

## Weekly Cotton Market Review

Weak demand, limited supplies and very light offerings kept trading on spot cotton markets slow, according to the Cotton Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA. Spot prices weakened. Domestic and foreign mills made limited purchases. Forward contracting was restricted to a light to moderate volume of 1985 crop by San Joaquin Valley growers. In the southern states, the crop matured rapidly. Moisture was fully adequate. Insect infestations required more frequent spray schedules in some localities. A few first bales have been ginned but general harvesting is still a few weeks away. The crop continued to make good progress in most of the western states. Portions of the Abilene, Texas, area were in need of rain as cotton was showing signs of stress. Harvesting expanded in central Texas and over three fourths of the crop was harvested in south Texas.

Spot cotton prices for grade 41 staple 34 , mike $35-49$, in the designated markets averaged 57.33 cents per pound during the week ended Thursday, August 22. Prices ranged from a high of 57.56 cents on Tuesday to a low of 56.89 cents on Thursday. A week earlier, prices averaged 57.98 cents per pound and ranged from 57.62 to 58.31 cents. In the corresponding week a year ago, the average price was 62.79 cents. The New York October 1985 futures settlement price ended the week on Thursday at 57.93 cents per pound compared with 58.90 cents a week earlier. The December settlement price was 58.32 cents against 59.44 cents a week ago.

Trading remained slow on spot cotton markets. Grower-held supplies of 1984 crop-cotton were confined mostly to lower qualities for which there was limited demand. New-crop supplies increased as harvesting continued to expand in Texas. Most merchants had prompt and nearby commitments covered and were not actively seeking cotton. Much of the limited trading in 1985 crop was cotton ineligible for the CCC loan and was at prices below loan rates. Domestic mill buying was light and mostly for prompt delivery. Export trading was slow. European mills bought a very light volume for January shipment. Purchases reported by cotton exchanges in the designated markets totaled 29,000 bales in the week ended Thursday, August 22. This compares with 62,000 bales reported a week earlier and 23,700 bales in the corresponding week last season.

Textile mill report. Mill buying was light. Purchases consisted of a few truckloads needed to stretch stocks into new-crop movement. Mills needing the medium and higher grades turned mostly to Texas Valley or California growths. Mills continued to hold cotton inventories closely in balance with needs and avoided buying ahead. Sales of textiles were generally slow; although, a few products were booked in the first quarter of 1986. Mills reported narrow profit margins on current business. Selected yarns, household products, denim, underwear and sportswear remained good sellers while a number of apparels and industrial items continued to move slowly. Mills' work schedules ranged from four to six days with some mills producing slow moving items planning to close a few extra days around Labor Day.

Hong Kong's imports of cotton from China rose dramatically during the first quarter of calendar year 1985, according to the Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA. During the period, China shipped about 95,000 bales, compared with slightly over 5,000 bales for the same period last year. In addition, total cotton trade to Hong Kong for the first quarter of 1984 and 1985 was virtually the same. Thus, China has grown from a small to the dominant supplier of Hong Kong's cotton imports.

High and low average prices for base quality in the designated spot cotton markets compared to specified qualities, by seasons, 1922-1984 $1 /$

| Year | High |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Date 2/ |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 41 | Date ${ }^{2}$ | Grade 3 |  |  | Grade 41 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Staple } \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Staple } \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Staple } \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{34}^{\text {Staple }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Staple } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | Staple | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Staple } \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | Staple |
| 1922 | Mar. 7, 1923 | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |  | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |
| 1923 | Nov, 28, 1923 |  |  |  |  | Sept. 30, 1922 | 19.95 |  |  |  |
| 1924 | Aug. 2, 1924 | 35.81 |  |  |  | Aug. 2, 1923 | 22.79 |  |  |  |
| 1925 | Aug. 1, 1925 | 24.38 |  |  |  | Sept. 16, 1924 | 20.89 17.07 |  |  |  |
| 1926 | Aug. 3, 1926 | 18.33 |  |  |  | Dec. 3, 1926 | 11.40 |  |  |  |
| 1927 | Sept. 8, 1927 | 23.10 |  |  |  | Aug. 3, 1927 | 16.35 |  |  |  |
| 1928 | Mar. 9, 1929 | 20.30 |  |  |  | Sept. 18, 1928 | 16.71 |  |  |  |
| 1929 | Aug. 1, 1929 | 18.80 |  |  |  | July 31, 1930 | 11.76 |  |  |  |
| 1930 | Aug. 7, 1930 | 12.38 |  |  |  | June 9, 1931 | 7.62 |  |  |  |
| 1931 | Aug. 1, 1931 | 7.52 |  |  |  | June 9, 1932 | 4.76 |  |  |  |
| 1932 | July 18, 1933 | 11.51 |  |  |  | Dec. 5, 1932 | 5.45 |  |  |  |
| 1933 | July 18, 1934 | 13.05 |  |  |  | Aug. 16, 1933 | 8.32 |  |  |  |
| 1934 | Aug. 9, 1934 | 13.63 |  |  |  | Mar. 18, 1935 | 10.55 |  |  |  |
| 1935 | July 10, 1936 | 13.42 |  |  |  | Sept. 16, 1935 | 10.35 |  |  |  |
| 1936 | Mar. 30, 1937 | 14.91 |  |  |  | July 31, 1937 | 11.10 |  |  |  |
| 1937 | Aug. 5, 1937 | 11.24 |  |  |  | Nov. 4, 1937 | 7.65 |  |  |  |
| 1938 | July 10, 1939 | 9.59 |  |  |  | Sept. 17, 1938 | 7.92 |  |  |  |
| 1939 | Dec. 13, 1939 | 11.10 | 11.28 |  |  | Sept. 2, 1939 | 8.49 | 8.70 |  |  |
| 1940 | July 26, 1941 | 16.70 | 16.92 |  |  | Oct. 14, 1940 | 9.06 | 9.27 |  |  |
| 1941 | Apr. 9, 1942 | 20.00 | 20.47 |  |  | Aug. 12, 1941 | 15.18 | 15.39 |  |  |
| 1942 | Apr. 1, 1943 | 20.51 | 21.43 |  |  | Aug. 10, 1942 | 17.56 | 18.23 |  |  |
| 1943 | July 11, 1944 | 20.96 | 22.11 |  |  | Nov. 29, 1943 | 18.08 | 19.16 |  |  |
| 1944 | July 13, 1945 | 21.40 | 22.82 |  |  | Aug. 7, 1944 | 19.93 | 21.08 |  |  |
| 1945 | July 19, 1946 | 34.09 | 35.72 |  |  | Aug. 20, 1945 | 20.65 | 22.07 |  |  |
| 1946 | July 16, 1947 | 37.79 | 39.35 |  |  | Nov. 7, 1946 | 26.44 | 27.95 |  |  |
| 1947 | Apr. 21, 1948 | 36.20 | 38.65 |  |  | Sept. 30, 1947 | 28.75 | 30.55 |  |  |
| 1948 | Apr. 25, 1949 | 31.39 | 33.37 |  |  | Aug. 23, 1948 | 27.97 | 30.69 |  |  |
| 1949 | July 28, 1950 | 37.58 | 39.05 |  |  | Oct. 17, 1949 | 27.93 | 29.48 |  |  |
| 1950 | Apr. 24, 1951 | 44.09 | 45.25 |  |  | July 31, 1951 | 35.04 | 36.21 |  |  |
| 1951 | Nov. 9, 1951 | 42.33 | 43.43 |  |  | Sept. 5, 1951 | 32.91 | 34.10 |  |  |
| 1952 | Aug. 4, 1952 | 39.70 | 40.76 |  |  | Jan. 12, 1953 | 29.52 | 31.71 |  |  |
| 1953 | July 26, 1954 | 33.25 | 34.59 |  |  | Dec. 17, 1953 | 30.55 | 32.39 |  |  |
| 1954 | Sept. 24, 1954 | 33.23 | 34.90 |  |  | Apr. 20, 1955 | 31.06 | 33.10 |  |  |
| 1955 | May 11, 1956 | 33.26 | 35.65 |  |  | Oct. 3, 1955 | 29.94 | 32.20 |  |  |
| 1956 | July 1, 1957 | 30.43 | 32.80 | 34.08 |  | Aug. 7, 1956 | 29.60 | 31.88 | 32.93 |  |
| 1957 | Dec. 11, 1957 | 31.12 | 33.50 | 34.98 |  | Sept. 20, 1957 | 29.49 | 31.90 | 33.18 |  |
| 1958 | Aug. 4, 1958 | 30.98 | 33.34 | 34.86 |  | July 31, 1959 | 29.21 | 31.16 | 32.75 |  |
| 1959 | Aug. 3, 1959 | 28.93 | 30.88 | 32.47 |  | July 29, 1960 | 28.19 | 29.72 | 31.38 |  |
| 1960 | July 31, 1961 | 30.39 | 31.51 | 32.91 |  | Jan. 12, 1961 | 27.25 | 28.54 | 30.09 |  |
| 1961 | June 26, 1962 | 32.07 | 33.03 | 34.18 |  | Aug. 1, 1961 | 30.42 | 31.54 | 32.94 |  |
| 1962 | May 16, 1963 | 31.86 | 32.86 | 34.16 |  | Nov. 14, 1962 | 30.83 | 31.74 | 32.95 |  |
| 1963 | Apr. 1, 1964 | 31.09 | 32.06 | 33.42 |  | July 31, 1964 | 29.45 | 30.42 | 31.78 |  |
| 1964 | Aug. 3, 1964 | 29.38 | 30.35 | 31.71 |  | July 30, 1965 | 27.99 | 28.93 | 30.27 |  |
| 1965 | Aug. 2, 1965 | 27.99 | 28.93 | 30.27 |  | Feb. 11, 1966 | 27.06 | 28.01 | 29.41 |  |
| 1966 | July 28, 1967 | 19.48 | 20.51 | 22.65 |  | Oct. 6, 1966 | 19.38 | 20.32 | 21.82 |  |
| 1967 | Dec. 4, 1967 | 20.81 | 22.04 | 27.13 |  | Aug. 3, 1967 | 19.42 | 20.46 | 22.64 |  |
| 1968 | Sept. 17, 1968 | 19.71 | 21.25 | 25.11 |  | July 30, 1969 | 18.19 | 19.50 | 21.87 |  |
| 1969 | July 31, 1970 | 20.08 | 21.28 | 23.01 |  | Sept. 16, 1969 | 17.75 | 19.02 | 21.39 |  |
| 1970 | July 28, 1971 | 23.91 | 24.75 | 25.45 |  | Dec. 10, 1970 | 19.94 | 21.02 | 22.54 |  |
| 1971 | May 11, 1972 | 33.16 | 34.01 | 35.78 |  | Aug. 2, 1971 | 24.95 | 25.83 | 26.56 |  |
| 1972 | July 26, 1973 | 41.80 | 42.86 | 49.92 |  | Oct. 20, 1972 | 21.28 | 22.23 | 24.74 |  |
| 1973 | Sept. 21, 1973 | 65.51 | 66.58 | 72.40 | 84.48 | May 28, 1974 | 36.31 | 39.82 | 44.86 | 51.69 |
| 1974 | Aug. 1, 1974 | 39.68 | 43.19 | 46.69 | 53.42 | Dec. 30, 1974 | 27.94 | 30.62 | 33.47 | 34.93 |
| 1975 | July 6, 1976 | 68.67 | 70.67 | 73.15 | 86.84 | Aug. 11, 1975 | 40.73 | 42.15 | 44.48 | 46.74 |
| 1976 | Oct. 8, 1976 | 66.94 | 69.00 | 71.62 | 79.36 | July 29, 1977 | 49.63 | 51.47 | 53.53 | 55.21 |
| 1977 | May 17, 1978 | 50.11 | 51.61 | 53.27 | 59.28 | Dec. 12, 1977 | 40.75 | 42.45 | 43.96 | 47.02 |
| 1978 | Nov. 24, 1978 | 57.82 | 59.40 | 61.57 | 67.57 | Apr. 3, 1979 | 48.00 | 49.43 | 51.65 | 56.46 |
| 1979 | July 30, 1980 | 64.14 | 65.75 | 70.69 | 84.82 | Aug. 3, 1979 | 51.94 | 53.37 | 55.69 | 60.62 |
| 1980 | Sept. 12, 1980 | 71.67 | 73.02 | 78.51 | 92.29 | July 31, 1981 | 60.09 | 62.63 | 69.26 | 72.65 |
| 1981 | Aug. 3, 1981 | 58.96 | 61.50 | 68.01 | 71.31 | Dec. 9, 1981 | 43.87 | 46.13 | 50.45 | 53.51 |
| 1982 | June 24, 1983 | 58.97 | 60.94 | 65.75 | 73.35 | Nov. 5, 1982 | 46.84 | 48.79 | 53.20 | 57.34 |
| 1983 | May 29, 1984 | 70.49 | 72.10 | 75.69 | 81.61 | July 30, 1984 | 56.37 | 57.98 | 61.32 | 63.79 |
| 1984 | Aug. 3, 1984 | 57.56 | 59.17 | 62.51 | 65.46 | Feb. 25, 1985 | 48.45 | 50.25 | 54.21 | 57.60 |

1/ Year beginning August 1. Prices for August 1971 and later, net weight basis; prices prior to August 1971, gross weight basis. The factor to convert prices from gross to net weight is 1.0438 .
2/ Refers to dates for high and low prices for base quality. Base qualities: Grade 31 staple 28, 1922-1938; Grade 31 staple 30, 1939-1955; Grade 31 staple 32, 1956-1972; Grade 41 staple 34, 1973-1984.

TOTAL ACCUMULATED EXPORTS OF UPLAND COTTON BY COUNTRY, RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER FOR MARKEIING YEAR 1984-85, COMPARED WITH THE FOUR PREVIOUS MARKEIING YEARS (1,000 Running Bales)

| Count ry | 1984-85 |  | 1983-84 |  | 1982-83 |  | 1981-82 |  | 1980-81 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exports | Rank | Exports | Rank | Exports | Rank | Exports | Rank | Exports | Rank |
| Japan | 1,480.4 | 1 | 1,735.3 | 1 | 1,257.0 | 1 | 1,567.6 | 1 | 1,115.9 | 3 |
| Korea | 1,212.2 | 2 | 1,173.9 | 2 | 1,219.4 | 2 | 1,343.9 | 2 | 1,227.3 | 2 |
| Taiwan | 466.9 | 3 | 462.4 | 3 | 350.2 | 3 | 744.8 | 4 | 345.5 | 4 |
| Italy | 318.1 | 4 | 259.9 | 6 | 105.9 | 9 | 111.6 | 10 | 55.7 | 13 |
| USSR | 317.9 | 5 | 330.6 | 5 | 19.9 | 29 | - | - | - | - |
| Indonesia | 252.0 | 6 | 342.9 | 4 | 234.4 | 4 | 260.2 | 5 | 214.7 | 6 |
| Canada | 198.1 | 7 | 220.1 | 9 | 230.8 | 5 | 165.7 | 7 | 247.5 | 5 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. of | 153.0 | 8 | 169.4 | 10 | 111.5 | 8 | 104.8 | 11 | 103.4 | 9 |
| Yugoslavia | 139.1 | 9 | 102.1 | 15 | 38.9 | 22 | - | - | - | - |
| Thailand | 135.3 | 10 | 229.9 | 8 | 174.4 | 6 | 161.0 | 8 | 210.8 | 7 |
| Egypt | 119.6 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| France | 117.8 | 12 | 130.7 | 11 | 47.5 | 18 | 54.6 | 14 | 44.6 | 15 |
| Spain | 109.4 | 13 | 103.3 | 14 | 59.8 | 16 | 52.7 | 15 | 61.8 | 12 |
| Hong Kong | 107.7 | 14 | 252.1 | 7 | 143.9 | 7 | 242.4 | 6 | 202.7 | 8 |
| Portugal | 93.0 | 15 | 67.7 | 17 | 41.0 | 21 | 61.5 | 12 | 46.6 | 14 |
| Greece | 80.5 | 16 | 20.5 | 26 | 99.2 | 10 | 127.3 | 9 | 82.7 | 10 |
| Ireland | 75.4 | 17 | 73.1 | 16 | 62.0 | 15 | 16.5 | 24 | 15.3 | 21 |
| Bangladesh | 67.9 | 18 | 108.6 | 13 | 82.5 | 11 | 46.9 | 16 | 30.7 | 17 |
| United Kingdom | 66.7 | 19 | 62.3 | 18 | 41.8 | 20 | 35.4 | 19 | 23.5 | 19 |
| Philippines | 58.7 | 20 | 54.6 | 19 | 67.9 | 14 | 57.4 | 13 | 77.2 | 11 |
| Belgium | 57.9 | 21 | 51.9 | 20 | 21.5 | 28 | 45.8 | 17 | 9.8 | 26 |
| Switzerland | 46.5 | 22 | 16.8 | 30 | 23.4 | 25 | 35.3 | 20 | 7.5 | 28 |
| Malaysia | 40.5 | 23 | 39.8 | 23 | 23.1 | 26 | 40.9 | 18 | 25.8 | 18 |
| Israel | 40.0 | 24 | 15.6 | 32 | 5.1 | 37 | 28.2 | 21 | 44.4 | 16 |
| Ecuador | 34.0 | 25 | 43.2 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nigeria | 25.8 | 26 | 42.1 | 22 | 10.6 | 31 | 9.9 | 28 | - | - |
| Sweden | 21.4 | 27 | 26.9 | 25 | 22.7 | 27 | 15.8 | 25 | 10.9 | 25 |
| Morocco | 16.7 | 28 | 20.0 | 27 | 23.5 | 24 | 23.4 | 22 | 11.0 | 24 |
| Norway | 12.5 | 29 | 11.0 | 36 | 9.4 | 32 | 7.0 | 29 | 7.4 | 29 |
| Finland | 12.0 | 30 | 12.4 | 35 | 8.2 | 33 | 11.2 | 27 | 2.5 | 33 |
| Singapore | 9.8 | 31 | 15.3 | 33 | 19.2 | 30 | 21.6 | 23 | 11.7 | 22 |
| Netherlands | 9.0 | 32 | 2.2 | 42 | 2.3 | 39 | 3.3 | 30 | 3.0 | 32 |
| Ghana | 8.3 | 33 | 14.5 | 34 | 5.7 | 36 | 2.8 | 32 | 8.2 | 27 |
| Aust ralia | 5.1 | 34 | 5.7 | 38 | 1.5 | 40 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Argentina | 5.1 | 35 | 0.6 | 47 | 0.1 | 42 | - | - | 0.4 | 38 |
| Denmark | 4.8 | 36 | 4.5 | 40 | 2.4 | 38 | 2.6 | 33 | 2.2 | 34 |
| Hungary | 4.2 | 37 | 5.1 | 39 | $-$ | - | 11.5 | 26 | 11.3 | 23 |
| China | 4.1 | 38 | 10.9 | 37 | 6.9 | 34 | 831.1 | 3 | 1,355.8 | 1 |
| Sri Lanka | 4.0 | 39 | 1.0 | 45 | - | - | 0.7 | 36 | - |  |
| Tunisia | 2.9 | 40 | 0.7 | 46 | - | - | 0.4 | 38 | 0.6 | 36 |
| Venezuela | 2.4 | 41 | 1.7 | 44 | 0.5 | 41 | - | - | - | - |
| Rep. of So. Africa | 2.3 | 42 | 16.3 | 31 | - | - | - | - | . 6 | 30 |
| Zaire | 2.1 | 43 | - | - | - | - | , | 31 | 5.6 | 30 |
| Austria | 0.7 | 44 | - | - | - | - | 3.0 | 31 | - | - |
| Chile | 0.3 | 45 | 0.6 | 48 | - | - | 0.8 | 35 | 0.3 | 40 |
| Mexico | 0.2 | 46 | 19.5 | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Costa Rica | 0.2 | 47 | 0.3 | 49 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Uruguay | 0.2 | 48 | - | 9 | 6 | 12 | - |  | - |  |
| German Democratic Rep. | 0.1 | 49 | 17.1 | 29 | 74.6 | 12 | 0.3 | 39 | - |  |
| Pakistan | - | - | 112.8 | 12 | - | - | 0.3 | 39 | - | - |
| Brazil | - | - | 33.1 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Honduras | - | - | 2.5 | 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bolivia | - | - | 2.2 | 43 | 0. | 43 | $0 \cdot$ | 37 | - | - |
| Jamaica | - | - | 0.1 | 50 | 0.1 | 43 | 0.4 | 37 | 0.3 | 1 |
| Trinidad | - | - | 0.1 | 51 | - | 3 | 0.1 | 41 | 0.3 | 41 |
| Czechoslovakia | - | - | - | - | 72.6 | 13 | - | - | 18.3 | 20 |
| Poland | - | - | - | - | 57.4 | 17 | - | - | 18.3 | 20 |
| Romania | - | - | - | - | 42.8 | 19 | - | - | - |  |
| Bulgaria | - | - | - | - | 37.3 | 23 | - | - | - |  |
| Colombia | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | 35 |  | 34 |  |  |
| El Salvador | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 34 | 1.1 |  |
| Haiti | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 40 | 0.4 | 39 |
| Madagascar | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.5 | 31 37 |
| Guyana | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 37 |
| Total | 5,943.0 |  | 6,446.1 |  | 4,864.6 |  | 6,251.5 |  | 5,650.3 |  |
| Unknown destinations | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Grand total 1/ | 5,943.0 |  | 6,446.1 |  | 4,864.6 |  | 6,251.5 |  | 5,650.3 |  |

1 Totals may not add due to rounding.
Reprint of table prepared by Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.

Exports 1984-85. United States exports of upland cotton during the 1984-85 season totaled 5.94 million running bales, down 8 percent from the previous season, according to the Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA. The two largest buyers were Japan with 1.48 million bales and Korea, 1.21 million bales. These two countries accounted for 45 percent of total exports. Other ranking buyers were Taiwan, Italy, USSR, Indonesia, Canada, West Germany, Yugoslavia and Thailand. These ten largest buyers of U.S. cotton in 1984-85 accounted for 79 percent of total exports.

New York futures contract settlement, designated spot market average for grade 41 staple 34 and 'A' Index cotton prices in cents per pound

| Date | Grade 41 Staple 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } 31 \\ \text { Staple } 35 \\ \text { 'A' Index } 1 / \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Futures Settlement |  |  |  |  |  | Desig. $8-$ Mkt. Avg. |  |
|  | Oct ' 85 | Dec ' 85 | Mar '86 | May '86 | Jul ${ }^{1} 86$ | Oct ' 86 |  |  |
| Aug. 15 | 58.90 | 59.44 | 60.40 | 60.73 | 60.43 | 55.35 | 58.06 | 56.80 |
| 16 | 57.90 | 58.40 | 59.80 | 60.05 | 59.75 | 54.67 | 57.24 | 56.80 |
| 19 | 58.10 | 58.50 | 59.60 | 59.99 | 59.78 | 54.85 | 57.39 | 56.70 |
| 20 | 58.40 | 58.81 | 59.87 | 60.20 | 60.03 | 55.00 | 57.56 | 56.60 |
| 21 | 58.47 | 58.76 | 59.87 | 60.14 | 60.00 | 55.13 | 57.55 | 56.60 |
| 22 | 57.93 | 58.32 | 59.57 | 59.89 | 59.75 | 54.81 | 56.89 | 56.60 |

1/ C.I.F. Northern Europe price furnished by Cotton Outlook of Liverpool.

Chicago futures contract settlement and designated spot market average for grade 42 staple 31 in cents per pound

| Date | Grade 42 Staple 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Futures Settlement |  |  |  |  | Desig. $5-\mathrm{Mkt}$. Average $1 /$ |
|  | Oct ' 85 | Dec ' 85 | Mar ${ }^{1} 86$ | May '86 | Jul '86 |  |
| Aug. 15 | - | 49.90 | 51.50 | - | - | 48.78 |
| 16 | - | 49.10 | 50.20 | - | - | 48.18 |
| 19 | - | 49.25 | 50.55 | - | - | 48.30 |
| 20 | - | 49.45 | 50.75 | - | - | 48.38 |
| 21 | - | 49.50 | 50.70 | - | - | 48.42 |
| 22 | - | 49.45 | 50.65 | - | - | 47.90 |

1/ Greenville, Montgomery, Memphis, Dallas and Lubbock.
U. S. upland cotton export sales and exports, in running bales, for week and year, marketing years 1984-85 and 1985-86

| Description | Marketing Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984-85 |  | 1985-86 |  |
|  | Through August 16 |  | Through August 15 |  |
|  | Week | Marketing Year | Week | Marketing Year |
| Outstanding sales |  | 3,005,900 | -- | 1,155,900 |
| Exports | 103,600 | 213,300 | 51,300 | 94,100 |
| Total export commitments | - | 3,219,200 | - | 1,250,000 |
| New sales | 101,400 | - | 28,300 | - |
| Buy-backs and cancellations | 22,800 | - | 5,700 | - |
| Net sales | 78,600 | - | 22,600 | - |
| Sales next marketing year | - | 6,600 | - | 11,100 |

Source: Export Sales Reporting Division, Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA
Upland cotton export sales during the week ended August 15 totaled 22,600 running bales, according to the Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA. Japan was the predominant buyer with 15,500 bales. Exports for the week totaled 51,300 bales. Asian destinations accounted for 54 percent of the week's shipments, East European 22 percent, West European 15 percent, Western Hemisphere 5 percnet and African 4 percent.

