Influence of nanocrystal growth kinetics on interface roughness in nickel–aluminum multilayers
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We study the layer morphology of Ni/Al multilayer structures, with 50 nm period, as deposited and following 10 min anneals up through the melting temperature of Al. X-ray reflectivity measurement of the as-deposited film shows interference fringes, characteristic of a well-defined multilayer stack, with ~1 nm interface roughness. Over a narrow anneal range of 360–500 °C these fringes diminish in amplitude and disappear, indicating elevated interface roughening. However, fringes are observed for anneal temperatures both below and above this range, indicating the presence of well-defined layers with smooth interfaces. A model, in which nanocrystal domains of intermetallic nickel aluminides form at the interfaces, is developed to quantify the annealing induced interface roughness. This model agrees well with the experimental results. © 2003 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1637155]

Intermetallics, such as those produced from pure aluminum and nickel precursors, are important for application in corrosion and oxidation resistant coatings. One interesting method by which to produce precursor materials and study formation properties is to deposit multiple layers of pure Ni and Al with short bilayer periods. Annealing Ni/Al multilayers from room temperature to above the Al melting point (660 °C), but well below that of Ni (1453 °C), produces interesting results due to the variety of possible stable alloy phases and energetic release due to solid-state reactions. Because of the high surface to volume interaction ratios in nanometer-scale structures, the study of surface and interface properties is currently important from both scientific and applied viewpoints.

The majority of published studies have reported x-ray diffraction (XRD) to address compositional changes caused by annealing in various temperature ranges. Additionally, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) has been used for examining the energetic release from the formation of stable phases upon heating. Ma et al. applied the model of Coffey et al. to their Ni/Al multilayer studies by considering domain formation where grain boundaries meet the Ni–Al interfaces. For the Nb/Al and Ni/a-Si and the Ni/Al experiments this model accurately describes the DSC results.

We have found few papers that focus on the interface properties of Ni/Al multilayers, and none that addresses the effect of nanocrystal domain formation on the interface roughness of multilayer stacks. We report the effects of annealing on interface properties using Ni/Al as the prototype system.

The Ni/Al multilayer samples were prepared by electron beam evaporation on glass and silicon substrate wafers. The evaporator base pressure was \( \leq 10^{-7} \) Torr. Multilayers were deposited by successively alternating Ni and Al sources, with the deposition rate for each \( \sim 1 \) Å/s. A total of 20 bilayers were deposited with individual layer thickness \( L = 25 \) nm for a 50 nm period and total thickness \( \sim 1 \) μm. The 50 nm period was chosen because it is reported to give the maximum energetic release upon self-propagating reaction. A scanning electron microscope (SEM) cross section of our as-deposited stack is shown in Fig. 1. Clearly seen is a well-defined multilayer structure that exhibits a 52±2 nm period, in close agreement with the 50 nm target.

After deposition the samples were annealed in a conventional furnace at temperatures ranging from 260 to 660 °C in 100 °C intervals. This range includes the melting point of aluminum at 660 °C and overlaps ranges of interest in published work. Samples were transferred into a preheated oven to obtain a rapid temperature ramp. This procedure is used to avoid the formation of stable phases below the desired anneal temperature upon slowly heating. The annealing atmosphere was nitrogen gas. The 10 min anneal allows us to capture the solid-state reaction in its early stages.

Figure 2 shows x-ray reflectivity (XRR) measurements of samples from the same deposition but following 10 min anneals across the range of temperatures studied. We analyzed the XRR measurements based on the position and separation of critical angles. In Fig. 2 we observe two critical angles at \( 2 \theta_c \) values of 0.46° and 0.82° for the as-deposited film. The smaller critical angle is from the aluminum component of the multilayer and the larger value stems from the denser nickel. With an increase in anneal temperature, these critical angles are seen to merge as the two distinct materials form intermetallic compounds and, eventually, become a single layer.
We focus in this letter on the interference fringes above $2 \theta_c$, which are due to the multilayer structure. As seen from the data in Fig. 2, XRR fringes are present at each temperatures below the Al melting point of 660 °C, but with noticeably diminished amplitude at 360 °C and completely absent at 460 °C. This reproducible result is very interesting, because it is consistent with a narrow temperature range over which interface roughness is very high, while sharp interfaces are present both below and above this range. We use a recent Fourier transform approach based on fringe spacing to obtain multilayer periodicity.\textsuperscript{18} In all cases we obtain a bilayer period ranging from 48.4 to 54.4 nm.

Interface roughness properties were determined from the XRR fringe amplitudes.\textsuperscript{19} The as-deposited and 260 °C anneal results give interface roughness values of 1.1 ± 0.4 nm. These values are very close to the roughness of both our glass and silicon substrates 1 to 2 nm according to atomic force microscopy (AFM). We conclude that the interface roughness of the as-deposited stack resembles that of the starting substrate and does not change substantially during growth or due to low-temperature annealing. Fringe analysis gives interface roughness values of 2.0 ± 0.6 and 1.4 ± 0.4 nm for 500 and 560 °C anneals, respectively. The modest increase in interface roughness from that found for the as-deposited layers indicates that the layers are smooth even following these high temperature anneals. Experimental interface roughness values are shown in Fig. 3.

The absence of XRR fringes in a narrow temperature range around 460 °C can be attributed to the formation of nanocrystal domains. XRD measurements of our samples identifies the domains as intermetallic compositions, Al$_3$Ni$_2$ and AlNi phases, following the lower temperature anneals, and Al$_3$Ni$_2$ and AlNi$_3$ after higher temperature anneals.\textsuperscript{18} Domains are plausibly assumed to grow at the Ni/Al interfaces as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 3. We now develop a model for interface roughness based on previous DSC studies of Ni/Al multilayers.\textsuperscript{10} This model interprets exothermic solid–solid reactions via the formation of nanocrystal domains of intermetallics at interfaces. The domains are taken as having a cylindrical shape, as illustrated in the Fig. 3 inset. In the growth plane (perpendicular to the layer normal), the cylinder radius $R$ grows with velocity,

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = K_\perp \exp(-E_\perp/kT),$$

where $K_\perp$ and $E_\perp$ are the interface growth prefactor and activation energy, respectively. Integration gives $R \propto t$ so the area covered by each cylinder grows like $t^2$. Radial growth of the domains produces an areal coverage fraction $f_A$ given by

$$f_A = 1 - \exp(-n \pi (R)^2),$$

where $n$ is the areal density of domain nucleation sites and $\langle R \rangle$ is the average domain radius. In analyzing the DSC studies, nucleation occurs where grain boundaries intersect interfaces so $n$ is taken to be the areal grain boundary density. In our case, the grains are of the order of the individual layer thickness.\textsuperscript{10} The growth velocity along the layer normal ($z$ direction) relies on atoms from the pure layers diffusing through the existing domain, of thickness $z$, to reach the surface of the nanocrystal. In the case of Ni/Al, Ni will be the faster diffuser, since the Al melting point is much lower than that of Ni.\textsuperscript{20} The domain height grows according to

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{1}{z} K_i \exp(-E_i/kT),$$

where $K_i$ and $E_i$ are the diffusion-limited growth prefactor and activation energy, respectively. Integration yields $z \propto \sqrt{t}$, as expected for diffusion-limited growth. Using the parameters obtained by Ma et al.,\textsuperscript{10} each of the above can be computed for Ni/Al. Depending on the parameters of this model,
perpendicular growth may exceed in-plane growth and produce features with high aspect ratio. Conditions for which the in-plane domain growth is significantly faster than domain height growth will cause lateral domain coalescence, thus preserving the interface smoothness. The main height growth will cause lateral domain coalescence, reducing features with high aspect ratio. Conditions for which perpendicular growth may exceed in-plane growth and produce features with high aspect ratio. The authors thank M. Pantoya, N. Güven, and L. Grave de Peralta for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CTS 0210141)

FIG. 3. Anneal temperature dependence of calculated induced interface roughness (solid curve) and areal fill factor (dashed curve). The data are taken from XRD fringe analysis except for that at 360 °C, which was estimated from the SEM cross section. The inset series on the left depicts growth of the nanocrystals. The inset on the right shows the cylindrical geometry used to model nanocrystal domain formation.

The interface roughness $\sigma$ in Eq. (5) increases from zero to one at a temperature related to the domain-height activation energy $E_1$. The interface roughness $\sigma$ in Fig. 3 shows a sharp feature at $\sim 460{ }^\circ C$. The induced roughness is zero from room temperature to $\sim 350{ }^\circ C$ and from $\sim 480{ }^\circ C$ through the Al melting point. In other words, the layer’s smoothness is not influenced by annealing at these temperatures. This agrees with our XRR results. In the narrow temperature range between 350 and 480 °C the model predicts significant induced interface roughness. The peak induced roughness value of $\sim L/2$ at $\sim 450{ }^\circ C$ is consistent with the total absence of XRR interference fringes. Our XRR data in Fig. 2 show that there is diminished fringe amplitude following the 360 °C anneal and no fringes for the 460 °C case. The calculated temperature dependence of $\sigma$, based on this simple model, thus describes well the observed temperature dependence of interface smoothness in Ni/Al multilayers.

In summary, we observed an interesting dependence of the interface smoothness of Ni/Al multilayer stacks following annealing at different temperatures. A very narrow temperature range is found in XRR measurements over which no interference fringes are observed, implying rough interfaces. We developed a roughness model, based on the formation of nanocrystal domains. This model provides a clear explanation for the effect observed.

The authors thank M. Pantoya, N. Güven, and L. Grave de Peralta for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CTS 0210141).