Factors Affecting Food Safety: Who Do Consumers Trust?

Lynn Hunnicutt and Ruby Ward Utah State University

What is Known

- Various aspects of produce well understood
 - Organic
 - Locally produced
- Premium for various characteristics including safety
- Consumer risk perceptions of the food they eat

What is Not Known

- What influences consumer perceptions of food safety
 - Production characteristics
 - Store where purchased
 - Government inspection
 - Certification
 - Brand
 - Growing location

Objectives

 How much do consumers care about the safety of produce they consume?

 What characteristics do consumers believe best ensure food safety?

Activities Brands

Certification Retail outlets

Growing location

Methods

- Questions about apples, potatoes, all produce
- Obtain consumer's RANK of food safety vis a vis other characteristics
 - Rank=f(demographic variables)
- Obtain consumer's SCORE of determinants of food safety
 - non-parametric comparison of determinants

The Survey

- Survey instrument
 - Dillman's TDM used
 - 500 mailed to UT consumers
 - 78 completed, 28 bad addresses
 - Sample representative of UT population
 - Salt Lake (35%) and Davis Counties (8%) under represented.
 - Carbon (3%) over represented.

Sample Demographics

- 66% females
 - 50% for Utah population
- 3.58 average household size
 - 3.13 for Utah
- 55% with children under 18
 - 43% of Utah households
 - 56% of Utah family households

Sample Demographics

- Younger ages (below 34) underrepresented, 35+ over-represented
- Associate's degree+ overrepresented in sample
- Sample over-represented for \$30k -\$75k and \$100k plus

Allergies and Food Borne Illnesses

- 17% of households had someone in the family with a food allergy
- 21% had someone in their immediate family suffer from a food borne illness in the last 5 years
 - 12% did not know

Ranking of Produce Characteristics

- Blemish Free
 - Mode ranking was 2 in all cases
- Price
 - Mode ranking was 1 for apples and potatoes, 2 for all produce
- Food Safety
 - Mode ranking was 1 in all cases
- Variety

Characteristics Ensuring Safety

- Apples
 - Clean produce department
 - Grown in Washington
- Potatoes
 - Grown in Idaho
 - Clean produce department
- All Produce
 - Clean produce department

Characteristics NOT Ensuring Safety

- Apples
 - Certified GMO Free
 - Grown in Chile
- Potatoes
 - Certified GMO Free
 - Grown in Chile
- All Produce
 - Certified Organic
 - Grown in Mexico

Basic Statistics

- Significant t-tests for differences in means
 - Activity: clean dept vs. organic, GM free
 - Brand: Sunkist vs. Morning Sun
 - Cert Org: UT Dept Ag. vs. WWF
 - Growing Location: WA vs. Chile, ID vs.
 Chile, US vs. Mexico

Basic Statistics

- Other t-tests for differences in means
 - UT Dept Agriculture vs. USDA NOT significant
 - WA apples, ID potatoes higher than Sunkist
 - Albertsons/Smiths lower than clean dept.

Preliminary Conclusions

- Food safety is important to UT consumers
 - But price and appearance also matter
- A clean produce dept. best ensures food safety in consumers' minds
- GMO free and organic are not viewed as ensuring food safety by UT consumers
- More statistical analysis of these data will be needed to confirm these results and test additional hypotheses.

The Literature

- Pesticide Free
 - Baker (1999)
 - Boccaletti and Nardella (2000)
 - Estes and Smith (1996)
- Location
 - Brooker et al (1987, 1988)
 - Loureiro and Hine (2002)
- Organic
 - Thompson (1998)

Table 1: Percentage of sample and Utah's population in each age group

Age Groups	Sample	Utah *
18-24	2	21
25-34	14	22
35-44	26	20
45-64	42	25
>64	17	13

above below

Table 2: Income distribution, percentage in each group.

	Sample	Utah *
< \$15,000	2 %	11%
15,000 - 29,999	11%	18%
30,000 - 49,999	34%	26%
50,000 - 74,999	28%	23%
75,000 - 99,999	9%	11%
100,000 +	17%	11%
* Census 2000 data.	above	below

Table 3: Education Distribution, percentage in each group.

	Sample	Utah
less than H.S.*		12%
High School	17%	25%
Some College	21%	29%
Associate's	18%	8%
Bachelor's	32%	18%
Master's or PhD	12%	8%

^{*} Did not give this choice on survey.

above

below

Table 4: Percentage of sample making majority of fresh produce purchases at each outlet

	All Produce*	
Local Independent	41%	
Albertsons	15%	
Smiths	10%	
Harmons	10%	
WalMart	10%	
Macy's	7%	* apples and potatoes were
Grow own	5%	exactly the same.
Fred Meyer	2%	
Farm stand	2%	
Wild Oats	0%	
Famer's market	0%	
U-pick	0%	
6/16/2003	Hunnicutt and Ward	

Table 5: Percentage of sample servings consumed by entire household

Servings	Apples per week	Potatoes Per week	Produce per day
0	5 %	8%	0%
1-5	73%	53%	65%
6-10	18%	21%	26%
> 10	5%	18%	9%

high

low

Table 6: Ranking of produce characteristics (1 is best).

Characteristic	Apples	Potatoes	All Produce
Blemish Free	1.941	2.306	2.000
appearance	(0.904)	(1.262)	(0.941)
Price	2.765	2.700	2.830
	(1.680)	(1.961)	(1.795)
Food Safety	3.313	3.250	3.000
	(1.904)	(1.919)	(1.881)
Variety	3.245	3.818	3.880
	(1.640)	(1.742)	(1.560)
Store where	5.041	4.660	4.902
purchased	(1.541)	(1.748)	(1.712)

6/16/2003

Hunnicutt and Ward

Apples: Max and min average score for each category.

	Maximum Average			Minimum Average		
	Item	Avg	#	Item	Avg	#
Activity	Clean Dept.	4.20	60	Cert GMO Free	2.67	42
Brand	Sunkist	3.67	45	Morning Sun	2.06	18
Certifying Org	UT Dept Ag.	3.82	55	WWF	1.50	18
Retail Outlet	Wild Oats	3.62	13	Farm Mkt.	3.00	30
Growing Loca	Washington	4.00	52	Chile	1.93	40

Potatoes: Item with maximum and minimum average for each area of food safety assurance questions.

	Maximum Average			Minimum Average		
	Item	Avg	#	Item	Avg	#
Activity	Clean Dept.	4.13	60	Cert GMO Free	2.67	42
Brand	Sunkist	3.60	43	Morning Sun	2.11	19
Certifying Org	UT Dept Ag.	3.76	55	WWF	1.50	18
Retail Outlet	Wild Oats	3.62	13	Farm Mkt.	2.90	30
Growing Locat	Idaho	4.16	61	Chile	1.88	41

Produce: Max and min average score for each category.

			I			
	Maximum Average			Minimum Average		
	Item	Avg	#	Item	Avg	#
Activity	Clean Dept.	4.17	65	Cert. Organic	2.72	50
Brand	Sunkist	3.85	55	Morning Sun	2.05	21
Certifying Org	UT Dept Ag.	3.67	61	WWF	1.45	20
Retail Outlet	Wild Oats	3.62	13	Farm Mkt.	3.00	30
Growing Loca	US	3.63	59	Mexico	1.87	46