

Optimal Stocking Density and Food Safety Risks in Steer Production Enterprise

**William E. Nganje, Simeon Kaitibie, B. Wade
Brorsen, and Francis M. Epplin**

**Paper Presented at the WCC-72 Annual Meeting
Las Vegas, Nevada
June 9-11, 2003**

Background

- Estimated LRP with stochastic plateau
 - Average Daily Gain= f (Forage Allowance)
 - Overstocking less costly than understocking
- Food safety conflict
- Microbial shedding at high stocking densities
- HACCP system (implementation + testing)
- Output price and production uncertainties

Objectives

- Determine optimal stocking density under production and output price uncertainty.
- Simulate food safety risk by penalizing output price under the assumption of high microbial shedding.

Methods

- Mathematical Models
 - Linear Response function with a stochastic plateau

$$ADG = \begin{cases} 0.48 + 66.47FA + \varepsilon, & \text{if } FA \leq 0.0105 \\ 1.18 + \varepsilon & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Methods

- Total Gain Function

– if $I_{(-\infty, GP^{-1})}(FA_{\text{critical}}^*) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } FA^* \leq GP^{-1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$TG = \left\{ (\alpha_0 GP + \alpha_1) (1 - I_{(-\infty, GP^{-1})}(FA^*)) + ADG_{\max} GPI_{(-\infty, GP^{-1})}(FA^*) \right\} + \varepsilon \times GP.$$

$$y = f(GP) + h(GP)\varepsilon$$

Methods

- Expected Utility Maximization (follows Isik, AJAE, August 2002).

$$\max EU(W | GP) = EU(W_0 + (\bar{P} + \theta) \times [f(GP) + h(GP)\varepsilon] - rGP)$$

Taylor Series Approximation of Marginal Utility

$$\bar{P}f'(GP) = \frac{\phi h(GP)h'(GP)\sigma_\varepsilon^2(\bar{P}^2 + \sigma_\theta^2) + r}{1 - (\phi\sigma_\theta^2 f(GP))/\bar{P}}$$

Food Safety Application

- Penalize P with penalty c proportional to the level of microbial shedding

$$\max EU(W | GB) = EU(W_0 + (1-c)(\bar{P} + \theta) \times [f(GB) + h(GB)\varepsilon] - rGB)$$

Table 1. Optimal Stocking Density under Production and Output Price Uncertainty

Value of gain (\$ per kg)	Optimal grazing pressure (steer-days per hectare)		Optimal stocking density ^a (steers per hectare)	
	$\Phi=0.00005$	Risk neutral farmer	$\phi= 0.00005$	Risk neutral farmer
1.36	104.3210	104.4500	0.8693	0.8704
10 % penalty	101.3090	101.3390	0.8442	0.8445
25 % penalty	99.1160	99.1300	0.8260	0.8261

^a Optimal stocking density is based on a 120-day grazing pressure and an initial standing forage of 1,732 kg per hectare; calculated by dividing optimal grazing pressure by 120.

Table 2. Optimal Stocking Density under Different Sources of Uncertainty

Risk preference	Optimal stocking density (steers per hectare) ^a		
	\$1.36	10 % Penalty	25 % Penalty
Risk neutral	0.8704	0.8445	0.8261
	$\phi=(0.00005)$		
Production uncertainty alone	0.8704	0.8445	0.8261
Value of gain uncertainty alone	0.8694	0.8443	0.8260
Production and value of gain uncertainty	0.8693	0.8442	0.8260

^a Optimal stocking density is based on a 120-day grazing pressure and an initial standing forage of 1,732 kg per hectare; calculated by dividing optimal grazing pressure by 120.

Table 3. Expected Cost of non-Optimal Stocking Density under Risk Aversion and Production and Output Price Uncertainty

Value of gain (\$ per kg)	Stocking density (steers per hectare)	Expected profit (\$ per hectare)	Expected cost of price penalty (microbial shedding) (\$ per hectare)	
1.36				
	0.8693 *	258.20	-	
10 % penalty				
	0.8442 *	224.48	33.72	
25 % penalty				
	0.8260 *	175.17	83.03	

* Optimal stocking density is calculated by dividing optimal grazing pressure by 120.

Conclusions

- Optimal stocking density is marginally higher under risk neutrality than under risk aversion
- Optimal stocking density decreases with price penalty
- The magnitude of returns forgone due to price penalty is high, and increases with price penalty

Additional Work

- Re-estimate linear response plateau function as a composite model – homothetic function
 $adg=f(FA(SD(\text{microbial shedding})))$
- Do expected utility maximization with Monte Carlo integration or Gaussian Quadrature (Risk implications)