DETECTION OF WHITE-FOOTED DEERMOUSE (*PEROMYSCUS LEUCOPUS*) ON GRAND MANAN ISLAND, NEW BRUNSWICK, CANADA: TAXONOMIC, BIOGEOGRAPHIC, AND HUMAN-HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

Howard M. Huynh, Jessie Norman, Karen J. Vanderwolf, Robert D. Bradley, Robert J. Baker, and Donald F. McAlpine

ABSTRACT

Grand Manan Island represents the southernmost region of the province of New Brunswick, Canada. Due to its isolation, the island fauna is depauperate, with few native mammal species. Recent molecular genetic analysis of *Peromyscus* collected from GMI revealed the mitotype (cytochrome *b* gene) indicative of *P. leucopus* (White-footed Deermouse). This species previously was known only from mainland Nova Scotia in Maritime Canada. Here we document the occurrence of *P. leucopus* on Grand Manan Island and discuss the implications of this discovery, most notably as it relates to the endemic *P. maniculatus argentatus* (Grand Manan Deermouse) and the presence of Lyme disease.

Key words: Canada, Grand Manan Island, Lyme disease, *Peromyscus leucopus*, *Peromyscus maniculatus argentatus*

INTRODUCTION

Grand Manan Island (GMI) is located approximately 25 km off the south coast of New Brunswick, Canada in the Bay of Fundy. The terrestrial mammal fauna of GMI is relatively depauperate because it is isolated by cold waters (average summer high of 8–12° C), strong currents, and dramatic tidal fluctuations. Only nine terrestrial mammal species are considered native to the island; others were introduced via anthropogenic activity [e.g., *Rattus norvegicus* (Berkenhout, 1769), Norway Rat; *Mus musculus* (Linnaeus, 1758), House Mouse; *Tamiasciurus hudsonicus* (Erxleben, 1777), American Red Squirrel; *Ondatra zibithecus* (Linnaeus,

1766), Muskrat; *Odocoileus virginianus* (Zimmermann, 1780), White-tailed Deer] (Copeland and Church 1906; Ingersoll and Gorham 1978).

During the course of a small mammal field program focused on the GMI *Peromyscus maniculatus argentatus* (Deermouse) (Copeland and Church 1906), molecular genetic analysis detected *P. leucopus* (Rafinesque, 1818; White-footed Deermouse) on the island, a species previously not recorded from New Brunswick. *Peromyscus leucopus* is a congener of, but not sister to, *P. maniculatus* (Wagner, 1845; see Bradley et al.

2007, Bradley et al. 2019), and generally has a more southern distribution (Hall 1981; Lackey et al. 1985). *Peromyscus leucopus* in Maritime Canada was previously understood to be confined to mainland Nova Scotia, although the species also is present on islands off the coast of Maine, USA, the closest being Great Wass Island about 56 km southwest of GMI (Rich 1993; Naughton 2012). Here we document the recent discovery of *P. leucopus* on GMI. We also comment on the implications for our understanding of the subspecific status of *P. maniculatus argentatus* (endemic to GMI) and the need for a better understanding of both *Peromyscus* species on GMI given the high prevalence of Lyme disease on GMI.

Materials and Methods

Trapping protocols followed guidelines set by the Animal Care Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists (Gannon et al. 2007). *Peromyscus* (n = 13) were collected from four localities on Grand Manan Island (Fig. 1) in July 2011 using museum special snap traps baited with a mixture of oats and peanut butter. Among those localities was Grand Harbor (44°41'26"N, 66°46'15"W), the type locality for the Deermouse subspecies originally described as *P. canadensis argentatus* by Copeland and Church (1906) (although the common name was noted as "Grand Manan White-footed Mouse"), and then revised to *P. maniculatus argentatus* by Osgood (1909). *Peromyscus maniculatus* (n = 22) also were collected from Jacquet River Gorge Protected Natural Area in northern mainland New Brunswick. Heart, liver, and muscle tissues were sampled, and vouchers consisting of skins and full skeletons were prepared and deposited in the New Brunswick Museum (NBM). Tissue biopsies were stored temporarily in 95% ethanol and then archived at -80ºC in the NBM frozen tissue collections.

Genomic DNA was extracted from subsamples of tissues at Texas Tech University using a QIAGEN Blood and Tissue Kit; the DNA subsequently were stored in QIAGEN EB (Tris) buffer and archived at -40º C. The entire cytochrome *b* gene (1,143 base pairs) for almost all specimens was amplified via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers MVZ05 (Smith and Patton 1993) and PERO3' (Tiemann-Boege et al. 2000). Thermal profiles for PCR consisted of the following: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 51°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min, with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were viewed on a 1% agarose gel. Most PCR products were purified using QIAGEN Gel Extraction and PCR Purification kits; some were purified with ExoSAP-IT (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California).

Purified PCR products underwent cycle sequencing reactions using the aforementioned PCR, other internal primers (400F, 400R, and 700H – Peppers and Bradley 2000; Tiemann-Boege et al. 2000), and ABI Prism Big Dye version 3.1 Terminator technology (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). The subsequent products were purified using isopropanol cleanup protocols (SOP in Bradley Lab) and sequenced with an ABI 3100-Avant automated sequencer. Resulting sequences were aligned and proofed using Sequencher 4.10 software (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, Michigan); chromatograms were examined to verify all base changes. Sequences were deposited in GenBank with the following accession numbers: MT156535–MT156569.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses were performed using MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Sequences were aligned with the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al. 1994). Model selection for inferring evolutionary history resulted in the selection of the HKY+G model (Hasegawa et al. 1985) (BIC = 3206.428, AIC = 2605.027, +G = 0.15). Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach (Deng and Moore 2009). The phylogenetic tree with the highest log likelihood value (-1148.350) was chosen. Bootstrap analysis (Felsenstein 1985) (1,000 iterations) was used to evaluate nodal support. Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) genetic distance values (Kimura 1980) were estimated to compare rates of genetic divergence among sample groups. The K2P model was chosen for its utility as a

Figure 1. Distribution (cross-lines) of the White-footed Deermouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) in Atlantic Canada and northeastern Maine (A; after Naughton 2012). Numbered triangles mark sites where the species was recorded on Grand Manan Island (B; inset) in 2011: 1. Rocky Corner, Whitehead Road, north (44°41'09"N, 66°47'27"W); 2. Grand Harbour (44°41'26"N, 66°46'15"W; also type locality for *P. maniculatus argentatus*); 3. Old dump site on Whitehead Road, south (44°47'18"N, 66°41'18"W); and 4. Bradford Cove (44°37'45"N, 66°53'26"W). Arrowhead marks Great Wass Island, Maine, USA. The star represents the locality (Jacquet River Gorge Protected Natural Area) where the Deermouse (*P. maniculatus*), were collected in northern New Brunswick. Asterisks denote sites in Gaspe, Quebec, where *P. leucopus* is found based on preliminary genetic data reported in Desroisiers et al. (2002).

distance metric in prior studies of rodent phylogenetics (Bradley and Baker 2001). Complete cytochrome *b* DNA sequences for *P. maniculatus* and *P. leucopus* from other localities were downloaded from GenBank (accession numbers DQ385784 and DQ000483, respectively) and added to the phylogenetic analysis as reference samples. *Peromyscus boylii* (Baird, 1855; Brush Deermouse) was used as the outgroup (GenBank accession number DQ000478).

RESULTS

Examination of the complete cytochrome *b* genetic sequences of *P. maniculatus* collected from GMI revealed several unexpected results. When these sequences were input into BLAST on GenBank, the mitotypes of these anomalous sequences matched those of *P. leucopus*, not *P. maniculatus*, *sensu lato*. Of the thirteen putatively identified *P. maniculatus* collected from GMI in 2011, nine (~ 69%) individuals manifested mitotypes that identified as *P. leucopus*. Four of these *P. leucopus* were collected at Grand Harbour, the type locality for *P. m. argentatus*.

Phylogenetically, two monophyletic clades were recovered: one clade included all *P. maniculatus*, and the other included all sequences of *P. leucopus* (Fig. 2). Estimated genetic distance (K2P = 9.47%) between *P. maniculatus* and *P. leucopus* groups confirmed the magnitude of genetic difference between the two clades. These specimens of *P. leucopus* from GMI represent the first records of this species for New Brunswick. Sequences of *P. maniculatus* from mainland New Brunswick showed little genetic differentiation (K2P = 1.12%) when compared to those from GMI.

DISCUSSION

There are several biogeographic implications that follow from the discovery of *P. leucopus* on GMI. This species may have been present on GMI since the Holocene Climatic Optimum, aka the Hypsithermal, a warm period that occurred ~9,000 to 4,000 BP (Pielou 1991). This species is hypothesized to be one member of a suite of species (see Petersen and Stewart 2006, Wisheu et al. 1994) that ranged through Maine and southern New Brunswick into Nova Scotia during the hypsithermal period (~6,000–4,000 BP). Subsequent climate cooling has now isolated these species (many of which are referred to as Atlantic coastal plain species; see Clayden et al. 2010) in mainland Nova Scotia, particularly the southwest. Indeed, several mammalian taxa exhibit this pattern of geographic disjunctiveness in Maritime Canada (e.g., see Broders et al. 2009, Petersen and Stewart 2006). In contrast, several studies have predicted and documented northward expansion of the geographic range of *P. leucopus* (e.g., Leo and Millien 2017, Roy-Dufresne et al. 2013), possibly facilitated by climate change. Although there is evidence that some Atlantic coastal plain species are beginning to respond to warming climate and expanding their ranges northward (e.g., see McAlpine et al. 2017), it is unclear if *P. leucopus* on GMI conforms to this pattern, especially given its presence already in Nova Scotia and (putatively) in the Gaspe region of Quebec. We note that Rich (1993) collected *Peromyscus* on GMI from 1989–1991, securing five specimens from Long Pond, all of which were identified as *P. maniculatus* based on electrophoretic analysis. However, this limited collection could well have missed *P. leucopus*, even if present.

The difficulty of natural dispersal to GMI for a small terrestrial mammal, and the lack of records for the species from adjacent mainland Maine and New Brunswick, suggest that *P. leucopus* has been present on GMI for some time but possibly was overlooked until molecular genetic identification methods were applied. *Peromyscus leucopus* is notoriously difficult to separate from *P. maniculatus* by morphological means alone (Choate 1973; Aquadro and Patton 1980; Feldhammer et al. 1983; Bruseo et al. 1999), even for sympatric regional populations (cf. see Rich et al. 1996 and Stephens et al. 2014). For example, Rich (1993) employed and tested morphological methods for separating the two *Peromyscus* species collected in New England and Nova Scotia but concluded that morphological identification of the two congeners was unreliable. [Cf., Kilpatrick et al. (1994) used genetic methods to positively identify *P. leucopus* on several islands in the Gulf of Maine.] This raises the prospect that the type series for *P. m. argentatus* may include individuals of both *P. maniculatus* and *P. leucopus*, and the original description for the subspecies may be a composite of the two species. Given that previous taxonomic authorities have continued to recognize *P. m. argentatus* as a distinct subspecies (e.g., Wilson and Reeder 2005, Bradley et al. 2017) but no comprehensive studies have been conducted to validate the original description and designation, the latest evidence presented here warrants such a taxonomic review.

Conversely, if *P. leucopus* is not a hypsithermal relict, then how did this species become established

Figure 2. Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree depicting *Peromyscus* (*n* = 35) from mainland New Brunswick (NB) and Grand Manan Island (GMI). Numbers beside locality acronyms in the labels represent New Brunswick Museum field numbers. Bootstrap (1,000 iterations) values are shown at the nodes (>95% only). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. Brush Deermouse (*Peromyscus boylii*) was used as the outgroup for the phylogenetic analysis; sequences of Deermouse (*P. maniculatus*) and White-footed Deermouse (*P. leucopus*) from GenBank were used for reference. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6.

on GMI and when? Although we currently are unable to address this question definitively, arrival by natural (e.g., rafting or island hopping) and (or) anthropogenic means are both possible. Crowell (1986) identified some insular small mammal populations on islands in the Gulf of Maine as relictual but surmised that the population establishment of most species were dependent on recurrent colonization via ice bridges, a means of colonization unavailable for GMI taxa. Aquadro et al. (1980) suggested that establishment of *P. leucopus* on Mount Desert Island in Maine is relatively recent (i.e., post 1947) and the result of an increase in deciduous forest following wildfires. Although acknowledging that some might be relict populations, presence of *P. leucopus* generally was attributed to a range expansion underway for the species since the 1950s. Rich (1993) found a significant correlation between genetic distance of *Peromyscus* in the region and ocean depth between landmasses. This suggests limited gene flow among island and mainland populations of *Peromyscus* in the region and supports a post-Wisconsin relict status for at least those populations on the most isolated islands, including GMI.

An alternative explanation for the presence of *P. leucopus* on GMI is human-sponsored introduction. Human transportation between GMI and the New Brunswick mainland (Blacks Harbor) is readily accessible via daily ferries. It is also worth noting that hay was at one time exported to GMI from mainland New Brunswick to support agricultural activity (Hogg 2007), thereby providing a convenient vector of introduction for *Peromyscus*. However, it is not yet known whether human activity provides a vector for gene flow between the (current) mainland and the island populations of *Peromyscus* (though we detected little genetic differentiation among mainland and GMI *P. maniculatus*, our sample size for the GMI population was relatively small and we cannot make any conclusions). Regardless of whether colonization of GMI by *P. leucopus* has been natural or anthropogenic, and if the former, is relictual or recent, it could indicate the presence of adjacent mainland populations of this species in New Brunswick. Such populations have not been detected to date, but genetic investigations of adjacent mainland *Peromyscus* has been limited. The putative detection via genetic means of *P. leucopus* on the Gaspe (Desrosiers et al. 2002), well outside the generally accepted distributional range of the species, emphasizes the need

for more detailed examination and study of *Peromyscus* across Atlantic Canada. In this study, use of molecular genetic markers unveiled the identity and presence of *P. leucopus* on GMI; strict reliance on morphological characters can easily lead to misidentifications, as some studies have reported. Indeed, previous biological surveys in New Brunswick may not have uncovered the presence of *P. leucopus* in New Brunswick because molecular genetic techniques either were not readily available and (or) were not implemented at the time.

More detailed studies of *Peromyscus* in Atlantic Canada would address more than just accurate taxonomy and biodiversity conservation. GMI has been categorized as a "hot-spot" for Lyme disease (http://www2.gnb.ca/content/gnb/en/departments/ocmoh/cdc/content/vectorborne_andzoonotic/Tick-Borne_Diseases/brief.html) by Health Canada. Lyme disease is caused by the bacteria spirochete *Borellia burgdorferi* and is spread by ticks (*Ixodes* sp.). Lyme disease management is quite complex with respect to understanding the interplay of various tick-pathogen-host dynamics, as several species of small mammals are known to be competent hosts for *B. burdorferi* (Bernard et al. 2020). Although both species of *Peromyscus* are competent reservoirs for Lyme disease (Lane et al. 1991), there is some evidence to suggest that *P. leucopus* may be the preferred and more competent host reservoir of the two (Donahue et al. 1987; Garman et al. 1994; V. Millien, pers. comm.). If so, the presence of *P. leucopus* as a host vector may increase the chances of transmission of *B. burgdorferi* to prospective human hosts [e.g., Ostfeld (2011) reports that *P. leucopus* often show a 75–95% efficiency of transmission]. How these two species of *Peromyscus* are interacting both zoonotically, with respect to Lyme disease epidemiology, and ecologically on GMI is not currently known. Garman et al. (1994), working on Mount Desert Island, found no evidence of negative interspecific interactions between the two species, although they noted that *P. leucopus* showed a distinct preference for deciduous habitat; to our knowledge, no ecological studies of *Peromyscus* have been conducted on GMI. Future work should focus on advancing knowledge of the distribution (with documentation in the form of vouchers and sequence data), relative abundance, and dynamics of species interactions for both *Peromyscus* species on GMI, particularly relative to the impacts of anthropogenic climate change and land use (e.g., effects caused by

deforestation). These efforts would be beneficial in terms of better understanding the prevalence and spread of Lyme disease on GMI (and in Atlantic Canada more generally) and informing wildlife management plans with respect to human health.

Literature Cited

Aquadro, C. F., and J. C. Patton. 1980. Salivary amylase variation in *Peromyscus:* Use in species identification. Journal of Mammalogy 61:703–707.

Aquadro, C. F., W. Kilpatrick, and K. L. Crowell. 1980. Colonization of suitable habitat by *Peromyscus leucopus* in coastal Maine. Journal of Mammalogy 61:727–730.

Bernard, Q., J. P. Phelan, and L. T. Hu. 2020. Controlling Lyme disease: new paradigms for targeting the tick-pathogen-reservoir axis on the horizon. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 10:607170.

Bradley, R. D., and R. J. Baker. 2001. A test of the genetic species concept: cytochrome-*b* sequences and mammals. Journal of Mammalogy 82:960–973.

Bradley, R. D., N. D. Durish, D. S. Rogers, J. R. Miller, M. D. Engstrom, and C. W. Kilpatrick. 2007. Toward a molecular phylogeny for *Peromyscus*: evidence from mitochondrial cytochrome-*b* sequences. Journal of Mammalogy 88:1146–1159.

Bradley, R. D., J. Q. Francis, R. N. Platt II, T. J. Sonait, D. Alvarez, and L. L. Lindsey. 2019. Mitochondrial DNA sequence data indicate evidence for multiple species within *Peromyscus maniculatus*. Special Publications, Museum of Texas Tech University 70:1–59.

Bradley, R. D., N. Ondóñez-Garza, and L. C. Bradley 2017. North American Deermouse *Peromyscus maniculatus*. Pp. 382 in Handbook of mammals of the World, Vol. 7, Rodents II (D. E. Wilson, T. E. Lacher, Jr., and R. A. Mittermeier, eds.). Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain.

Broders, H. G., D. F. McAlpine, and G. J. Forbes. 2009. Status of the Eastern Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus subflavus*) (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) in New Brunswick. Northeastern Naturalist 8:331–336.

Bruseo, J. A., S. H. Vessey, and J. S. Graham. 1999. Discrimination between *Peromyscus leucopus noveboracensis* and *Peromyscus maniculatus nubiterrae* in the field. Acta Theriologica 44:151–160.

Choate, J. R. 1973. Identification and recent distribution of white-footed mice (*Peromyscus*) in New England. Journal of Mammalogy 54:41–49.

Clayden, S. R., M. C. Munro, C. S. Blaney, and S. P. Vander Kloet. 2010. Vascular flora of the Atlantic Maritime Ecozone: some new perspectives. Pp. 197–213 in Assessment of species diversity in the Atlantic Maritime Ecozone (D. F. McAlpine and I. M. Smith). NRC Research Press, Ottawa, Canada.

Copeland, M., and M. L. Church. 1906. Notes on the mammals of Grand Manan, N.B., with a description of a new subspecies of white footed mouse. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 19:121–126.

Crowell, K. L. 1986. A comparison of relict versus equilibrium models for insular mammals of the Gulf of Maine. Biological Journal of the Linnaean Society 28:37–64.

Deng, L., and D. F. Moore. 2009. Composite likelihood modeling of neighboring site conversions of DNA sequence substitution rates. Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology 8:1–20.

Desroisiers, N., R. Morin, and J. Jutras. 2002. Atlas des micromammifères du Québec. Société de la faune et des pards du Québec. Direction du dévelopement de la faune. Québec.

Donahue, J. G., J. Piesman, and A. Spielman. 1987. Reservoir competence of white-footed mice for Lyme disease spirochetes. American Journal of Tropical Medicine Hygiene 1:92–96.

Feldhammer, G. A., J. E. Gates, and J. H. Howard. 1983. Field identification of *Peromyscus maniculatus* and *P. leucopus* in Maryland: reliability of morphological characteristics. Acta Theriologica 28:417–423.

Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.

Gannon, W. L., R. S. Sikes, and ACUC of ASM. 2007. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of the wild mammals in research. Journal of Mammalogy 88:809–823.

Garman, S. L., A. F. O'Connell Jr., and J. H. Connery. 1994. Habitat use and distribution of the mice *Peromyscus leucopus* and *P. maniculatus* on Mount Desert Island, Maine. Canadian Field Naturalist 108:67–71.

Hall, E. R. 1981. The mammals of North America, Vol. II. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Hasegawa, M., H. Kishino, and T. Yano. 1985. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution 22:160–174.

Hogg, E. I. 2007. Historic Grand Manan. Nimbus Publishing, Halifax, Nova Scotia.

Ingersoll, L. K., and S. W. Gorham. 1978. A history of the mammals of Grand Manan. Pp 31–54 in The Other Creatures. The Grand Manan Historian, No. 20.

Kilpatrick, C. W., S. M. Rich, and K. L. Crowell. 1994. Distribution of the genus *Peromyscus* in coastal and inland-southwestern Maine. Maine Naturalist 2:1–10.

Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 16:111–120.

Lackey, J. A., D. G. Huckaby, and B. G. Ormiston. 1985. *Peromyscus leucopus*. Mammalian Species 247:1–10.

Lane, R. S., J. Piesman, and W. Burgdorferi. 1991. Lyme borreliosis: relation of its causative agent to its vectors and hosts in North America and Europe. Annual Review of Entomology 36:587–609.

Leo, S. S. T., and V. Millien. 2017. Microsatellite markers reveal low frequency of natural hybridization between the white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) and deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) in southern Quebec, Canada. Genome 60:454–463.

McAlpine, D. F., H. S. Makepeace, D. L. Sabine, P. M. Brunelle, J. Bell, and G. Taylor. 2017. First occurrence of *Enallagma pictum* (Scarlet Bluet) (Odonata:Coenagrionidae) in Canada and additional records of *Celithemis martha* (Martha's Pennant) (Odonata: Libellulidae) in New Brunswick: possible climate-change induced range extensions of Atlantic Coastal Plain Odonata. Journal of the Acadian Entomological Society 13:49–53.

Naughton, D. 2012. The natural history of Canadian mammals. Canadian Museum of Nature and University of Toronto Press, Toronto.

Osgood, W. H. 1909. Revision of the mice of the American genus *Peromyscus*. North American Fauna 28:1–285.

Ostfeld, R. S. 2011. Lyme disease: The ecology of a complex system. Oxford University Press, New York.

Peppers, L. L., and R. D. Bradley. 2000. Cryptic species in *Sigmodon hispidus*: evidence from DNA sequences. Journal of Mammalogy 81:332–343.

Petersen, S. D., and D. T. Stewart. 2006. Phylogeography and conservation genetics of southern flying squirrels (*Glaucomys volans*) from Nova Scotia. Journal of Mammalogy 87:153–160.

Pielou, E. C. 1991. After the Ice Age: the return of life to glaciated North America. University of Chicago Press, Illinois.

Rich, S. M. 1993. Distribution, biogeography and population genetics of *Peromyscus leucopus* and *Peromyscus maniculatus* in New England and Maritime Canada. MSc Thesis, University of Vermont, Burlington.

Rich, S. M., C. M. Kilpatrick, J. L. Shippee, and K. L. Crowell. 1996. Morphological differentiation and identification of *Peromyscus leucopus* and *P. maniculatus* in northeastern North America. Journal of Mammalogy 77:985–991.

Roy-Dufresne, E., T. Logan, J. A. Simon, G. L. Chmura, and V. Millien. 2013. Poleward expansion of the white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) under climate change: implications for the spread of Lyme disease. PLoS ONE 8:e80724. doi:10.1371/ journal. pone.0080724.

Smith, M. F., and J. L. Patton. 1993. The diversification of South American rodents: evidence from mitochon-

drial sequence data for the akodontine tribe. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 50:149–177.

Stephens, R. B., E. M. Anderson, and S. R. Wendt. 2014. Field identification of sympatric *Peromyscus leucopus noveboracensis* and *P. maniculatus gracilis* in Wisconsin from external measurements. The American Midland Naturalist 171:139–146.

Tamura, K., G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski, and S. Kumar. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis, version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:2725–2729.

Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignments through sequence weighting, positive-specific gap penalties and weighted matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research 22:4673–4680.

Tiemann-Boege, I. C., C. W. Kilpatrick, D. J. Schmidly, and R. D. Bradley. 2000. Molecular phylogenetics of the *Peromyscus boylii* species group (Rodentia: Muridae) based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 16:366–378.

Wilson, D. E., and D. M. Reeder (eds.). 2005. Mammal species of the World: a taxonomic and geographic reference, 3rd ed. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland.

Wisheu, I. C., C. J. Keddy, P. A. Keddy, and N. M. Hill. 1994. Disjunct Atlantic coastal plain species in Nova Scotia: distribution, habitat, and conservation priorities. Biological Conservation 68:217–224.

Addresses of authors:

Howard M. Huynh

Department of Biological Sciences
Texas Tech University
Lubbock TX 79409-3131 USA
and
New Brunswick Museum
Department of Natural History
Saint John NB E2K 1E5 Canada
hmhuynh11@gmail.com

Jessie Norman

Department of Biological Sciences
Texas Tech University
Lubbock TX 79409-3131 USA

Karen J. Vanderwolf

New Brunswick Museum
Department of Natural History
Saint John NB E2K 1E5 Canada
kjvanderw@gmail.com
and
Environmental and Life Sciences Program
1600 West Bank Drive
Trent University
Peterborough ON K9L 0G2 Canada

Robert D. Bradley

Department of Biological Sciences and
Natural Science Research Laboratory
Museum of Texas Tech University
Lubbock TX 79409-3131 USA
robert.bradley@ttu.edu

Robert J. Baker [Deceased]

Department of Biological Sciences and the Museum
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, Texas 79409 USA

Donald F. McAlpine

New Brunswick Museum
Department of Natural History
*Saint John NB E2K 1E5 Canada*
donald.mcalpine@nbm-mnb.ca

ISSN 0149-175X

Museum of Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-3191