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Spot cotton prices continued to decline, according to the Cotton Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA. Grower offerings remained very light. Merchant needs were fairly well covered and very few buyers were interested in trading. Domestic and foreign mill purchases were limited. Forward contracting of the 1984 crop by growers was at a standstill as most growers were not willing to book at offered prices. The crop made good to excellent progress in most localities. Harvesting expanded in early producing sections of Texas. Deltapine and Stoneville were the most popular varieties of upland cotton planted in 1984. Although consumption for the first 11 months this marketing year was the largest since 1980-81, the average daily rate for June this season was the smallest in five months. End-of-June stocks at mills were the smallest since records began in 1913.

Spot cotton prices for grade 41 staple 34 , mike $35-49$, in the designated markets averaged 64.37 cents per pound during the week ended Thursday, July 26 . Prices ranged from a high of 65.22 cents on Friday, July 20, to a low of 64.01 cents on Tuesday, July 24 and ended the week at 64.32 cents. The average price on July 24 was the lowest since February 25, 1983. A week earlier, prices averaged 67.19 cents per pound, ranging from 66.02 to 69.07 cents. In the corresponding week a year ago, the average price was 71.91 cents. The New York October 1984 futures settlement price ended the week on Thursday at 66.97 cents per pound compared with 68.70 cents a week earlier. The December price was 67.72 cents against 68.77 cents the previous week.

Trading on spot cotton markets continued slow. Grower-held supplies were about exhausted and not offered freely at current prices. Demand was weak as most merchants had needs fairly well covered. Domestic mills continued to purchase very selectively and only in limited quantities. Export trading was slow. Limited purchases were made by Far Eastern and European mills with shipments scheduled for prompt through September 1985. Purchases reported by cotton exchanges in the designated markets totaled 46,900 bales in the week ended Thursday, July 26 . This compares with 22,700 bales reported a week earlier and 63,100 bales in the corresponding week last season.

Textile mill report. Domestic mill demand was weak. Purchases consisted of a light volume needed to cover spot shortages. Some mills with more cotton purchased than needed were offering to sell or giving shippers the option to delay or cancel shipments. Interest in new-crop cotton was light. Sales of textiles were mixed. Most plants producing woven goods and yarn were running about five days per week but production has been reduced by cutting back on some shifts. Products for the household, the automotive trade and selected industrial items were trading at a fairly steady rate. Textile imports continue to make inroads into the domestic market and were credited by most sources with the current slowdown in mill business.

Domestic mill consumption of cotton averaged 20,000 running bales per day during the five weeks ended June 30, according to the Bureau of the Census. This was down from 22,100 bales a month earlier and 21,700 bales in June 1983. Domestic mills consumed 500,700 bales during June, bringing consumption for the season ( 48 weeks) to $5,222,900$ bales. This was the largest consumption for the first 11 months of any season since 1980-81. Through June last year, mills had used 4,889, 700 bales and two years ago $4,726,000$ bales.

Consumption of manmade fibers by domestic mills with cotton system spindles totaled 173.8 million pounds (about 362,000 bales of 480 pounds) during the five weeks ended June 30, according to the Bureau of the Census. This brought consumption for the August-June period this season ( 48 weeks) to $1,735.1 \mathrm{million}$ pounds (about $3,614,800$ bales). August-June consumption last season ( 48 weeks) totaled $1,577.0$ million pounds (about $3,285,400$ bales).

Stocks of cotton at mills totaled 751,400 running bales on June 30 , according to the $\overline{B u r e a u}$ of the Census. This was the smallest end-of-June stocks at mills since records began in 1913 and compares with 766,100 bales a month earlier and 756,900 bales at the end of June last year. At the June daily rate of consumption, mill stocks were equivalent to about seven and one-half weeks' supply. Cotton in public storage totaled $2,243,300$ running bales on June 30 , the smallest end-of-June stocks since 1981. Public storage stocks totaled $2,993,400$ bales a month earlier and $7,419,200$ bales at the end of June last season.

Varieties planted, 1984 crop. Deltapine and Stoneville were the leading varieties of upland cotton planted in the United States and each accounted for 17 percent of the national acreage. Growers favored Deltapine in Arizona and Louisiana. The most popular strain was Deltapine 41 which accounted for 7 percent of the $U$. S. acreage. Stoneville was the leading variety planted in Alabama, Arkansas, Mississippi, Missouri and Tennessee. Stoneville 825 was the strain most often planted and accounted for 11 percent of the U. S. acreage. Acala was the next most popular variety, accounting for 12 percent of the national acreage. This was the leading variety planted in California and New Mexico. Acala SJ-2 was the predominant strain planted in California and Acala 1517-75 was the preferred strain in New Mexico. Paymaster was the next most popular variety planted and accounted for 10 percent of the $U . S$. acreage. This was the leading variety planted in Texas. The most popular strain was Paymaster 145 which accounted for 4 percent of the national acreage. Lankart and Tamcot were the next two leading varieties planted. Each accounted for 7 percent of the U. S. acreage. Lankart was the leading variety planted in Oklahoma. The most popular strain was Lankart 611 which accounted for 3 percent of the U. S. acreage. Tamcot was the second leading variety planted in Texas and Oklahoma. Tamcot SP21 was the strain most often planted and accounted for 3 percent of the national acreage. Pima S-6 was the most popular strain of American Pima cotton planted this season and accounted for 93 percent of the U. S. acreage. Pima S-5 made up 7 percent.

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1980-1984

| Year | Acala | Delta- <br> pine | Lankart | Pay- <br> master | Stone- <br> ville | Tamcot | Other | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{10}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{15}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{10}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{7}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{15}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{7}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{36}$ | $\frac{\text { Pct. }}{100}$ |
| 1981 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 27 | 100 |
| 1982 | 14 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 21 | 10 | 26 | 100 |
| 1983 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 27 | 100 |
| 1984 | 12 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 30 | 100 |

All cotton: Domestic mill consumption and stocks, running bales, by months and seasons, 1979-1983

| Season <br> beginning <br> August 1 | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | June | July |
| :--- |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ \text { bales } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bales } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mil1 consumption $1 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 472 | 482 | 630* | 482 | 436 | 604* | 507 | 513 | 622* | 496 | 478 | 487* | 6,209 |
| 1980 | 443 | 456 | 597* | 458 | 475* | 435 | 446 | 539* | 435 | 441 | 531* | 385 | 5,641 |
| 1981 | 429 | 517* | 448 | 403 | 400* | 378 | 398 | 493* | 410 | 392 | 460* | 317 | 5,043 |
| 1982 | 386 | 474* | 416 | 391 | 425* | 404 | 430 | 549* | 431 | 441 | 543* | 369 | 5,259 |
| 1983 3/ | 453 | 560* | 459 | 446 | 468* | 469 | 448 | 548* | 430 | 442 | 501* |  |  |
| Mi11 consumption per day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 25.2 | 24.1 | 21.8 | 24.2 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 24.8 | 23.9 | 19.5 | 23.9 |
| 1980 | 22.1 | 22.8 | 23.9 | 22.9 | 19.0 | 21.8 | 22.3 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 21.3 | 19.2 | 21.7 |
| 1981 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 22.4 | 20.1 | 16.0 | 18.9 | 19.9 | 19.7 | 20.5 | 19.6 | 18.4 | 15.9 | 19.4 |
| 1982 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 19.5 | 17.0 | 20.2 | 21.5 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 22.0 | 21.7 | 18.4 | 20.2 |
| 1983 3/ | 22.6 | 22.4 | 22.9 | 22.3 | 18.7 | 23.5 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 21.5 | 22.1 | 20.0 |  |  |
| Stocks in consuming establishments ${ }^{2 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 850 | 749 | 648 | 730 | 833 | 900 | 989 | 1,071 | 1,132 | 1,099 | 1,002 | 955 | - |
| 1980 | 891 | 784 | 743 | 750 | 831 | 947 | 1,038 | 1,105 | 1,078 | 1,023 | 937 | 883 | - |
| 1981 | 810 | 695 | 663 | 673 | 757 | 822 | 884 | 917 | 911 | 901 | 871 | 830 | - |
| 1982 | 750 | 671 | 611 | 635 | 698 | 782 | 777 | 790 | 795 | 777 | 757 | 756 | - |
| 1983 3/ | 712 | 639 | 556 | 554 | 611 | 647 | 713 | 741 | 766 | 766 | 751 |  |  |
|  | Stocks in public storage 2 / |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 2,198 | 1,880 | 3,785 | 6,898 | 8,163 | 7,739 | 6,558 | 5,299 | 4,084 | 3,126 | 2,341 | 1,822 | - |
| 1980 | 1,509 | 1,578 | 3,181 | 5,071 | 5,927 | 5,847 | 5,109 | 4,227 | 3,470 | 2,807 | 2,199 | 1,688 | - |
| 1981 | 1,491 | 1,940 | 4,060 | 7,064 | 9,267 | 9,489 | 8,882 | 7,921 | 7,112 | 6,292 | 5,544 | 5,269 | - |
| 1982 | 5,008 | 5,293 | 7,575 | 10,190 | 11,101 | 11,120 | 10,546 | 9,713 | 8,796 | 7,930 | 7,419 | 6,656 | - |
| 1983 3/ | 6,168 | 5,870 | 7,119 | 8,570 | 8,790 | 7,525 | 6,359 | 4,997 | 3,956 | 2,993 | 2,243 |  |  |

1/ Consumption figures relate to four-week months except as noted. Daily consumption rates calculated on the basis of 20 days for four-week months and 25 days for five-week months with no allowance for holidays. Season totals not necessarily sums of monthly figures because of adjustments.
Data refer to a particular day near the end of the month.
$\frac{2 /}{3}$ / Preliminary.

El Salvador's declining supply prospects and revitalization of the domestic textile industry have led to demands by the domestic textile union that the government require nearly half of domestic production be set aside for the local industry with the prospects of reducing the availability of exportable cotton, according to the Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.


New York futures contract settlement, designated spot market average for grade 41 staple 34 and ' $A$ ' Index cotton prices in cents per pound

| Date | Grade 41 Staple 34 |  |  |  |  |  | Grade 31 Staple 35 ' A ' Index 1/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Futures Settlement |  |  |  |  | 8-Market Average |  |
|  | Oct ${ }^{\prime} 84$ | Dec '84 | Mar ${ }^{1} 85$ | May 185 | Jul ${ }^{1} 85$ |  |  |
| July 19 | 68.70 | 68.77 | 70.80 | 71.75 | 72.80 | 66.02 | 78.00 |
| 20 | 67.92 | 68.14 | 70.15 | 71.15 | 72.25 | 65.22 | 77.80 |
| 23 | 66.79 | 67.28 | 69.25 | 70.45 | 71.55 | 64.12 | 77.20 |
| 24 | 66.65 | 67.36 | 69.25 | 70.00 | 71.01 | 64.01 | 76.30 |
| 25 | 66.79 | 67.82 | 69.62 | 70.40 | 71.25 | 64.18 | 76.30 |
| 26 | 66.97 | 67.72 | 69.50 | 70.30 | 71.15 | 64.32 | 76.50 |

1/ C.I.F. Northern Europe price furnished by Cotton Outlook of Liverpool.

U. S. upland cotton export sales and exports, in running bales, for week and year, marketing years 1982-83 and 1983-84

| Description | Marketing Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1982-83 |  | 1983-84 |  |
|  | Through July 21 |  | Through July 19 |  |
|  | Week | Marketing Year | Week | Marketing Year |
| Outstanding sales | - | 785,100 | - | 839,600 |
| Exports | 103,500 | 4,677,500 | 82,000 | 6,308,500 |
| Total export commitments | , | 5,462,600 | - | 7,148,100 |
| New sales | 36,100 | - | 15,800 | - |
| Buy-backs and cancellations | 8,400 | - | 8,600 | - |
| Net sales | 27,700 | - | 7,200 | - |
| Sales next marketing year | 120,900 | 1,976,000 | 128,500 | 1,998,800 |

Source: Export Sales Reporting Division, Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA.
Upland cotton export sales for the current marketing year resulted in a net increase of 7,200 running bales during the week ended July 19 , according to the Foreign Agricultural Service, USDA. Major purchases were by South Korea with 6,000 bales followed by Indonesia with 1,500 bales. Sales for the $1984-85$ marketing year totaled 128,500 bales and were primarily to South Korea with 54,000 bales and Indonesia 22,300 bales. Weekly exports of 82,000 bales were up 4 percent from a week earlier. Asian destinations accounted for 69 percent of the week's shipments, the USSR 26 percent and Western Europe 5 percent.

