OCCASIONAL PAPERS THE MUSEUM TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

NUMBER 78

7 MAY 1982

COMMENTS ON THE STATUS OF MUSONYCTERIS HARRISONI (CHIROPTERA: PHYLLOSTOMIDAE)

WM. DAVID WEBSTER, LYNN W. ROBBINS, R. LAURIE ROBBINS, AND ROBERT J. BAKER

Musonycteris harrisoni is a monotypic glossophagine known only from xeric scrub forests in western México (Jalisco southeastward to Guerrero). Although the systematic relationships among many glossophagines are uncertain because of the apparent convergence associated with nectivory, Musonycteris appears to be most closely related to Choeronycteris mexicana. In the original description of Musonycteris, Schaldach and McLaughlin (1960) allied it morphologically with Choeronycteris and distinguished between the two using differences in the basicranium and in rostral proportions. However, because differences in rostral proportions between two species, Choeroniscus godmani and C. periosus, of another genus in the subfamily exceeded those between Musonycteris and Choeronycteris, Handley (1966) considered Musonycteris to be congeneric with Choeronycteris so as not "to obscure relationships in this segment of the Glossophaginae." Handley further concluded that Hylonycteris, Scleronycteris, and Lichonycteris, although less specialized for nectivory, also were related to Choeroniscus and Choeronycteris. Phillips (1971) regarded Musonycteris and Choeronycteris as distinct genera based on basicranial differences and the expanded metastyle of M³ of Musonycteris, but agreed with Handley concerning the systematic affinities of the other genera.

The karyotypic relationships of these bats were discussed by Baker (1967, 1979) and Gardner (1977). Choeronycteris (2n=16, n)

FN=24, plate 22 of Baker, 1979) and Hylonycteris (2n=16, FN=24, plate 24 of Baker, 1979) appear to have karyotypes that are similar and unique among phyllostomids. Baker (1979) attributed this similarity to a common ancestor with a diploid number of 16 and fundamental number of 24, whereas Gardner (1977) thought the two species independently converged from a 2n=32, FN=30 progenitor to the present karyotype derived by a series of fusions.

The species of Choeroniscus thus far examined have diploid numbers of 18 to 19 or 20 and fundamental numbers of 32 or 36. Although Baker (1967) noted the similarity in the standard karyotype and sex determining mechanisms (XX/XY1Y2) in Choeroniscus and Carollia (subfamily Carolliinae), Stock (1975) found essentially no G or C-band autosomal homologies in those genera. Patton and Gardner (1971) also suggested that a common evolutionary origin of the multiple sex chromosomes of Carollia and Choeroniscus was doubtful. It also should be noted that the five males of *Choeroniscus godmani* thus far examined had a 2n=19, whereas the three females had a 2n=20, suggesting a system in which the Y has been translocated to an autosome rather than an autosome being translocated to the X, as has occurred in Carollia. A note of caution, however, should be considered, because the males (from Chiapas) and females (from Costa Rica and Honduras) were taken from separate geographic localities, and different cytotypes might be involved. Lichonycteris (2n=24, FN=44) is karyotypically distinct among glossophagines; Gardner (1977) assumed it was derived from a 2n=32, FN=30 progenitor primarily by pericentric inversions. The karyotypes of Scleronycteris and Platalina are unknown, and that of Musonycteris is discussed below.

The autosomal karyotypes of two Musonycteris harrisoni (TTU 36153, and 36433, both adult males) from 2 mi. NW Tomatlán, Jalisco, consisted of one large pair of submetacentrics, one large pair of subtelocentrics, a medium pair each of subtelocentrics and submetacentrics, and three small pairs of acrocentrics (Fig. 1). The sex chromosomes are small heteromorphic metacentrics. Thus, the data indicate that the karyotype of Musonycteris (2n=16, FN=22) resembles those of Choeronycteris and Hylonycteris, but differs autosomally from both in possessing three small pairs of acrocentrics (rather than two). The X appears to be biarmed and metacentric in Choeronycteris (plate 22 of Baker, 1979), Hylonycteris (plate 24 of Baker, 1979), and Musonycteris (Fig. 1). The Y is biarmed in both Choeronycteris and Musonycteris. The Y of

2



FIG. 1.-Karyotype of a male Musonycteris harrisoni from Jalisco, México.

Hylonycteris (TTU 36152, adult male from 3 km. E Teapa, Grutas de Cocona, Tabasco) is approximately half the size of the X but has extremely reduced arms above the centromere.

The following points are critical in evaluating the evolutionary relationships of Musonycteris as indicated by karyotypes. A synthesis of G-banded chromosomal, albumin immunological, and electrophoretic data suggests that a 2n=32, FN=60 karyotype such as that found in Glossophaga was primitive for the clade of the Glossophaginae containing Glossophaga, Monophyllus, Leptonycteris, Anoura, Choeronycteris, and Hylonycteris (Baker et al., 1981). Therefore, the most parsimonious conclusion is that taxa with morphologically similar 2n=16 karyotypes possess a highly derived chromosomal phenotype, most features of which were established in the common ancestor for the three genera (Choeronycteris, Musonycteris, and Hylonycteris). However, standard karyotypes of the three are not identical, and a schematic representation of how the karyotypes of each might be modified into those of the other two is shown in Fig. 2. The significant point to be derived from this diagram is that no data from standard karyotypes document that Musonycteris and Choeronycteris are more closely related to each other than either is to Hylonycteris. It is probable that the differences noted in those genera do not result from heterochromatic additions, a rare event in phyllostomid bats (see Baker and Bickham, 1980: table 1).

Morphological and karyotypic similarities indicate that Musonycteris and Choeronycteris are closely related, but we are reluc-



FIG. 2.—The most parsimonious path of change to explain differences in autosomes of *Choeronycteris*, *Musonycteris*, and *Hylonycteris*. The pair of autosomes possibly inverted (PI) in the lineages of *Hylonycteris* and *Choeronycteris* is the second pair of row 2 in plates 22 and 24 of Baker (1979). Also, it should be noted that the two pairs of acrocentric autosomes in *Choeronycteris* are unequal in size, whereas they are equal in *Hylonycteris*.

tant to consider them congeric for several reasons. Clearly, the well-developed basisphenoid ridge, smaller braincase, narrower and more elongate (but domed) rostrum, expanded metastyle on M^3 , and reduced depth of the mandibular ramus in *Musonycteris* separate it from *Choeronycteris*, and are as diagnostic as characters that discriminate between *Choeroniscus* and *Hylonycteris*. Furthermore, the standard karyotypic data do not indicate a closer relationship between *Musonycteris* and *Choeronycteris* than between either and *Hylonycteris*.

We wish to thank Avelino B. Villa S., Direccion General de Fauna Silvestre, and Jorge E. Mendoza, Proyectos Tecnicos Faunisticos, México, for their kind assistance in obtaining collecting permits. Field work was supported by the Institute of Museum Research, Texas Tech University, and NSF Grant DEB-8D-04293 to R. J. Baker.

LITERATURE CITED

- BAKER, R. J. 1967. Karyotypes of bats of the family Phyllostomidae and their taxonomic implications. Southwestern Nat., 12:407-428.
- ——. 1979. Karyology. Pp. 107-155, in Biology of bats of the New World family Phyllostomatidae. Part III (R. J. Baker, J. K. Jones, Jr., and D. C. Carter, eds.), Spec. Publ. Mus., Texas Tech Univ., 16:1-441.
- BAKER, R. J., AND J. W. BICKHAM. 1980. Karyotypic evolution in bats: evidence of extensive and conservative chromosomal evolution in closely related taxa. Syst. Zool., 29:239-253.
- BAKER, R. J., R. L. HONEYCUTT, M. L. ARNOLD, V. M. SARICH, AND H. H. GENO-WAYS. 1981. Electrophoretic and immunological studies on the rela-

tionship of the Brachyphyllinae and the Glossophaginae. J. Mamm., 62:665-672.

- GARDNER, A. L. 1977. Chromosomal variation in Vampyressa and a review of chromosomal evolution in the Phyllostomidae (Chiroptera). Syst. Zool., 26:300-318.
- HANDLEY, C. O., JR. 1966. Descriptions of new bats (Choeroniscus and Rhinophylla) from Colombia. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., 79:83-88.
- PATTON, J. L., AND A. L. GARDNER. 1971. Parallel evolution of multiple sexchromosome systems in the phyllostomid bats, *Carollia* and *Choeroniscus*. Experientia, 27:105-106.
- PHILLIPS, C. J. 1971. The dentition of glossophagine bats: development, morphological characteristics, variation, pathology, and evolution. Misc. Publ. Mus. Nat. Hist., Univ. Kansas, 54:1-138.
- SCHALDACH, W. J., AND C. A. MCLAUGHLIN. 1960. A new genus and species of glossophagine bat from Colima, Mexico. Los Angeles Co. Mus., Contrib. Sci., 37:1-8.
- STOCK, A. D. 1975. Chromosome banding pattern homology and its phylogenetic implications in the bat genera Carollia and Choeroniscus. Cytogenet. Cell Genet., 14:34-41.

Address of authors: Department of Biological Sciences and The Museum, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409 (Present address of WDW: Department of Biology, University of North Carolina-Wilmington, Wilmington, NC 28406). Received: 16 November 1981, accepted: 30 November 1981.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE MUSEUM TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY

Three publications of The Museum of Texas Tech University are issued under the auspices of the Dean of the Graduate School and Director of Academic Publications, and in cooperation with the International Center for Arid and Semi-Arid Land Studies. Short research studies are published as Occasional Papers whereas longer contributions appear as Special Publications. Papers of practical application to collection management and museum operations are issued in the Museology series. All are numbered separately and published on an irregular basis.

The preferred abbreviation for citing The Museum's Occasional Papers is Occas. Papers Mus., Texas Tech Univ.

Institutional subscriptions are available through Texas Tech Press, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409. Institutional libraries interested in exchanging publications should address the Exchange Librarian at Texas Tech University. Individuals can purchase separate numbers of the Occasional Papers for \$1.00 each from Texas Tech Press. Remittance in U.S. currency check, money order, or bank draft must be enclosed with request (add \$1.00 per title or 200 pages of publications requested for foreign postage; residents of the state of Texas must pay a 5 per cent sales tax on the total purchase price). Copies of the "Revised checklist of North American mammals north of Mexico, 1979" (Jones *et al.*, 1979, Occas. Papers Mus., Texas Tech Univ., 62:1-17) are available at 60 cents each in orders of 10 or more.

> ISSN 0149-175X Texas Tech Press Lubbock, Texas 79409