is correlated with the geographic position of localities. Further, the two arrays do not correspond closely to each other with regard to locality. The locality effect does not seem to constitute a geographic cline; rather, the observed geographic variation seems to be only of a random nature.

Biogeography and Evolutionary History. - T. b. cynocephala occasionally is found roosting in hollow trees (Carter, 1962), and it is likely that this species was strictly tree-dwelling before buildings became available. The shift from trees to man-made structures as roosting sites obviously was not a difficult transition, because the bats now are found most commonly in buildings. The northern distribution of the species probably is limited by unfavorable winter conditions. Inasmuch as T. b. cynocephala overwinters in unheated buildings or in hollow trees, neither of which would be well protected against severely cold weather over a prolonged period of time, the subspecies probably is restricted to the southeastern United States where winters are relatively mild and where subfreezing conditions seldom last for more than a few days. The occurrence of populations as far north as North Carolina and Arkansas and as far west as eastern Texas may represent a post-Pleistocene expansion of the late Pleistocene distribution.

The western limit of this bat's geographic distribution generally conforms with the western limit of the pine-hardwood forest region of the southeastern United States. It is in this region that a seasonal overlap may occur between *T. b. cynocephala* and *T. b.* mexicana (Carter, 1962; Spenrath and LaVal, 1974; Glass, 1982). Although we cannot completely exclude the possibility of gene flow between cynocephala and mexicana, we emphasize that at most it appears to be minimal and unidirectional. Of the 40 specimens of cynocephala that we examined electrophoretically, the 100 allele was found three times, each time as a heterozygote. Of the 19 mexicana that we examined and the 164 specimens examined by Svoboda et al. (1985), none possesed the 80 allele. Carter (1962) and Spenrath and LaVal (1974) pointed out that the populations most critical to evaluating the possibility of gene flow between these two taxa are those found in, and southeast of, Brazos County, Texas. Certainly, intensive collecting and analysis of specimens from eastern Texas and western Louisiana will be needed to resolve this question.

Regardless of that outcome, the problem remains concerning the relationship of cynocephala to the various populations in the Greater and Lesser Antilles. Each of the three island populations we sampled (from Cuba, Jamaica, and Dominica) shared the 80 allele with cynocephala, and in fact those from Cuba and Dominica appear to be monomorphic for that allele. Whether these populations are conspecific with cynocephala must be ascertained based on other evidence, but the important point is that the 80 allele appears to be a synapomorphy uniting cynocephala and the Antillean bats. The question of the origin of these bats is probably quite germane to the more general questions of Antillean zoogeography. Generally it is assumed that three possible invasion routes have been available for volant animals to colonize the Antilles: 1) from North America, through Florida; 2) from Central America, through the Yucatán Peninsula; and 3) from South America, through Trinidad. Although T. brasiliensis does not currently occupy the Yucatán Peninsula or Venezuela except in the far west, it is possible that it did occur in those areas at times when colonization took place. Clearly, the presence of the 80 allele in populations from México south of the Isthmus of Tehuantepec, from Central America, or from northern South America, would provide insight into the zoogeographic history of these bats.

If in fact cynocephala is found to have originated from Antillean populations, the area of contact in eastern Texas would be a zone of secondary contact, and, therefore, would be especially important to evaluate. If genetic introgression is occuring there, and is in fact unidirectional, this provides an excellent natural situation in which to evaluate genetic mechanisms involved in speciation events (Baker *et al.*, 1989). If no gene flow is found to occur in the area of sympatry or parapatry, pre- and postmating isolating mechanisms may be evaluated in these populations.

OWEN ET AL. - SYSTEMATIC STATUS OF TADARIDA

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mary K. Clark, John Hermanson, Kenneth T. Wilkins, Mark Hafner, Linda J. Barkley, and Gary Heidt provided specimens and information concerning populations of *T. b. cynocephala*. Michael S. Potts, Lora Head, Ronald I. Crombie, Linda K. Gordon, Karen McBee, and Robert J. Baker assisted with collecting in Cuba. Robert Hines, Robin Head, Bruce Williams, Robin Ulrich, and Frederick B. Stangl, Jr., were also instrumental in arrangements for our trip to Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Peggy Svoboda and Moira van Staaden assisted in electrophoretic analyses. Kenneth Wilkins reviewed the manuscript, and June Logan did the word processing. We thank all of these people for their contributions.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALLEN, G. M. 1908. Notes on Chiroptera. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 52:25-62, 1 pl. 1911. Mammals of the West Indies. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 54:175-263.
- ALLEN, H. 1864. Monograph of the bats of North America. Smiths. Misc. Coll., 7(165):xxiii + 1-85.
- 1893. A monograph of the bats of North America. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 43:ix + 1-198, 38 pls.
- BAKER, R. J., AND H. H. GENOWAYS. 1978. Zoogeography of Antillean bats. Spec. Publ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, 13:53-97.
- BAKER, R. J., S. K. DAVIS, R. D. BRADLEY, M. J. HAMILTON, AND R. A. VAN DEN BUSSCHE. 1989. Ribosomal-DNA, mitochondrial-DNA, chromosomal, and allozymic studies on a contact zone in the pocket gopher, *Geomys.* Evolution, 43:63-75.
- BANGS, O. 1898. The land mammals of peninsular Florida and the coast region of Georgia. Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., 28:157-235.
- BARBOUR, R. W., AND W. H. DAVIS. 1974. Mammals of Kentucky. Univ. Press Lexington, Kentucky, xii + 322 pp.
- CARTER, D. C. 1962. The systematic status of the bat Tadarida brasiliensis (I. Geoffroy) and its related mainland forms. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Texas A&M Univ., College Station, 80 pp.
- COCKRUM, E. L. 1956. Homing, movements, and longevity of bats. J. Mamm., 37:48-57.
- FREEMAN, P. W. 1981. A multivariate study of the family Molossidae (Mammalia, Chiroptera): morphology, ecology, evolution. Fieldiana Zool., n.s., 7:1-173.
- GLASS, B. P. 1982. Seasonal movements of Mexican freetail bats Tadarida brasiliensis mexicana banded in the Great Plains. Southwestern Nat., 27:127-133.
- HALL, J. S., R. J. CLOUTIER, AND D. R. GRIFFIN. 1957. Longevity records and notes on tooth wear of bats. J. Mamm., 38:407-409.
- JONES, J. K., JR. 1989. Distribution and systematics of bats in the Lesser Antilles. Pp. 645-659, in Biogeography of the West Indies (C. A. Woods, ed.), Sandhill Crane Press, Gainesville, Florida, xvii + 878 pp.
- JONES, J. K., JR., AND C. J. PHILLIPS. 1970. Comments on systematics and zoogeography of bats in the Lesser Antilles. Studies on the Fauna of Curaçao and other Caribbean Islands, 32:131-145.
- KEEN, R., AND H. B. HITCHCOCK. 1980. Survival and longevity of the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*) in southern Ontario. J. Mamm., 61:1-7.

KOOPMAN, K. F. 1982. Biogeography of the bats of South America. Pp. 273-302, in Mammalian biology in South America (M. A. Mares and H. H. Genoways, eds.), Spec. Publ. Ser., Pymatuning Lab. Ecol., Univ. Pittsburgh, 6:1-539.

- LEE, D. S., AND C. MARSH. 1978. Range extension of the Brazilian free-tailed bat into North Carolina. Amer. Midland Nat., 100:240-241.
- LEGENDRE, S. 1984. Étude odontologique des représentants actuels du groupe Tadarida (Chiroptera, Molossidae). Implications phylogéniques, systématiques et zoogéographiques. Rev. Suisse Zool., 91:399-442.
- LYON, M. W., JR. 1914. Tadarida Rafinesque versus Nyxtinomus Geoffroy. Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, 27:217-218.
- MILLER, G. S., JR. 1924. List of North American Recent mammals. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 128:xvi + 1-673.
- MILLER, G. S., JR., AND J. A. G. REHN. 1901. Systematic results of the study of North American land mammals to the close of the year 1900. Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., 30:1-352.
- MILLS, R. S. 1971. Second record of the Mexican free-tailed bat in Ohio. J. Mamm., 52:479.
- POOLE, A. J., AND V. S. SCHANTZ. 1942. Catalogue of the type specimens in the United States National Museum, including the Biological Surveys Collections. Bull. U. S. Nat. Mus., 178: xiii + 1-705.
- SAUGEY, D. A., G. A. HEIDT, D. R. HEATH, T. W. STEWARD, D. R. ENGLAND, AND V. R. MCDANIEL. 1988. Distribution and status of the Brazilian free-tailed bat (*Tadarida brasiliensis cynocephala*) in Arkansas. Proc. Arkansas Acad. Sci., 42:79-80.
- SCHWARTZ, A. 1955. The status of the species of the brasiliensis group of the genus Tadarida. J. Mamm., 36:106-109.
- SHAMEL, H. H. 1931. Notes on the American bats of the genus Tadarida. Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus. 78:1-27.
- SIMPSON, G. G., A. ROE, AND R. C. LEWONTIN. 1961. Quantitative zoology. Harcourt, Brace, and Co., New York, rev. ed., vii + 440 pp.
- SMITH, E., AND W. GOODPASTER. 1960. A free-tailed bat found in Ohio. J. Mamm., 41:117.
- SPENRATH, C. A., AND R. K. LAVAL. 1974. An ecological study of a resident population of Tadarida brasiliensis in eastern Texas. Occas. Papers Mus., Texas Tech Univ., 21:1-14.
- SVOBODA, P. L., J. R. CHOATE, AND R. K. CHESSER. 1985. Genetic relationships among southwestern populations of the Brazilian free-tailed bat. J. Mamm., 66:444-450.
- VARONA, L. S. 1974. Catálogo de los mamíferos vivientes y extinguidos de las Antillas. Acad. Cienc. Cuba, Habana, 139 pp.

WILKINS, K. T. 1989. Tadarida brasiliensis. Mamm. Species, 331:1-10.

Addresses of authors: R. D. Owen, The Museum, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409; R. K. Chesser, Department of Biological Sciences and The Museum, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409; D. C. Carter, PrinTech and The Museum, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409. Present address of Owen: Department of Biology, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Kansas City, Missouri 64110. Present address of Chesser: Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, University of Georgia, Drawer E, Aiken, South Carolina 29802. Received 4 August 1989, accepted 11 August 1989.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE MUSEUM TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

Three serials of The Museum of Texas Tech University are published by Texas Tech University Press. Short research studies are published as Occasional Papers, whereas longer contributions appear as Special Publications. Papers of practical application to collection management and museum operations are issued in the Museology series. All are numbered separately and published on an irregular basis.

The preferred abbreviation for citing The Museum's Occasional Papers is Occas. Papers Mus., Texas Tech Univ.

Institutional subscriptions (\$19/yr., typically 10 numbers issued per year) are available through Texas Tech University Press, Sales Office, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409-1037. Individuals can purchase separate numbers of the Occasional Papers for \$2.00 each from Texas Tech University Press. Remittance in U.S. currency check, money order, or bank draft must be enclosed with request (add \$1.00 per title or 200 pages of publications requested for foreign postage; residents of the state of Texas must pay sales tax on the total purchase price). Copies of the "Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico, 1986" (Jones et al., 1986, Occas. Papers Mus., Texas Tech Univ., 107:1-22) are available at \$1.25 each in orders of 10 or more.

ISSN 0149-175X

Texas Tech University Press Lubbock, Texas 79409-1037