UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE COTTON DIVISION 4841 SUMMER AVENUE, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38122 Telephone 901-521-2934

USDA

Weekly Cotton Market Review (Week ended December 16)

Vol. 64, No. 20

December 17, 1982

Trading of spot cotton increased, according to the Cotton Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA. Spot prices declined after the sudden jump last Thursday. Merchant demand was fairly good. Growers offered and sold a larger volume of cotton on days when the New York futures market strengthened. Domestic and foreign mills continued to limit purchases. Rains and wet fields continued to interrupt harvesting in most areas. All cotton production in the United States was forecast at 12.1 million bales, 1 percent above last month's estimate. World cotton stocks continued to build.

Spot prices for grade 41 staple 34, mike 35-49 cotton, in the designated markets averaged 59.66 cents per pound on Thursday, December 16. This compares with 61.05 cents a week earlier and 55.18 cents on the corresponding Thursday last season. The average price declined 152 points to 59.53 cents by Monday, December 13, but remained fairly stable the balance of the period. The New York March 1983 futures settlement price ended the week on Thursday at 65.86 cents per pound compared with 67.53 cents a week earlier.

Trading. The volume of cotton traded on spot cotton markets increased. Purchases reported by cotton exchanges in the designated markets totaled 371,700 bales in the week ended Thursday, December 16. This was the largest weekly volume for this marketing year. A week earlier 261,100 bales were reported and 423,100 bales in the corresponding week last season. Prices strengthened early in the period and growers offered and sold a larger volume of cotton. Merchant demand was fairly good and most purchases were to cover nearby commitments. Domestic mills continued to limit purchases mostly to cotton for prompt or nearby needs. Export trading remained slow.

Textile <u>mill</u> report. Mill buying slowed, reflecting continued sluggish textile sales and the upcoming holidays. Stocks of cotton at mills were mostly adequate and purchases were limited to a light volume for prompt to nearby shipment. A few mills indicated that they could need some cotton for both fill-ins and forward delivery should finished goods sales improve. The availability of some imported goods offered at cut rate prices remained a negative factor in the textile market. Some mills producing all-cotton denim remained on full production with only a few days scheduled off for the holidays. Sales extending into the second quarter were reported by some denim mills. Numerous other textiles continue to experience market weakness and mills producing these goods plan to close for up to two weeks during the holidays.

Cotton production in the United States was forecast at 12.1 million 480 pound net weight bales, 1 percent above last month's forecast and 23 percent below production in 1981, according to the Crop Reporting Board, SRS, USDA. Upland production was expected to total 12.0 million bales and American Pima 110,700 bales. Growers expect to harvest 9.4 million acres of upland cotton and 72,700 acres of American Pima. Upland yield was forecast at a record 612 pounds per acre and American Pima at 731 pounds.

Production in the southeastern states--Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina--was forecast at 925,000 bales, up 1 percent from last month and 10 percent above last year. Harvest completion was delayed by rains after mid-November.

In the Delta states--Arkansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Mississippi and Tennessee--growers expect to harvest 3.79 million bales, up 1 percent from last month and 12 percent above 1981. Harvest was delayed by rains and wet fields. Mostly second picking remained to be harvested. Rains caused some yield loss but the greatest effect was lowering of grade. Upland production in Texas and Oklahoma was forecast at 2.88 million bales, up 4 percent from last month and down 53 percent from last year. Harvesting was about two-thirds finished. Progress was about average in Texas and about one to two weeks behind normal in Oklahoma.

In the western states--Arizona, California and New Mexico--upland production expected to total 4.38 million bales, unchanged from last month and down 16 percent from last year. Harvesting was delayed by rains that caused some yield loss but mostly lower quality. Heavy rains in Arizona may reduce the acreage gleaned after harvest.

The Bureau of the Census reports 8,825,792 running bales ginned prior to December 1. The comparable 1981 and 1980 totals were 10,156,076 bales and 7,840,476 bales, respectively.

World cotton stocks continue to build. This month's assessment of the world cotton outlook for 1982-83 points to production moderately in excess of mill use with stocks continuing to build. Record yields may boost output to an estimated 68.2 million bales, one million above the November indication. Global consumption is forecast at 66.6 million bales, near month-earlier prospects. Consequently, this season's ending stocks now are placed at 29.5 million bales, about one million above a month ago and 1.5 million above the beginning level.

The United States is burdened with most of this season's prospective surplus in world cotton stocks. By the end of 1982-83, the U. S. carryover may total 8.0 million bales, 0.5 million above the month-earlier indication and sharply above the carryin. The increase reflects weak demand in the face of surprisingly large production of 12.1 million bales. While mill use may only slightly top last season's 50-year low of 5.3 million bales, exports are expected to total about 5.4 million, sharply below 1982-83's 6.6 million. Relatively poor export prospects are the result of diminished import demand by China because of record production there as well as sluggish textile activity in major importing countries in the Far East and Western Europe.

A close balance between supply and demand continues to highlight the foreign cotton outlook for 1982-83. Production is estimated at a record 56.1 million bales, 0.8 million above month-earlier prospects, primarily reflecting larger production in China and Brazil. Prospective consumption remains unchanged from last month at 61.1 million bales. This season's ending stocks are placed at 21.5 million bales, near the year-earlier level.

Cotton trade prospects for 1982-83 continue to slip. With smaller import needs by China, world imports are estimated at 17.6 million bales, 0.5 million below the November indication, and the smallest since 1974-75. So, with reduced import demand, global exports are off over a tenth at 17.6 million bales. U. S. exports may drop nearly one-fifth from last seasoon. The U. S. share of world trade is expected to decline slightly, reflecting generally less competitive prices in international markets.

				1982-83 Projec	tions
Cotton	1980-81	1981-82	November	December	Probable variation <u>2</u> /
			Million 480	lb. bales	
Beginning stocks 3/	3.0	2.7	6.6	6.6	
Production	11.1	15.6	11.9	12.1	+0.2 to -0.2
Supply, total 4/	14.1	18.3	18.6	18.8	+0.2 to -0.2
Mill use	5.9	5.3	5.4	5.4	+0.3 to -0.3
Exports	5.9	6.6	5.8	5.4	+0.7 to -0.7
Use, total	11.8	11.8	11.2	10.8	+1.0 to -1.0
Dif. unactd. 5/	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Ending stocks	2.7	6.6	7.5	8.0	+1.2 to -1.2

U. S. cotton: Supply and use, marketing years 1980-81, 1981-82 and projected 1982-83 1/ December 13, 1982

1/ Marketing year beginning August 1. 2/ The "probable variation" reflects the root mean square error and/or standard error of estimate from trend and judgment. Chances are about two out of three that the outcome will fall within the implied ranges. 3/ Based on Bureau of the Census data. 4/ Includes imports. 5/ Difference between ending stocks based on Bureau of Census data and preceding season's supply less distribution. NOTE: Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: United States Department of Agriculture.

32
-1982
5
1981-1
states
at
st
by
ginnings
n1
i'u
and
uo
H
nc
production
Id
ů
acre
per
I P
yield
yl
sted
Se
LV.
ha
e
Icreage
CL
c
uo
otton
ö

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	04440	Area h	Area harvested	Lint yield harvested a	ield per ted acre	Prod	Production 480-1b weight bales	- T	Ginnings prior December 1	prior to ber 1
1,000 acres Pounds 1,000 bates Running bate 372.0 300.0 545 720 425.0 1,200.0 373,426 2 599.0 489.0 1,247 1,178 1,556.0 1,200.0 373,426 2 599.0 489.0 1,247 1,178 1,556.0 1,200.0 373,426 2 599.0 489.0 1,109 1,006 544.0 587,109 371,420 282.00 371,2591 1 175.0 175.0 1,700.0 1,000.0 3,100.0 2,529,038 2,1 175.0 1,550 643 1,550 1,660 603 1,550 121,61 1,2 183.0 1,550 641 1,5 122 280.0 1490,17 1,4 183.0 1550 640 3,500 1,250,01 135,401 1,2 1,2 183.0 1550 1667 953 1,560.0 280.0 701,012 1,4	State	1981	Indicated 1982	1981	Indicated 1982		Indicate	d 1982 December	1981	.1982
372.0 300.0 545 720 422.0 450.0 450.0 373,426 4 599.0 489.0 1,247 1,178 1,556.0 1,200.0 373,426 2 1750.0 1,370.0 1,370.0 1,370.0 1,370.0 373,426 2 1750.1 1,570.0 1,370.0 1,370.0 374.0 359.00 374.293 371.00 371.010.1 373,426 2 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.988 375.961 191<	Upland	1,000		P	spund		1,000 bales		Running	
599.0 489.0 1,247 1,178 1,556.0 1,200.0 375,988 5 1,500.0 1,370.0 1,100 3,100.0 3,210.0 3,221.00 5,40.0 5,291.09 5,321.09 5,321.09 5,321.09 5,321.09 5,321.09 5,321.09 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 5,321.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 2,529.00 1,400.112 1 1 2,200.0 1,400.112 1 1 2,200.0 1,400.112 1 1 2,529.00 1,400.112 1 1 2,529.00 1 2,529.00 1 2,529.00 1 2,529.00 1 2,529.00 1 2,529.00 1 2,529.00 1,529.00 1,420.00 3,500.00 <t< td=""><td>Alabama</td><td>372.0</td><td>300.0</td><td>545</td><td>720</td><td>422.0</td><td>450.0</td><td>450.0</td><td>373,426</td><td>407,362</td></t<>	Alabama	372.0	300.0	545	720	422.0	450.0	450.0	373,426	407,362
	Arizona	599.0	489.0	1,247	1,178	1,556.0	1,200.0	1,200.0	875,988	558,508
1,530.0 1,370.0 1,109 1,086 3,535.0 3,100.0 3,100.0 2,529,038 2,1 177.0 155.0 601 640 21.3 20.0 215,591 2 695.0 605.0 512 603 175.0 611 640 21.3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2	Arkansas	560.0	425.0	518	610	604.0	540.0	540.0	582,109	510,703
17.0 15.0 601 640 21.3 20.0 20.0 21,591 1 175.0 175.0 175.0 175.0 175.0 175.0 175.0 121,591 1 6955.0 603 1556.0 1580.0 880.0 701,012 1 17,200.0 1,050.0 626 823 1,555.0 1,490,175 1,4 0.9 0.09 800 640 1.5 1.2 210.0 1,490,175 1,4 0.9 0.09 800 640 1.5 1.2 1.2 1,4	California	1,530.0	1,370.0	1,109	1,086		•	3,100.0	2,529,038	2,117,009
I75.0 I75.01 I155.0 I155.0 I155.0 I155.0 I155.0 I155.0 I155.00 I157.00 I157.00 <thi157.00< th=""> I157.00 I157.00</thi157.00<>		17.0	15.0	601	640	21.3	20.0		1	1
	Georgia	175.0	175.0	436	603	159.0	220.0	220.0	121,591	183,278
1,200.0 1,050.0 626 823 1,565.0 1,800.0 1,490,715 1,4 0.9 0.9 800 640 1.5 1.2 <td>Louisiana</td> <td>695.0</td> <td>605.0</td> <td>512</td> <td>698</td> <td>742.0</td> <td>880.0</td> <td>880.0</td> <td>701,012</td> <td>814,412</td>	Louisiana	695.0	605.0	512	698	742.0	880.0	880.0	701,012	814,412
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mississippi	1,200.0	1,050.0	626	823	1,565.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,490,715	1,618,666
0.9 0.0 640 1.5 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 2.020 95.920 95.942 <th< td=""><td>Missouri</td><td>183.0</td><td>155.0</td><td>141</td><td>650</td><td>168.0</td><td>205.0</td><td>210.0</td><td>152,601</td><td>194,709</td></th<>	Missouri	183.0	155.0	141	650	168.0	205.0	210.0	152,601	194,709
a 106.0 65.0 602 591 133.0 80.0 80.0 $92,020$ a 82.0 72.0 558 667 95.0 100.0 100.0 $85,942$ a 118.0 95.0 667 783 164.0 145.0 155.0 $154,243$ 7,200.0 $3,900.0$ 376 682 315.0 $2,600.0$ $2,507,02$ $1,67,200.0$ $3,900.0$ 376 $5,645.0$ $2,500.0$ $2,507,02$ $1,70 -$	Nevada 2/	0.9	0.9	800	640	1.5	1.2	1.2	1	1
a 82.0 72.0 558 667 95.0 100.0 100.0 85,942 a 118.0 95.0 667 730 305 440.0 260.0 280.0 115,243 1 a 118.0 95.0 667 783 164.0 145.0 155.0 142,406 155.0 7,200.0 3900.0 376 320 5,645.0 2,500.0 2,507.00 2,507.00 142,406 1 0.3 0.0.3 480 480 480 2,645.0 2,500.0 2,507.00 2,507.70 2,507.70 2,507.70	New Mexico	106.0	65.0	602	591	133.0	80.0	80.0	92,020	53,312
a 640.0 440.0 330 305 440.0 260.0 280.0 $154,243$ 7,200.0 350.0 667 783 164.0 145.0 $142,406$ $142,4067,200.0$ $3,900.0$ 376 682 315.0 340.0 355.0 $287,8987,200.0$ $3,900.0$ 376 682 315.0 $2,500.0$ $2,507,002$ $1,60.3$ 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 $ 13,75013,783.2$ $9,407.2$ 542 612 $15,566.1$ $11,841.5$ $11,991.5$ $10,109,741$ $8,733.6$ 43.9 767 875 53.7 75.9 80.0 $27,5746.8$ 9.3 558 501 7.9 8.0 22.0 21.0 $16,79817.6$ 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 $16,798to m Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,105,076 8,8$	North Carolina	82.0	72.0	558	667	95.0	100.0	100.0	85,942	95,140
a 118.0 95.0 667 783 164.0 145.0 155.0 142,406 1 7,200.0 3,900.0 376 682 315.0 340.0 355.0 287,898 3 7,200.0 3,900.0 376 682 315.0 2,500.0 2,507,002 1,6 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 10,109,741 8,7 - 13,750 - 10,109,741 8,7 - 13,750 - 10,109,741 8,7 - 13,750 - 10,109,741 8,7 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,750 - 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.5 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.5 10,156,076 8,8 to m 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.5 10,156,076 8,8 to m 150 0 0,000 0,	Oklahoma	640.0	440.0	330	305	440.0	260.0	280.0	154,243	80,370
305.0 250.0 496 682 315.0 3540.0 355.0 287,898 31 7,200.0 3,900.0 376 320 5,645.0 2,500.0 2,507,002 1,61 - - - - - - - 13,750 1 13,783.2 9,407.2 542 612 15,566.1 11,841.5 11,991.5 10,109,741 8,77 13,783.2 9,407.2 542 612 15,566.1 11,841.5 11,991.5 10,109,741 8,77 33.6 43.9 767 875 53.7 75.9 80.0 27,574 2 6.8 9.3 558 501 7.9 80.0 27,574 2 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 27,574 2 n Pima 58.0 72.7 653 501 79 80.0 27,574 2 n Pima 58.0 72.7 659 517 18.0 22.0 27,574 2 n Pima 58.0 72.7	South Carolina	118.0	95.0	667	783	164.0	145.0	155.0	142,406	133,768
7,200.0 3,900.0 376 320 5,645.0 2,500.0 2,600.0 2,507,002 1,61 - - - - - - - - 13,750 1 - - - - - - - - 13,750 1 13,783.2 9,407.2 542 612 15,566.1 11,841.5 11,991.5 10,109,741 8,77 13,783.2 9,407.2 542 612 15,566.1 11,841.5 11,991.5 10,109,741 8,77 33.6 43.9 767 875 53.7 75.9 80.0 27,574 2 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 1,963 2 n Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 46,335 5 0.13, 841.2 9,479.9 543 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,1156,076 8,83	Tennessee	305.0	250.0	496	682	315.0	340.0	355.0	287,898	318,098
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Texas	7,200.0	3,900.0	376	320	•	•	2,600.0	2,507,002	1,677,031
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Virginia 2/	0.3	0.3	480	480	0.3	0.3	0.3	1	1
13,783.2 9,407.2 542 612 15,566.1 11,841.5 11,991.5 10,109,741 8,71 33.6 43.9 767 875 53.7 75.9 80.0 $27,574$ 2 6.8 9.3 558 501 7.9 80.0 $27,574$ 2 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 $16,798$ 2 n Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 100.7 $46,335$ 1 n Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 $46,335$ 1 on $13,841.2$ $9,479.9$ 543 613 $15,645.7$ $11,947.4$ $12,102.2$ $10,156,076$ $8,80$	All other	'	1	ı	i.	•	'	'	13,750	11,668
33.6 43.9 767 875 53.7 75.9 80.0 27,574 2 6.8 9.3 558 501 7.9 8.0 9.7 1,963 2 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 16,798 2 n Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 46,335 2 ton 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,88	U. S. upland	13,783.2	9,407.2	542	612	15,566.1	11,841.5	11,991.5	10,109,741	8,774,034
izona 33.6 43.9 767 875 53.7 75.9 80.0 27,574 2 <i>w</i> Mexico 6.8 9.3 558 501 7.9 8.0 9.7 1,963 xas 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 16,798 2 S. American Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 46,335 5 S. all cotton 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,83	American Pima									
<pre>w Mexico 6.8 9.3 558 501 7.9 8.0 9.7 1,963 xas 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 16,798 2 S. American Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 46,335 5 S. all cotton 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,83</pre>	Arizona	33.6		767	875	53.7	75.9	80.0	27,574	26,919
xas 17.6 19.5 491 517 18.0 22.0 21.0 16,798 S. American Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 46,335 S. all cotton 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8	New Mexico	6.8	9.3	558	501	7.9	8.0	6.7	1,963	3,257
S. American Pima 58.0 72.7 659 731 79.6 105.9 110.7 46,335 S. all cotton 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076 8,8	Texas	17.6	;	491	517	18.0	22.0	21.0	16,798	21,582
S. all cotton 13,841.2 9,479.9 543 613 15,645.7 11,947.4 12,102.2 10,156,076	s.	58.0		629	731	79.6	105.9	110.7	46,335	51,758
	s.	13,841.2		543	613	15,645.7	11,947.4	12,102.2	10,156,076	8,825,792

United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, Cotton Division

			Grade 41 S	Staple 34			Grade 31
Date Futu			res Settlement			9-market	Staple 35
	Mar '83	May '83	Jul '83	Oct '83	Dec '83	average	'A' Index 1/
Dec. 9	67.53	68.90	69.50	68.25	68.65	61.05	69.15
10	66.82	68.40	68.95	68.90	69.45	60.49	69.75
13	65.62	67.26	68.55	68.15	68.65	59.53	70.00
14	65.67	67.22	68.47	67.70	68.17	59.63	69.70
15	65.47	67.07	68.14	67.15	67.22	59.42	69.70
16	65.86	67.31	68.29	67.20	67.47	59.66	69.75

New York futures contract settlement, designated spot market average for grade 41 staple 34 and 'A' index cotton prices in cents per pound

1/ C.I.F. Northern Europe price furnished by Cotton Outlook of Liverpool.

New Orleans futures contract settlement and designated spot market average for grade 41 staple 32 in cents per pound

a la contra de la co	Grade 41 Staple 32							
Date	Futures Settlement							
	Mar '83	May '83	Jul '83	Oct '83	Dec '83	average 1/		
Dec. 9	61.40	63.00	64.00	-	-	55.34		
10	60.83	62.35	63.75	-	-	54.91		
13	60.30	61.80	63.00	-	-	54.19		
14	59.95	61.45	62.70	-	-	54.27		
15	59.60	61.20	62.60	-	-	54.15		
16	60.00	61.35	62.60	-	-	54.39		

1/ Greenville, Montgomery, Memphis, Dallas and Lubbock.

U. S. upland cotton export sales and exports, in running bales, for week and year, marketing years 1981-82 and 1982-83

	MARKETING YEAR						
Description	1	.981-82	1982-83				
	Through	December 10	Through December 9				
	Week	Marketing year	Week	Marketing year			
Outstanding sales	-	3,746,200		1,868,500			
Exports	123,300	1,308,400	87,200	1,426,600			
Total export commitments	-	5,054,600	-	3,295,100			
New sales	181,100	-	45,100	-			
Buy-backs and cancellations	10,200	-	7,600	-			
Net sales	170,900	-	37,500	-			
Sales next marketing year	18,300	72,200	-	30,200			

Source: Export Sales Reporting Division, Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.

<u>Upland cotton export sales</u> activity was slow during the week ended December 9, according to the Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA. The net increase of sales totaled 37,500 running bales, 16 percent below the average of the past four weeks. The major buyers were Japan 17,900 bales and South Korea 11,700 bales. Asian destinations accounted for 74 percent of the week's exports of 87,200 bales and European destinations 16 percent.