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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this section of the report is to establish the background for the project and to define project scope and objectives.

### 1.1. Project Background

Every year thousands of tons of hazardous materials are transported over Texas highways. The Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS) tracks and catalogues national and state shipment commodity codes. Tons of material transferred and ton miles for selected hazardous constituents transported in Texas during 2002 are listed on Table 1.1. Although Table 1.1 includes all modes of transport, BTS records indicate that approximately 96 percent of the commodities transported in Texas were conveyed by truck (either for-hire or private).

Table 1.1: Shipment characteristics by commodity for 2002 (Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2004).

| Commodity | Tons <br> (thousands) | Ton-miles |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline | 190,490 | 26,997 |
| Gaseous hydrocarbons | 19,268 | 2,016 |
| Fuel oils | 103,736 | 10,302 |
| Sodium hydroxide/potassium hy- <br> droxide | 2,250 | 168 |
| Cyclic hydrocarbons <br> Insecticides, rodenticides, fungi- <br> cides, herbicides | 16,296 | 2,739 |

Although hazardous materials can be gaseous, liquid, or solid, the main interest associated with the research reported herein is material in the liquid and solid forms that might become mobile under wet-highway conditions. Transporters of hazardous materials carry volumes ranging from a few gallons up to 10,000 gallons of materials or more. Hazardous material shipment by hazard class transported by trucks in 2002 as well as the percent of the commodity transported by trucks (Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2004) is presented on Table 1.2.

In addition, every vehicle carries a small amount of hazardous materials. In particular, fuel and

Table 1.2: Amount of hazardous materials transported and percent transported by truck for 2002 (Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2004).

| Hazard Class | Tons <br> (thousands) | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1, Explosives | 4,631 | 92.6 |
| Class 2, Gases | 96,895 | 45.4 |
| Class 3, Flammable liquids | 948,619 | 53 |
| Class 4, Flammable solids | 6,711 | 59.4 |
| Class 5, Oxidizers and organic peroxides | 9,870 | 77.9 |
| Class 6, Toxic (poison) | 2,255 | 26.7 |
| Class 7, Radioactive materials | 52 | 91 |
| Class 8, Corrosive materials | 51,385 | 56.7 |
| Class 9, Miscellaneous dangerous goods | 39,126 | 64.1 |

lubricants can be released in small amounts should the vehicle be involved in an accident. This is a component of the study to be addressed in the larger context of transport spills.

Although vehicular accidents can occur under any condition, wet-weather conditions provide the combination of poor visibility and reduced traction that exacerbate the probability of an accident. Reported national hazardous material incidents from 1983 to 2004 are shown on Figure 1.1, indicating highway incidents exceed all other incident modes (such as rail, air, and so forth); however, weather conditions at the time of the incident are not presented. Furthermore, wet-weather conditions provide the opportunity for liquid- and solid-phase hazardous materials to mobilize from the accident site and migrate to areas that present either a hazard to local residents, surrounding ecosystem, or an impact to surface- or ground-water resources.

Significant research resources were invested in stormwater management (stormwater pollution prevention plan, or SW3P) structures over the last 20 years or more (See, for example, Landphair and others, 2000). The focus of SW3P research was development of methods to mitigate transport of constituents from source areas to sensitive receiving waters during storm events. The intent of SW3P structures is to treat relatively slow moving, low-concentration constituents to reduce long-term degradation of receiving waters.

In the case of an accident involving hazardous materials, significantly different processes operate. An accident can cause the release of a substantial volume of material over a very short period of time. As such, the capability of standard stormwater management structures (best management practices, BMPs) to trap and treat such releases is insufficient and might be overwhelmed. In addition, a difference exists between the materials present during a spill incident and typical stormwater. Stormwater management structures are designed to trap and collect suspended material or immiscible liquids in the runoff whereas incident releases will typically consist of immiscible hazardous materials with loads that far exceed those normally associated with stormwater. Thus, the problem described by the problem statement for Project 0-5200 is acute and not addressed by structures associated with stormwater management. That is, the need addressed by Project 0-5200


Figure 1.1: Hazardous material spill incidents in the United States (see http://hazmat.dot.gov/ enforce/spills/spills.htm for additional details).
is for emergency use only.
A significant component of spill containment is the volume of material to be stored in the event of a spill plus any local runoff that occurs during a contemporaneous runoff event. Substantial work on the statistics of precipitation, specifically the intensity-duration-frequency relation (Asquith and Roussel, 2004), and more generally on the inter-occurrence intervals of precipitation (Asquith and Roussel, 2003), has been published. These relations are an important component in the development of design methodology for spill containment. Information from these hydrometeorologic studies is useful for design of incident containment structures such that sufficient storage volume is provided to store the spill plus runoff for a specified or assumed level of risk. For example, a design might contain a large volume release plus the runoff from a rainfall event with a duration of 12 hours and a return interval of 10 years.

Permanent facilities where hazardous materials are used and stored have rigorous containment requirements. These requirements are documented and design guidelines exist and are well defined. However, a search of Google ${ }^{1}$ for structures appropriate for transport spills returned no significant results. Clearly this topic is prime for study.

A number of questions arise when considering the impact of a hazardous materials spill. How would a standard SW3P structure behave if subjected to a spill? Can standard designs of best management practices be modified to include spill protection? What additional measures are required to prevent hazardous materials migration to receiving waters in event of a spill? When is mitigation justified,

[^0]that is, are there specific locations or instances where mitigation is justified and others where it is not?

The overall goal of the project is to collect information regarding roadway hazardous material spill incidents associated with transportation on Texas highways, including the types and volumes of hazardous materials released. The focus will be on both transported materials (those carried as cargo) and loss of vehicular fluids (fuel, lubricants, and etc.). These results are to be used to develop design guidelines and parameters to reduce the risk of exposure to travelers and individuals responsible for spill cleanup. The specific objectives of this project are to:

- Evaluate existing literature for information relating to design, performance, and applicability of existing hazmat spill protection systems,
- Evaluate historical spill records for Texas to develop risk-assessment and protection needs,
- Develop a Texas-specific database of contaminant types and characteristics, and
- Develop design guidance procedure for containment of hazardous materials spills considering the following issues:
- The maximum load of materials transported by Texas carriers,
- Regulatory tolerance of accident-related spills,
- The range of hydrologic characteristics expected at potential spill sites,
- Designs for existing structures (retrofit) versus designs that may be incorporated in new roadway projects.


### 1.2. Purpose

The purpose of this report is to presents results of efforts by researchers at Texas Tech University.

## 2. Procedure

### 2.1. Literature Review

A review of the professional literature was undertaken by members of the research team and the graduate students supporting those researchers. Results of the literature review were not presented as a separate report, but as a technical memorandum ${ }^{1}$. A summary review of the literature is included in this report as Chapter 3 and additional information is presented in Appendices A and C.

### 2.2. Data Collection

Data records from hazardous materials spill incidents in Texas were obtained from TCEQ for the period of record 2002-2006, a period spanning five years. These data were obtained from reports from first responders to accidents where materials were spilled.

### 2.3. Analysis

The analyses of spill data comprised two components. The first was a statistical analysis of the spill events to determine the distribution of spill materials and the potential volume of spilled materials. These results were of interest because the statistics provide documented estimates of the spill volume that can be expected on Texas highways. That information is of interest if a spill trap is required at a particular site because the expected volume of a spill can be used to estimate the required trap volume. That is, if 99 percent of spill events are less than or equal to a particular volume, then a trap designed to contain at least that volume should capture the spilled materials from 99 percent of incidents. Of course, a different design guideline (level of risk) can be selected.

The second statistical analysis was to determine whether spill events are more likely during rainfall events (or not). This result provides insight into how a potential spill trap should be designed to handle storm runoff in addition to the volume of spilled materials in areas where stormwater management facilities are required.

Finally, the location of spill incidents was examined in an ad hoc manner to determine the possible

[^1]presence of sites with a relatively large number of spill incidents. The question was whether such locations could be determined from available data. A number of areas were identified that exhibited a relatively large number of spill incidents. These are presented and discussed in the results section of this report.

### 2.4. Development of Design Protocol

Based on the results of the statistical analysis and a review of hazardous materials trap designs, a general design approach for spill traps was developed. The protocol was general in nature because the exact design solution depends on site particulars, such as land surface area available to develop a holding area and the hydraulics that are dependent on site-specific details. Supporting material is included in the appendices.

## 3. Literature Review

Hazardous material spill incidents occurring on Texas highways are a concern because of the nature of the materials released and the required remediation of contaminated areas. During the five-year period 2002-2006, more than 900 hazardous material spills of varying volumes were recorded and those records stored by TCEQ. The main concerns associated with hazardous spill events are public safety and contamination of surrounding land and water resources. In the event of a spill, released materials might migrate to the surrounding landscape and infiltrate into the soil profile. The potential for contamination of the spill site is both an acute and chronic problem. However, the release of hazardous materials pose an imminent threat to the public and first responders present at the incident site.

In the context of the literature review, specific objectives include:

- Evaluating literature for search of existing hazardous materials spill containment systems and new techniques for the same;
- Evaluating historical spill records for assessing level of risk and obtaining information pertaining to sizing, selection and development of containment structures;
- Developing Texas-specific database of contaminant types for future reference; and
- Developing design parameters, guidelines and conceptual designs of spill containment structures.


### 3.1. Description of Database

Historic spill data obtained from TCEQ were reviewed and prepared for analysis. The assumption is that the historic data will represent potential future events, at least from a statistical perspective. TCEQ records were used as a source of detailed information on type and volume of spills encountered along with incident site descriptions. The materials released were categorized ${ }^{1}$ based on the material hazard class. Details are discussed in the following chapter.

[^2]
### 3.2. Basic Spill Cleanup Technologies

The approach of TxDOT (and other state departments of transportation) personnel to spill incidents was reviewed. The search for spill contingency plans returned only general emergency response information.

### 3.2.1. Emergency Response Handbook

Several organizations offer procedures to contain spills and the equipment needed to clean up spilled materials. One of the most useful tools for emergency spill response is the Emergency Response Guidebook (Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 2005). The guidebook is produced by the Pipeline and Hazardous Material Safety Administration and was developed jointly by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Transport Canada, and the Secretariat of Communications and Transportation of Mexico for use by firefighters, police, and other emergency services personnel who may be the first to arrive at the scene of a transportation incident involving a hazardous material ${ }^{2}$. The guidebook was developed to assist emergency responders to quickly identify the specific or generic classification of the material involved in an accident and to protect themselves and the public during the initial response phase of an incident. The most common steps to follow in the event of a spill include the following.

- Immediately alert the necessary officials and evacuate the area if necessary.
- Call 911 if there is a fire or if medical attention is needed.
- Attend to any people that may have been contaminated first.
- Control sources of ignition if a flammable material was spilled.
- Put on any personal protective equipment that is appropriate for the type of hazard.
- Determine the extent and type of spill.
- Protect drains or other means of environmental release.
- Contain and clean-up the spill.
- After the spill is cleaned up, place the cleaning materials in the appropriate type of container and label the container as hazardous waste (Princeton University Environmental Health, 2007).

Basic spill cleanup briefly describes the measures currently adopted by the states to manage spills. There is a need for implementing faster and more efficient actions for enhancing cleanup. The following paragraphs briefly explain cleanup methodologies adopted by some states.

[^3]
### 3.3. Current Emergency Spill Prevention and Cleanup Methods

Spill cleanup technologies were reviewed to obtain information on use of permanent containment structures (if any), for spill containment. TxDOT regional offices were contacted to obtain information on current strategies. Other state DOTs were also contacted to learn their spill containment plans and strategies.

### 3.3.1. Texas and TxDOT Current Cleanup Strategies

In the event of a spill, the primary concern of TxDOT personnel is public safety. Although procedures might vary between districts, the usual procedure is for the local fire department to be one of the first responders to a spill site. Law enforcement, fire department, and TxDOT personnel work together to clear and divert traffic from the incident site. Once the site is secured, then the secondary concern of TxDOT and other first-responder personnel is to prevent the spread of spilled materials from the site into the nearby landscape and potential receiving waters. The exact protocol depends on district policy. TxDOT personnel might clean up small spills of fuels and lubricants. However, the usual procedure is for either the transport company or TxDOT to contract a spill cleanup specialist to manage and clean up the site. All spill incidents are reported to TCEQ through a detailed report that includes the type of material(s) released, the volume of the spill, the cleanup technique, the area affected, and the action taken.

A series of case studies are reported in the following paragraphs. Although these case studies do not represent all possible approaches to spill response, a number of potential response strategies are presented.

## TxDOT, Wichita Falls

A spill incident of about 800 gallons of oil occurred in the Wichita Falls TxDOT district. Temporary dikes were built in the road ditches to contain the spill. An additional dike was placed in line with the first dike to ensure containment in the event of the breakdown of the first dike. Oil adsorbent pads, socks, and adsorbent clays were used to absorb the remaining oil on the roadway. Bioremediation was accomplished with Microblaze ${ }^{3}$ or Petroclean ${ }^{4}$, however, these products were used sparingly because of the potential affects on asphaltic cement.

In the case of small spills that are less than 25 gallons, TxDOT personnel clean up the spill ${ }^{5}$.

[^4]
## TxDOT, Waco District

TxDOT personnel in the Waco district are considering a type of detention basin for use as hazardous materials traps to be put in-place for future construction. Catch basins are incorporated in roadways under construction ${ }^{6}$.

## TxDOT, Tyler District

TxDOT personnel in the Tyler district are considering catch basins and detention basins for future installation along roadways for hazardous materials traps ${ }^{7}$. Detention basins are sited in the Tyler district at certain locations for potential spill containment.

## TxDOT, San Antonio District

TxDOT personnel in the San Antonio district use a number of hazardous materials traps near the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone to reduce the potential for spilled materials to reach the aquifer ${ }^{8}$. Water and spill materials are conveyed by roadway ditches to the hazardous materials trap. A central valve is a component of the trap design. The valve is normally open such that incoming runoff is allowed to discharge. In the event of a spill, the valve must be closed to retain inflow that is later treated and discharged properly. Ditches intended to receive spills are lined to reduce or prevent infiltration. For the attachment of booms and others structures, anchors or hooks may be provided so that the hazardous materials contractors save time installing such hooks. Another suggestion offered was installing signs that indicate the location of hazardous materials traps, catch basins or concrete lined ditches. Such signs are needed to aid the fire department crew who are not familiar with the position of such containment structures.

## Other TxDOT installations

Personnel from the Austin office stated that they use hazardous materials traps to protect the Edwards aquifer ${ }^{9}$. Terry Dempsey ${ }^{10}$ mentioned that in certain areas Stormceptors were used. Steven Ashley ${ }^{11}$ stated that when spills are less than 25 gallons, TxDOT uses adsorbents, socks, and pads to clean up the spill (in addition to controlling and diverting traffic). If the spill exceeds 25 gallons, TCEQ, the General Land Office, and National Resources Conservation Service personnel are informed. If the transport is such that coastal waters are threatened by a spill incident, the U.S. Coast Guard is also informed of the spill.

[^5]In general, if a hazardous materials spill incident occurs, TxDOT personnel divert traffic, ensure safety of the first responders, and attempt to prevent migration of spill materials. If the spill exceeds the capacity of TxDOT personnel and other first responders to contain (or policy prevents TxDOT action), then hazardous materials contractors are contacted to do the cleanup. Some TxDOT districts use hazardous materials traps and catch basins as permanent containment options. Other TxDOT districts are not currently using hazardous materials traps.

### 3.3.2. Other States

Other states have taken steps to plan for emergency road spills. However, they do not have permanent solutions for the same. Some of these states are listed below.

## California

The California Department of Transportation (CalTRANS) requires each district to have a contingency plan for hazardous spill response. The contingency plans must include: steps for organization of response at the scene of a spill, reporting and notification procedures, emergency response personnel, response to news media, plans for incidents involving flammable or toxic vapors, and the district's hazardous materials spill site safety plan and cleanup safety plan (California Department of Transportation, 2006).

## Colorado

The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) website has procedures for hazardous material spills. The document contains lists of state and local contacts, state rules, statutes, and procedures. Contacts include the sewer authority if the sewer system is threatened, the Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) if downstream water users are threatened, and the Colorado State patrol. CDOT offers the following suggested actions to control spills (Colorado Department of Transportation, 2007):

- Cover the spill with plastic;
- Place absorbent booms in affected water;
- Place soil booms downhill of the spill or between the spill area and nearest waterway;
- Neutralize or stabilize the chemical if appropriate;
- Divert surface or stormwater, if necessary.


## New York

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Environmental Remediation maintains the "Spills Database" with information on over 10,000 spills and releases within the state. If a spill occurs and is reported, the NYSDEC enters the spill into the database. NYSDOT uses the database for assessing whether a construction area has been affected by a spill (New York State Department of Transportation, 1999).

## North Carolina

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) has a spill prevention program, which includes training for first responders ${ }^{12}$. The training includes spill response techniques, risk assessment, appropriate personal protective equipment, terminology, control, containment and decontamination procedures, and the NCDOT policy on hazardous spill response.

## Oregon

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) website ${ }^{13}$ describes actions that the entity responsible for the spill should take for spill cleanup. The individual who caused the spill is responsible for the immediate cleanup of the spill, and the ODEQ will oversee the cleanup.

## Utah

The Utah Department of Transportation maintains an Oil Spill Response Resource List ${ }^{14}$. The list offers the names of companies that clean up spills, their phone number, location, the type of spills they handle, and their typical response times.

## Washington

The Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) requires all contractors to prepare a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan before beginning a construction project ${ }^{15}$. WSDOT also offers training classes on spill prevention and hazardous material for employees and contractors.

[^6]
## Summary of Other Organization's Spill Mitigation

Although a number of state departments of transportation have response plans that are formalized to varying degrees, there was no single unifying theme other than the general control of traffic for first responders, provide for public and responder safety, and try to contain the spill.

### 3.4. Treatment and Containment Strategies

There are two approaches to spill mitigation: 1) permanent trap structures and 2) on-site temporary response to a spill incident. Regardless of approach, once a spill occurs, the cleanup process begins. The general process involves: 1) spill containment, 2) separation from storm runoff (if present), and 3 ) removal of spill materials.

### 3.4.1. Permanent Containment Solutions

Permanent containment structures used for spill containment require detailed sizing and design considerations. Catch basins and oil/water separators are examples of structures that are installed at a particular location for water-quality treatment and can serve as hazardous materials traps, in addition to their primary function. The best management practices (structural measures) require modification to their design to add spill containment capabilities. Advantages and limitations of the permanent containment devices are listed in Table 3.1.
Table 3.1: Characteristics of commonly used permanent containment solutions.

| Device | Description | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch Basin | Collect water, sediments and debris in an oversized sump | 1. Use existing catch basins <br> 2. Available prefabricated <br> 3. Store water temporarily before clean up | 1. Reduced treatment efficiency compared to wet ponds and filters <br> 2. Require regular maintenance |
| Catch Basin Inserts | Inserts capable of accommodating oil/water separators and media filtration units. | 1. May be retrofitted in an existing basin <br> 2. Media filtration may be optimized to remove specific pollutants | 1. High installation and maintenance costs <br> 2. Require regular maintenance |
| Detention Basins | Impoundments storing stormwater runoff for short durations | 1. Simple design <br> 2. Inexpensive to operate and maintain <br> 3. Capture sediments and pollutants <br> 4. Store water temporarily before clean up | 1. Inlet/outlet clogging <br> 2. Presence may decrease property value |
| Retention Basins | Long-term storage of water and reduces maximum flow rate of stormwater into systems | 1. Prevent shock loads to stormwater systems <br> 2. Can contain pollution separation devices <br> 3. Biological treatment may be achieved <br> 4. Water course may be aesthetically pleasing | 1. Regress concern for steep sloped basins <br> 2. Mosquito breeding grounds <br> 3. Regular trash clean up <br> 4. Require liner to prevent contamination groundwater |
| Underground Concrete Basins | Below ground detention basins | 1. Provides odor control <br> 2. Minimal public access <br> 3. Good for areas with limited or non-existent right-ofways | 1. Methane gas production may result in explosion concerns <br> 2. Ventilation provisions required <br> 3. Complex piping and pumps increase system cost |
| Stormceptor | Proprietary unit removing fine sediments and hydrocarbons | 1. Can serve as a stand-alone treatment process <br> 2. Removes hydrocarbons, oil, grease, heavy metals and sorbed nutrients <br> 3. Do not require pre-treatment | 1. Incapable of handling large flows <br> 2. A system bypass may result in hazardous spill release |
| Oil/Water Separators | Gravity separation of oil from incoming stormwater flow | 1. Excellent for oil spills <br> 2. Simple design and operation <br> 3. Suitable in absence of stormwater event | 1. High maintenance requirements increasing operation costs <br> 2. Limited ability to work with light hazardous materials |
| Dikes | Earthen structures to contain spills and prevent erosion | 1. Temporarily contain water <br> 2. May work in conjunction with detention basins or ditches for spill containment | 1. Must avoid trees and other obstructions |
| Pervious Concrete | Concrete allowing water to percolate through | 1. Allows for quick draining <br> 2. Durable <br> 3. Lower life cycle cost compared to asphalt | 1. Clogging of pores prevents percolation <br> 2. Freeze-thaw conditions may affect performance |

### 3.4.2. Secondary Containment Devices

Secondary containment devices are those that can be used alone (if no permanent structure is located at the site) or in conjunction with a permanent trap. If storm runoff is present, the spill materials should be separated from the runoff (if possible). Devices useful for separating storm runoff from spill materials include booms, pads, and socks. Proprietary materials ${ }^{16}$ are often used to cleanup spill materials after containment. A brief list of secondary containment devices is presented in Table 3.2.

[^7]Table 3.2: A list of commonly used secondary containment devices.

| Device | Description | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Booms | Deployable barriers to confine spills lighter than water | 1. Boom selection based on material released | 1. Not a containment device <br> 2. Placed at spill site |
| Skimmers | Mechanical devices used for removing materials lighter than water | 1. Used to recover spill materials in local permanent structures <br> 2. A sorbent might be used to improve clean-up efficiency | 1. Maintenance if a permanent structure <br> 2. Unsightly as a permanent structure |
| Biosolve | Water-soluble proprietary agent used to cleanup hydrocarbons | 1. Accelerates natural biodegradation of hydrocarbons <br> 2. Useful as a vapor suppressing agent <br> 3. Does not require special equipment | 1. Operations cost <br> 2. Not recommended for use in detention basins because degradation time increases with storage volume |
| Rubberizer | Transforms hydrocarbon spills into a rubber-like substance | 1. Applicable for jet fuel, gasoline, diesel, hydraulic oil, and lube oils spills <br> 2. Remains buoyant <br> 3. Does not leach <br> 4. Usable in detention structures | 1. Must be retrieved <br> 2. Operations cost |
| Socks and pads | Preferentially absorb materials lighter than water $\left(S_{g}<1\right)$ | 1. Effective for water- and land-based spill incidents <br> 2. Absorption capacity greater than weight of the sorbing material <br> 3. Absorbents float | 1. Must be deployed <br> 2. Operations cost |
| Go Filters | Mobile proprietary unit for the treatment of water | 1. Can handle high flow rates <br> 2. Removes TSS <br> 3. May be fitted with filter to remove hydrocarbons <br> 4. Maintenance easy and inexpensive | 1. Expensive <br> 2. Must be deployed |

## 4. Results

The purpose of this section of the report is to present data concerning historical spills and an analysis of those spill records.

### 4.1. Literature Review

The review of the literature is summarized in Chapter 3 and Appendix C. From a design perspective, specific requirements by jurisdictions concerning design of hazardous materials traps is of interest. Some literature of interest is presented in the following subsection of this report.

### 4.1.1. Hazardous Materials Trap Design Requirements

From the San Antonio Uniform Development Code (Section 34-965 ${ }^{1}$ ),

All roadway projects with anticipated, or actual Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes in excess of 1,500 vehicles per day shall be required to design, construct, operate, and maintain sedimentation and filtration basins to capture and treat the first flush runoff from the roadway. In addition, all roadway projects with anticipated or actual ADT volumes in excess of 30,000 vehicles per day shall be required to design, construct, operate, and maintain hazardous materials traps (HMTs) that will capture, contain and isolate a hazardous spill on the roadway facility. The minimum volume of the HMTs shall be 10,000 gallons and they shall contain a self-draining outlet and an emergency cut off to contain any spilled materials.

The quoted segment of the San Antonio code is one of the few published guidelines for hazardous materials traps. It includes a selection criterion (based on ADT) and a recommended storage volume. The source of these guidelines is not provided in the code. However, as is developed in subsequent sections of this report, the selection of the trap volume is reasonable.
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### 4.2. Data Collection

Review of historic spill records revealed information necessary to determine the frequency of spills, spill volume, geographic locations, and hazardous class of the spilled materials. TCEQ is charged with collecting and archiving detailed spill-incident reports. TCEQ was contacted and records were retrieved for the period of record from 2002 to $2006^{2}$. These data were used for further analysis.

Information included in the spill incident reports included:

1. Type of material released,
2. Whether rainfall occurred,
3. Volume of spilled material (mixed units), and
4. Location of spill.

The location was used to develop approximate values of the latitude and longitude for generating maps of the spill locations. A few areas, located near major metropolitan areas in Texas, seemed to be subject to a relatively greater number of incidents than other areas. These areas were used for additional analysis ${ }^{3}$.

### 4.2.1. Hazardous Materials and Their Classification

About 300 spill incidents were recorded in Texas in 2006. Although this number is not very large when compared to the number of vehicles present in Texas, the impact of hazardous materials spills on the area near the location of the incident is significant to transportation and other government officials and private citizens. The researchers concentrated efforts on liquid spills because solid materials are more difficult to mobilize and gaseous spills are not amenable to containment. Spills in wet weather situations require special considerations because the materials have the potential to be mobilized by contemporaneous storm runoff, leading to spread of spill materials into the surrounding landscape. Dilution of spill materials increases the magnitude of the volume of material to be trapped and cleaned up, exacerbating the problem.

The properties of hazardous materials subject to spill during transport dictate the use of special measures necessary to separate the pollutants from the water or environment. The spills recorded during 2002-2006, were evaluated and their properties were tabulated for future reference. Some of the materials spilled and a partial list of their physical properties are listed in Table 4.1.

[^9]Table 4.1: Hazardous materials spilled and their physical properties.

| Material | Properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Benzene | Colorless; density: 0.8787; highly flammable; soluble in wa- <br> ter; toxic at $10 \%$ concentration |
| Sodium Hydroxide | White deliquescent pellets; $S_{g}: 2.13 ;$ non-flammable; solubil- <br> ity: 111gm/100g water; severely toxic (whether inhaled or <br> ingested) |
| P-dichlorobenzene | White crystalline powder; $S_{g}: 1.241 ;$ flammability rating 2; <br> insoluble in water; hazardous decomposition products: toxic <br> gases and vapors such as hydrogen chloride, carbon dioxide <br> and carbon monoxide may be released in a fire involving p- <br> dichlorobenzene |
| Hydrogen Peroxide | Colorless; density: 1.46g/ml; non-flammable; is not absorbed <br> by the skin, but can cause systemic toxicity when inhaled or <br> ingested |
| Mineral Oil | Clear, oily liquid; heavy: 0.845 to 0.905; Light: 0.818 to <br> $0.880 ;$ insoluble in water; combustible liquid; non-soluble in <br> water; toxic (harmful if swallowed or inhaled-eyes, skin, res- <br> piratory tract) |
| Xylene (Mixed Isomers) | Colorless; Density: 0.87kg/L; very flammable; insoluble; af- <br> fects brain (on longer exposure): skin eyes nose throat |
| Diethylamine | Colorless liquid; $S_{g}: 0.707$ @ $20 \mathrm{C} / 4 \mathrm{C} ;$ highly flammable (rat- <br> ing 3); soluble in water; severely toxic (eyes, skin, internal <br> organs) |
| Vinyl Chloride | Colorless; density: 0.91g/ml; insoluble in water, at room tem- <br> perature; VCM (Vinyl Chloride Monomer) is a toxic (affects <br> CNS), colorless gas with a sickly sweet odor |
| Ethylene Oxide | Colorless gas or refrigerated liquid; Density: 0.899 gm/cm3 <br> flammable gas; miscible with water. Toxicity: Acute effect: <br> lung irritations, convulsions, chronic effects: CNS damage, <br> potential carcinogen |
| Sulfuric Acid | Colorless liquid; Density: 1.84 g/cm ${ }^{3} ;$ highly explosive when <br> mixed with water; fully miscible in water; Toxicity: irritation <br> of eyes, skin and lungs |

The spilled materials are categorized into different classes on the basis of their characteristics, as listed in Table 4.2. It is required by law to state the hazard class of the material being transported on the container. The hazard class aids the first responders in identifying the type of material encountered, allowing them to take the necessary precautions to ensure their own safety and the safety of the public. The hazard class also aids the hazardous materials group in selecting appropriate strategies for cleanup.

Table 4.2: Hazardous materials classification (from BTS 2004).

| Hazard Class | Characteristics | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | Explosives | Xylene |
| Class 2 | Gases | Vinyl Chloride |
| Class 3 | Flammable Liquids | Diethylamine |
| Class 4 | Flammable Solids | p-dichlorobenze |
| Class 5 | Oxidizers and organic peroxides | Hydrogen peroxide |
| Class 6 | Toxic (poison) | Ethylene Oxide |
| Class 7 | Radioactive materials | Radium |
| Class 8 | Corrosive materials | Sodium hydroxide |
| Class 9 | Miscellaneous dangerous goods | Sulfuric Acid |

Detailed information on spills is tabulated in the Texas-specific database of contaminant types and characteristics. It consists of the following details:

- Date of occurrence of the spill;
- Material released;
- Volume of material released;
- Location of the spill (county, nearest city and geographic coordinates);
- Hazardous class as per TCEQ guidelines; and
- Geographic coordinates of spill sites.


### 4.3. Analysis of Spill Records

The database of Texas spill incidents is presented in Appendix F for each year as Tables F.1F.5. Interpretation of the raw data was effected using a statistical analyses. These analyses are documented in the following sections of this report.

### 4.3.1. Statistics of Spills 2002-2006

A total of 899 incidents were obtained for calendar years 2002-2006 (a five-year period of record). A summary of the number of events in the dataset is presented in Table 4.3 . Of the events, 43 spills were of an unknown or unrecorded material, 55 comprised solid materials, and 50 were gaseous and irrelevant for the subsequent analysis. Of the 751 liquid spills, the records for 582 included an estimate of the volume of material spilled.

Table 4.3: Summary of Texas hazardous materials spill incidents for the period 2002-2006.

|  |  |  | Material |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Count | Unknown <br> Material | Liquid | Liquid <br> with Volume | Solid | Gas |  |
| 2002 | 171 | 6 | 147 | 125 | 10 | 8 |  |
| 2003 | 255 | 13 | 212 | 164 | 17 | 13 |  |
| 2004 | 147 | 6 | 121 | 96 | 9 | 11 |  |
| 2005 | 177 | 4 | 146 | 101 | 16 | 11 |  |
| 2006 | 149 | 14 | 125 | 96 | 3 | 7 |  |
| Total | 899 | 43 | 751 | 582 | 55 | 50 |  |

The number of events presented in Table 4.3 were normalized by dividing the entries in each row by the number of spills reported in each year of the study period. In addition, the last row contains percentages for the entire sample. That is, the last row is based on the number of occurrences for each column over the entire period of record. The results of this computation are displayed in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4: Percentage of recorded spills by category.

|  |  | Material |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Unknown | Liquid | Liquid <br> with Volume | Solid | Gas |
| 2002 | 3.5 | 86.0 | 73.1 | 5.8 | 4.7 |
| 2003 | 5.1 | 83.1 | 64.3 | 6.7 | 5.1 |
| 2004 | 4.1 | 82.3 | 65.3 | 6.1 | 7.5 |
| 2005 | 2.3 | 82.5 | 57.1 | 9.0 | 6.2 |
| 2006 | 9.4 | 83.9 | 64.4 | 2.0 | 4.7 |
| Total | 4.8 | 83.5 | 64.7 | 6.1 | 5.6 |

For each year in the study period the number of unknown material spill events was a relatively small fraction of the sample. The fraction of spills recorded that were liquid and that included an estimate of volume recorded as part of the reporting process was greater than 50 percent for all years in the study period. Less than 20 percent of recorded spills constituted solids or gases. Solids are relatively easy to confine to the spill site and gases cannot be confined to the spill site. This result reinforces the decision of the project management committee to focus the research on liquid
spills.
Liquid spill materials were separated into two groups - those with a specific gravity, $S_{g}$, less than one and those with a specific gravity exceeding one. Those materials less dense than water were termed "lights" and those materials more dense than water were termed "heavies"." A separate group comprising oils ("oils") was established in addition to the "light" and "heavy" groups ${ }^{5}$.

The spill volume from each event associated with each group (type of material) was used to examine the distribution of spill volume by type of material. The volume of material spilled associated with percentiles of $50-$, $66.7-$, $83.3-$, $90-$, $95-$, and 99 -percent were estimated using R ( R Development Core Team, 2006). Results are displayed in Table 4.5. In addition, the spill volume from each event in the entire dataset was also used to examine the distribution of all liquid spill volumes. Boxplots of the spill volumes are presented in Figure 4.1. This graph illustrates the range of spills sites encountered over the study period.

Table 4.5: Volume of liquid spills sorted by specific gravity by percentile. Volumes are presented in gallons of material spilled. The percentile indicates the fraction of the sample with a spill volume less than or equal to the amount presented in the table.

|  |  | Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Count | $50 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $83.3 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |
| Heavies | 146 | 80 | 229 | 979 | 4015 | 8888 | 383260 |  |
| Lights | 436 | 60 | 100 | 200 | 400 | 1401 | 7150 |  |
| Oils | 410 | 60 | 100 | 200 | 400 | 1200 | 6444 |  |
| All | 582 | 60 | 100 | 300 | 820 | 2525 | 19448 |  |

When taken as an entire group, 95 percent of the spills observed during the period of record (2002-2006) were 2,500 gallons or less and 99 percent of the spills were about 20,000 gallons or less. Therefore, a design volume for spill traps of $10,000-20,000$ gallons is reasonable ${ }^{6}$. This is an important result because it allows development of a design guideline based on the observed spill events such that:

1. About 99 percent of all liquid spills would be trapped, or
2. Between 95 and 99 percent of "heavy" liquid spills would be trapped, or
3. More than 99 percent of "light" liquid and oil spills would be trapped.

### 4.3.2. Spills and Rainfall Events

In addition to the data listed in Tables 4.3-4.5, the database contains information on precipitation events that accompanied the spill. Precipitation data are necessary for sizing permanent spill con-
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Figure 4.1: Boxplot of the spill size distribution. Spill categories are as defined in the text. The number of observations are included at the top of each box in the plot. The ordinate is the logarithm (base 10) of the spill volume.
tainment structures if runoff from the protected area might enter the trap. Rain data were obtained from the National Climatic Data Center, which records precipitation in inches for their stations. For some events it was difficult to determine the appropriate meteorologic station. Judgement was required to choose the best estimate for rainfall depth in those cases.
A subset of the 2002-2006 spill records was extracted to analyze the potential impact of rainfall events on the probability of an accident resulting in a hazardous materials spill. The database was broken into geographical regions so that rainfall records could be associated with spill sites. Six Texas counties were selected for further analysis. They are: Harris, Jefferson, Travis, Bexar, Orange, and Tarrant Counties. Counts of total days in the study period, the numbers of wet and dry days, and incident counts are presented in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6: Counts of spill and rainfall events by geographic area for the period of record 2002-2006.

| Location | Total Days | Dry Days | Wet Days | Incidents | Wet Incidents | Dry Incidents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harris | 1585 | 1052 | 533 | 96 | 35 | 61 |
| Jefferson | 1587 | 1044 | 543 | 194 | 55 | 139 |
| Travis | 257 | 181 | 76 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Bexar | 1453 | 1065 | 388 | 36 | 13 | 23 |
| Orange | 1499 | 1183 | 316 | 47 | 13 | 34 |
| Tarrant | 1650 | 1258 | 392 | 23 | 6 | 17 |

The number of observed spill events in Travis County was only three events. Because of the small sample size, further consideration of spill events in Travis County for assessing the joint probability of a spill event and a rainfall event was eliminated.

A proportions test (Dalgaard, 2002, p. 131) is a statistical comparison between two samples to assess whether the samples are from different populations. The question is whether there is a measurable difference in the likelihood of a spill event occurring based on whether the weather was rainy or dry. The count of spill events when the weather was dry is one sample and the count of spill events when the weather was rainy is the second sample.

Therefore, if there is a difference between the likelihood of a spill event conditioned on whether the event occurred under dry or rainy conditions, a proportions test should provide insight into the process. A proportions test was used to compare the counts of spill events given a spill occurred on a dry day or a wet day. The results are presented in Table 4.7. For the proportions test, two alternative hypotheses were used: 1) That the proportions were different (two-sided) and 2) that the proportion of spills occurring on a dry day was less than the proportion of spills occurring on a wet day (less than). The $p$-value is an indication of the level of significance of the test. A large p -value indicates that there is little significance (confidence) that the alternative hypothesis is true; a small p-value indicates there is greater significance (confidence) associated with the alternative hypothesis.

Based on the results presented in Table 4.7, there is no statistically-significant difference between

Table 4.7: Results of applying a proportions test to the counts of events presented in Table 4.6 based on a wet-day or dry-day occurrence. $[P(E \mathcal{G} D)$ denotes the probability of an event and a dry day, $P(E \delta W)$ denotes the probability of an event and a wet day, $p$ denotes the p-value of the resulting proportions test.]

| Location | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{D})$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{~W})$ | $p:$ Two-Sided | $p$ : Less Than |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harris | 0.058 | 0.066 | 0.545 | 0.272 |
| Jefferson | 0.133 | 0.101 | 0.066 | 0.967 |
| Bexar | 0.022 | 0.034 | 0.196 | 0.098 |
| Orange | 0.029 | 0.041 | 0.261 | 0.131 |
| Tarrant | 0.014 | 0.015 | 0.792 | 0.396 |

the proportions of spills occurring on wet days and dry days, for reasonable levels of significance ${ }^{7}$. On comparison of the raw proportions, there is a slightly smaller likelihood of a spill on a dry day in comparison to the probability of a spill event on a wet day. However, given the sample size, the differences are not statistically significant for a five percent level of significance. That is, there is not a striking difference between the occurrence of a spill on a wet day or dry day.

### 4.3.3. Geographic Areas of High Spill-Incidents

Geographic coordinates from records of spill incidents were used to develop maps to highlight geographic areas with an apparent greater frequency of spill incidents ${ }^{8}$ on the basis of frequency of spills encountered over the 5 -year study period (2002-2006).

The locations of spill incidents were examined to determine if clustering of such incidents could be determined in an informal manner. It was the intent to identify locations or segments of highway where additional review by designers and planners might indicate candidate locations ${ }^{9}$ for installation of permanent structures because spill incidents appear to be more likely, or at least more numerous, than other areas of Texas. Geographic areas with high incidence of spills were identified when "clusters" of spills within a 10-mile radius occurred. Based on the analysis, a number of areas in or near Austin, Beaumont, Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW), Houston, and San Antonio were identified. If indicated, a transportation planner/engineer could study spill sites at individual clusters and choose appropriate locations for installing basins or other containment structures to capture a substantial fraction of future spills. The exact location and number of traps required to reduce the likelihood of spill migration off site will depend on site conditions and making such a determination is outside the scope of this report. Such determinations will be made

[^11]by the planner or designer tasked with development of required structures.

## Austin

The locations of spill events near Austin are shown on Figure 4.2. In general, spill events located near Austin were not concentrated near a specific geographic location. An area north from downtown Austin is labeled Site A1, although there were only five events recorded in this area. General details of the events are listed in Table $4.8^{10}$. There does not appear to be a particular area in Austin that is subject to a concentration of spill incidents.

Table 4.8: Summary of frequent spills for Austin sites.

| Location | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{gal})$ | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Number <br> of Spills |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site A1 | $250-5,880$ | Williamson | 30.5 | -97.7 | 5 |

## Beaumont

Locations of Beaumont spill sites are shown on Figure 4.3 and notes concerning the spills associated with the Beaumont sites are listed in Table $4.9^{11}$.

Table 4.9: Summary of frequent spills for Beaumont sites.

| Location | Volume <br> (gal) | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Number <br> of Spills |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site B1 | $1-6,300$ | Jefferson | 30.0 | -94.0 | 65 |
| Site B2 | $5-600$ | Jefferson | 29.9 | -93.9 | 33 |
| Site B3 | $1-120$ | Jefferson/Orange | 30.1 | -94.1 | 35 |
| Site B4 | $1-1,147$ | Orange | 30.1 | -93.8 | 15 |
| Site B5 | $1-10,000$ | Jefferson | 29.7 | -93.9 | 21 |

Several locations in or near Beaumont appear to have a relative large fraction of spill-related incidents. In fact, all five identified areas were subject to numerous events. In addition, the maximum spill volume was substantial, with one event of approximately 10,000 gallons.

## Dallas

Locations of frequent spill areas for the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) metropolitan area are displayed on Figure 4.4. A summary of the spills occurring at the DFW sites is presented in Table $4.10^{12}$.
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Figure 4.2: Austin spill sites.


Figure 4.3: Beaumont spill sites.


Figure 4.4: Dallas-Fort Worth spill sites.

Table 4.10: Summary of frequent spills for Dallas-Fort Worth sites.

| Location | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{gal})$ | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Number <br> of Spills |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site DFW1 | $3-100$ | Dallas | 32.8 | -96.8 | 7 |
| Site DFW2 | $1-340$ | Dallas | 32.8 | -96.6 | 9 |
| Site DFW3 | $1-155$ | Ellis | 32.4 | -96.8 | 4 |
| Site DFW4 | $1-350$ | Tarrant/Denton/Dallas | 32.9 | -97.0 | 11 |
| Site DFW5 | $1-341$ | Denton | 33.2 | -97.2 | 6 |
| Site DFW6 | $1-2,000$ | Tarrant | 32.7 | -97.3 | 6 |
| Site DFW7 | $8-200$ | Johnson | 32.4 | -97.3 | 4 |

Site DFW4 is located between Dallas and Fort Worth. The greatest number of spill incidents occurred in this area. However, the total count was significantly less than those observed near Beaumont.

## Houston

Locations of frequent spill areas for the Houston metropolitan area are displayed on Figure 4.5. A summary of the spills occurring at the Houston sites is presented in Table $4.11^{13}$.

Table 4.11: Details of Frequent Spills at Houston Spills

| Location | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{gal})$ | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Number <br> of Spills |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site H1 | $20-6,500$ | Harris | 29.7 | -95.2 | 33 |
| Site H2 | $10-310$ | Harris | 29.7 | -95.0 | 10 |
| Site H3 | $0-150$ | Harris/Chambers | 29.8 | -94.9 | 5 |

In Houston, Site H1 exhibited the greatest number of spill-related incidents. Site H1 is probably an area of interest for installation of hazardous materials traps, provided the site conditions are conducive to use of the facilities.

## San Antonio

San Antonio area spills are illustrated in Figure 4.6 and the details are listed in Table 4.12 ${ }^{14}$.
Of the San Antonio spill site, Site SA4 exhibited the greatest number of spill incidents. As for the other metropolitan areas, if there is interest in installation of hazardous materials traps, the
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Figure 4.5: Houston spill sites.


Figure 4.6: San Antonio spill sites.

Table 4.12: Details of Frequent Spills in San Antonio Area

| Location | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{gal})$ | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Number <br> of Spills |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site SA1 | 15 | Bexar | 29.5 | -98.6 | 5 |
| Site SA2 | 60 | Bexar | 29.3 | -98.6 | 4 |
| Site SA3 | $35-35,000$ | Bexar/Guadalupe | 29.4 | -98.5 | 6 |
| Site SA4 | $40-2,325$ | Bexar | 29.5 | -98.3 | 15 |

highways near Site SA4 might provide a location for such structures, again provided that site conditions were conducive to such installations.

## Comments on Geographic Locations of Recorded Spills

For the geographic areas discussed in Section 4.3.3, the location of some spill sites were approximated because incomplete descriptions of the spill locations were contained in the TCEQ records. Given that the sites of greatest interest (greatest number of spill incidents) exhibit multiple spill events, this approximation is not considered detrimental to the results reported herein.

It is understood that the size of the locations reported in this section of the report is too large for application of a single hazardous materials trap for each spill site. That is not the intent. However, with application of the information presented herein, a designer or planner should be better able to determine appropriate or potential sites for installation of one ore more traps for regulatory purposes, providing economic and technical feasibility criteria are satisfied.

A number of areas near Beaumont, Dallas-Fort Worth, Houston, and San Antonio were the sites of multiple hazardous materials spill incidents during the 2002-2006 period of record. Those with the greatest number of events are Sites B1-B5, DFW4, H1, and SA4. These sites (as well as some of the others) represent candidates for additional study by TxDOT to determine whether there is a systemic problem in these areas that result in an apparent greater number of incidents or if they are the result of heavy traffic loads. Furthermore, these sites represent candidates for installation of hazardous materials traps, provided site conditions are amenable to such installation.

### 4.4. Design Protocol

No formal design protocol was identified during the literature review portion of this project. Because hazardous materials traps and water-quality treatment structures are generally not required to handle the hydraulic loads from relatively rare hydrologic events, they are sometimes placed offline with respect to the drainage path for the hydrologic design event. This requires use of a hydraulic splitter that will isolate the trap/treatment structure(s) from the larger detention facility (if one is used).

There are a number of approaches to splitting incoming flows to a system. One option is use of a valve or gate. Although simple, the disadvantage of valves and gates is that they must be operated manually. A second approach that does not require manual intervention is the use of a weir. The weir can be designed so the trap is filled before flows are directed to the water quality treatment device and/or detention storage. A low-flow conduit and valve could be installed so that the trap drains to other components of the system, but with reduced capacity so that trapped material could be blocked (by manual operation of the valve) by first responders in the event of a spill.

The following decision tree is suggested:

1. No detention or BMP required?
(a) Trap placed off-line of the main discharge.
(b) Hydraulic splitter used to direct spill materials to the trap.
(c) Sufficient hydraulic capacity (storage) in the HMT such that trapped materials will not escape if a hydrologic event occurs during or before spill clean-up is complete.
2. Only detention required?
(a) Separate trap and detention structures
i. Place trap off-line with respect to the detention facility using a hydraulic splitter to direct a spill to the trap prior to the detention facility.
ii. Provide sufficient storage capacity in the trap such that spill materials will not escape should a hydrologic event occur during or prior to completion of clean-up.
(b) Combined trap/detention structure
i. An alternative approach would be to provide additional storage in the detention/retention structure equal to (or greater than) the design spill volume.
ii. Structure the outlet such that the standard condition is either closed (no discharge) or open (free discharge).
iii. Either condition requires intervention during a storm runoff event or a spill event.
3. Detention and water-quality treatment required?
(a) Assume water-quality treatment and detention are in-line.
(b) Place trap off-line from water-quality treatment and detention system.
(c) Use a hydraulic splitter to direct first flows to trap.
(d) Provide sufficient storage in the trap such that when the splitter is bypassed by incoming storm runoff no discharge from the trap is allowed.
(e) Provide drainage from the trap to the water-quality treatment/detention system after runoff event passes.

Problems with this approach stem from the observation that some spills are materials with an $S_{g}<1$ (should float) and some spills are $S_{g}>1$ (should sink). Non-soluble solids with $S_{g}<1$ will probably be transported as either suspended sediment or bedload and caught by detention or BMP structures. Lighter solids and liquids will probably be transported on top of any runoff (unless the constituents are soluble) and are a problem. An emergency shutoff of an outlet works for structures might be required in sensitive areas to reduce the probability of an off-site migration of spill materials when runoff occurs.

In the case where water-quality treatment and detention are not required (Case 1), a spill trap could be placed near the outfall from local highway drainage to provide the trap design storage volume should a spill incident occur. These structures could be established with the standard condition either a no-discharge (outlet works closed) or in an open-discharge condition (required closure by first responders if a spill incident occurred).

Determination of closed-discharge or open-discharge is a policy decision. Factors to consider are the probability of a runoff event (greater in the eastern part of the state and lesser in the western part of the state). A closed-discharge standard condition requires an operator to open the discharge if a storm runoff event occurs. An open-discharge standard allows storm runoff to pass without operator intervention, but requires first responders to close the discharge in a spill event. The latter means that first responders will need information that 1) the discharge must be closed to prevent/reduce migration of spill materials off the highway right-of-way and 2) requires the first responders to have direction and presence of mind to close the discharge. Furthermore, the discharge should be operated periodically, regardless of whether the standard is open or closed, to maintain viability of the valve or gate.

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

The purpose of this chapter of the report is to provide a summary of research work accomplished and a synopsis of the research findings. In addition, suggestions for further research into issues associated with hazardous materials spill traps are provided.

### 5.1. Project Findings

1. One of the goals of this project was to identify the existence of a hazardous materials spill trap technology that does not rely on human intervention to perform effectively. More specifically, if a reliable technology that would allow stormwater runoff to pass but intervene to trap spill materials in the event of a spill event, that would be of benefit to TxDOT. However, no such technology was identified.
2. Records of hazardous material spill incidents on Texas highways for the period 2002-2006 were obtained from TCEQ for review. A total of 899 spill incidents were available for analysis. Materials comprising gases, solids, unknown materials, and liquid spills with no reported volume were eliminated from further analysis. The remaining 582 spill incidents were examined in detail.
3. Spill materials were categorized as "light" (specific gravity less than one), "heavy" (specific gravity greater than one), and "oils" (which overlaps with the "lights" to a significant extent). The 95th percentile of spill volume for the lights was about 1,400 gallons, for the heavies was about 8,900 gallons, and for the oils was about 1,200 gallons. If all incidents were considered together, then the 95 th percentile spill volume was 2,500 gallons. The San Antonio development code requires a capture volume of at least 10,000 gallons for roadway projects with ADT of 30,000 vehicles per day or more. A reasonable target for capture volume is between 10,000 and 20,000 gallons ( $1,300-2,600$ cubic feet) because this volume range will capture the volume of between 95-99 percent of historical spills. The proposed trap volume is a relatively small volume in comparison to typical stormwater runoff detention structures.
4. The potential impact of rainfall on spill likelihood was examined using a proportions test. The presence of rainfall on a spill-incident day was not statistically significant at a reasonable level of significance. This does not mean that the occurrence of a spill incident and a rainfall event are statistically unrelated; it means that the statistical relation could not be established given the amount of data available. Therefore, although it seems reasonable to connect a rainfall
event and a spill incident, the relation is not strong enough to be sensed with the dataset available.
5. The potential for locations where clusters of hazardous materials spills are more likely to occur was examined using the database assembled as part of this project. Certain areas in Austin, Beaumont, Dallas-Ft. Worth, Houston, and San Antonio were identified as locations where more spill incidents occurred than at other areas of Texas. Although a greater number of events occurred near these locations, the events were still spread over a large distance, with clusters being defined as occurring in a 10 -mile radius of a central location. The analysis is useful in that it revealed the general location of concentrations of spill incidents, but is not useful in defining the precise location of potential spill traps.
6. A large body of literature pertaining to storm water quality structures that are used to improve stormwater quality was reviewed. The intent of this task was to identify potential applications of stormwater treatment technology in a spill treatment role. That material is summarized in this report. The most likely candidates for such a dual-purpose role remain those structures that capture stormwater runoff for treatment or for runoff rate control.
7. If neither detention nor stormwater water-quality treatment is required, then a spill trap could be placed at outfalls from local highway drainage to impound the design volume should a spill occur. These structures could be placed in either a no-discharge condition (outlet works closed requiring maintenance to release stormwater after an event) or in an open-discharge condition (requiring first responders to close the outlet work if a spill incident occurred).
8. If detention is required, then the detention/retention structure with the outlet structured such that a certain volume must be exceeded before discharge occurs could serve as a spill trap. The outlet works consideration defined in Item 7 would apply.
9. If stormwater runoff water-quality treatment is required, then a portion of the incoming volume could be set aside to act as a spill trap. Again, the criteria presented in Item 7 would apply.
10. An alternative is to place a spill trap off line of either detention or water-quality treatment (or both) with initial flows directed to the trap by a hydraulic splitter.
11. The variety of approaches for spill traps presented in Items $7-10$ should provide TxDOT designers flexibility in achieving reasonable designs should it be determined that a spill trap is required.
12. An agency-wide decision should be made concerning the outlet works for spill traps. It is important that there be uniformity in protocol so that both TxDOT personnel and first responders know whether the spill-trap outlet works are open or closed by default.

### 5.2. Recommended Work

During the course of this project a few ideas for further research were developed. A listing of these ideas follows.

1. The application of hazardous materials spill traps is not generally required by other jurisdictions. This might be attributable to relative rarity of spills and the potential impact on receiving waters. However, an issue that was not examined was the economics of hazardous materials spills and traps. This topic is one that would benefit from significant study because the relation between trap cost and spill cost should be used to determine what locations would benefit from use of traps, if any.
2. A review of the CRIS ${ }^{1}$ accident database is appropriate. The CRIS database became available late in this project and there was insufficient time and resources to do an appropriate analysis. The CRIS database could be useful to TxDOT designers tasked with selecting sites for potential hazardous material spill structures.
3. A few prototype structures at locations where spill incidents are most likely would be useful to determine the utility of the structures. Records of spills at those locations, whether the structures performed as designed, and the interaction between TxDOT personnel and firstresponders in operating the structures would be beneficial.
4. Review of the structures used in the San Antonio District was not considered as part of this research project. It might be that Recommendation 3 has already been accomplished by San Antonio District personnel and results from their experience are available.
[^14]
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## A. Select Water-Quality Design Standards

A number of Texas jurisdictions present formal water-quality design standards. A summary of these documents is presented in the subsequent sections of this report. Although these do not directly address the design of hazardous materials traps, such designs are by nature interrelated because of the physical proximity that is likely. Therefore, this information is considered germane.

## A.1. San Antonio, Texas Water Quality Standards

The City of San Antonio requires installation of detention, sedimentation, and filtration systems for water-quality control for runoff from multi-family and commercial developments wherever the impervious cover exceeds 15 percent ${ }^{1}$. The standard is for a trap-and-treat of the first 0.5 -inches of runoff ${ }^{2}$, separately from any detention provided. In addition, roadways with an average daily traffic of 1,500 vehicles per day or more are to have sediment and filtration basins to trap and treat the "first flush" of runoff from a storm event. Roadways with ADT exceeding 30,000 vehicles per day are also to have hazardous materials traps with a minimum volume of 10,000 gallons with a self-draining outlet and an emergency cut-off to contain any spilled materials ${ }^{3}$.

The City of San Antonio design criteria contain a recommendation for extended detention. The design recommendation is for use of extended detention ponds when wet ponds, vegetated treatment ponds, or vegetated swales and strips are not practical because of irrigation requirements, or where mosquito control would be a problem. Basin design is based on

1. Drawdown time of $24-40$ hours ( 40 hours is preferred),
2. Shallow basin with large surface area preferred,
3. Basin length should be at least three times the width,
4. Basin inlet and outlet at opposite ends of the basin, or baffles required,
5. Energy dissipator at the inlet to reduce turbulence and potential resuspension,
6. Vegetation of side slopes,

[^15]7. Paving or soil stabilization where side erosion is a problem, and
8. Submerge the outlet if floatables are present.

## A.1.1. Design Approach

Target removal rate is 70 percent of suspended solids (TSS). The basin area is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{s}=A_{d} \frac{H}{10} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{s}$ is the surface area of the pond (square feet), $A_{d}$ is the contributing drainage area (square feet), and $H$ is the design storm depth (feet). Pond sizing is in accordance with Young and Graziano (1989).

## A.2. Austin, Texas Criteria

The City of Austin, Texas maintains a drainage design manual ${ }^{4}$ and an environmental criteria manual ${ }^{5}$. The water quality design criteria is a minimum runoff volume of one-half ( 0.5 ) inch plus an additional one-tenth (0.1) inch for each ten (10) percent increase of gross impervious cover in excess of twenty (20) percent ${ }^{6}$. The impervious area includes all impervious surfaces, including roads, sidewalks, parking areas, and rooftops ${ }^{7}$. Rooftop drainage areas may be removed from the impervious area computation if rainfall harvesting is implemented.

Runoff from lands left in a "natural" state (greenbelts and open spaces) is not required to be treated. Such runoff from these areas is to be bypassed around the water quality structure or included in the required treatment volume.

Off-site drainage should also be routed away from any treatment structures. Commercial developments are not subject to the same requirements because on-site treatment is required for commercial developments.

A hydraulic splitter is required to separate water-quality flows from stormwater runoff. The splitter must pass the 25 -year event to the treatment structure and pass the 100 -year event without overtopping of the structure walls.

Basin liners to prevent or reduce bottom infiltration are required.
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## A.3. Fort Worth, Texas Criteria

The City of Fort Worth, Texas maintains a drainage design manual ${ }^{8}$. In addition, stormwater control design protocols are also provided ${ }^{9}$.

## A.4. TCEQ Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) has an extensive set of rules for the environmentally-sensitive Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The process for estimating the required design volume for a best management practice (BMP) is presented by Barrett (2005) and is summarized in the following.

The requirement is that 80 percent of the increase in TSS load (notice not concentration) over the background TSS load that results from development must be removed. The general process for development of a BMP design is

1. Calculate the required TSS removal, which is based (solely) on the net increase in impervious area,
2. Select a BMP or BMP system appropriate for the site,
3. Compute the TSS load removed by the BMP system,
4. Compute the percentage of runoff to be treated to achieve the 80 percent reduction in TSS for the increased load,
5. Calculate the capture volume/minimum flowrate necessary to achieve the target load reduction, and
6. Test the selected BMP to determine whether the target reduction can be achieved, then
(a) If the target load reduction is achieved by the selected BMP system, conduct the detailed design,
(b) If the target load reduction is not achieved by the selected BMP system, either select another BMP system or reduce the increase in impervious area.
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## B. Data for the Spill-Site Analysis

The purpose of this appendix is to present more detailed data for those areas that seem to be subject to a greater number of material spill incidents. These collections of spill sites are typically located in and near the large metropolitan areas in Texas. The approximate distance of each spill site from the centroid of the spill cluster is listed in the following tables. For those areas where the distances are relatively small, it might be possible to reduce clean-up difficulty by installing a hazardous material trap, provided the site topography and other details are amenable.

In the context of the tables and maps that follow, the term centroid refers to the approximate centroid of the area defined on the associated map. The information presented in the tables is approximate, but useful in that it allows an assessment of those reaches of roadways were the installation of traps might be useful.

Table B.1: Austin area spill sites.

| Location | Volume <br> (gal) | Nearest <br> City | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Rain <br> (in) | Distance from <br> Centroid (mi) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> of Spills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Site A1 |  |  |  |  |
| Between Mile Marker 246 <br> \& 252a on IH-35 North- <br> bound | 250 | Round Rock | Williamson | 30.49 | -97.68 | T | 0.00 |
| IH-35 S from Georgetown | 5880 | Georgetown | Williamson | 30.64 | -97.68 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 8600 N IH-35 | 5000 | Georgetown | Williamson | 30.66 | -97.68 | $0.3,0.1$ | 10.50 |
|  <br> US Hwy 79 | - | Hutto | Williamson | 30.54 | -97.54 | 0.00 | 8.80 |
| 551 South IH-35 George- <br> town Texas | - | Georgetown | Williamson | 30.64 | -97.69 | 0.00 | 1 |

Table B.2: Detailed data for Beaumont area Site B1.

| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume } \\ \text { (gal) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Nearest City | County | Lat. (deg) | Long. (deg) | Rain <br> (in) | Distance from Centroid (mi) | Number of Spills |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection of Hwy 347 and Hill St Nederland Tx | 0 | Nederland | Jefferson | 29.99 | -94.01 | T | 0 | 1 |
| Highway 347 | 0 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.02 | -94.05 | 0 | 3.3 | 4 |
| 6275 Highway 347 | 50-1,600 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.02 | -94.05 | 0 | 3.4 | 7 |
| Highway 69 South and Exit 3514 | 188 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.00 | -94.04 | 0 | 1.9 | 1 |
| Westbound Exit Ramp from Highway 69 to Ih-10 | 30 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.00 | -94.04 | T | 1.9 | 1 |
| 0.5 Miles from Intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | 3-1,400 | Nederland | Jefferson | 29.99 | -93.97 | 1.66 | 1.9 | 14 |
| 6001 Highway 366 | 10-6,300 | Port Neches | Jefferson | 29.98 | -93.95 | 1.45 | 3.5 | 30 |
| Hwy 366 | 40 | N/A | Jefferson | 29.98 | -93.95 | T | 3.5 | 1 |
| 5500 State Highway 366 | 1-2,400 | Groves | Jefferson | 29.97 | -93.94 | N/A | 3.8 | 5 |
| 615 Main Street | 0 | Port Neches | Jefferson | 29.99 | -93.95 | 0 | 3.5 | 1 |

Table B.3: Detailed data for Beaumont area Site B2.

| Gate 99, Intersection of <br> Hwy 73 and Hwy 366 | 5,75 | Port Arthur | Jefferson | 29.95 | -93.89 | 0.63 | 0 | 2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection of Hwy 366 <br> and 32 street | $10-600$ | Groves | Jefferson | 29.96 | -93.90 | 5.65 | 0.92 | 27 |  |
| Intersection of Fm 322 <br> and Hwy 87 | 300 |  | N/A | Jefferson | 29.94 | -93.89 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 1 |
| Hwy 87 Miles West <br> from Sabine Pass | 30 | Sabine Pass | Jefferson | 29.94 | -93.89 | 0.89 | 0.58 | 2 |  |
| Hwy 872.5 Mi E from <br> Sabine Pass | 70 | Sabine Pass | Jefferson | 29.97 | -93.87 | 0 | 1.80 | 1 |  |

Table B.4: Detailed data for Beaumont area Site B3.

| 100 Old Highway 90 West | 1-120 | Beaumont | Orange | 30.10 | -94.06 | N/A | 0 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IH-10 Exit 849 Near <br> Walden Rd.   | 8, 15 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.04 | -94.16 | T | 7.20 | 2 |
| Highway 69 South and Old Amoco Road at the Lnva Canal | 35 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.10 | -94.14 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 |
| IH-10 W-Bound at FM 838 | 1 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.10 | -94.10 | 0.57 | 2.60 | 1 |
| 1.5 Miles West of Beaumont on Highway 90 | 25, 35 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.09 | -94.09 | 0.92 | 1.52 | 3 |
| Mile Marker 878 Eastbound IH-10 Construction Zone | 40 | Vidor | Orange | 30.13 | -94.01 | N/A | 4.20 | 1 |
| 585 IH-10 E 415 Old Hwy 90 Adj to Orange Co Bldg Materials | 20 | Vidor | Orange | 30.13 | -93.99 | N/A | 4.80 | 1 |
| US 69 on Northbound Side, 0.5 mi Past Fannett Rd. | 30 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.04 | -94.13 | 0.75 | 5.60 | 1 |
| IH-10 Westbound Be- tween FM 1132 and FM 1135 | 120 | Vidor | Orange | 30.13 | -93.95 | N/A | 7.00 | 1 |
| 10658 Highway 90 W | 0 | Beaumont | Jefferson | 30.10 | -94.06 | 0.58 | 3.00 | 1 |

Table B.5: Detailed data for Beaumont area Site B4.

| IH-10 Between Mi | 1 | Beaumont | Orange | 30.12 | -93.79 | N/A | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marker 873 \& 879 E of Orange Tx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jct Hwy 62 \& 105; W on 1051 Mi | 1,147 | Orangefield | Orange | 30.08 | -93.82 | N/A | 3.40 | 1 |
| IH-10 Eastbound Median, East of Highway 62 | 25 | Orange | Orange | 30.12 | -93.82 | N/A | 1.95 | 1 |
| Mile Marker 876 Eastbound IH-10 | 110 | Orange | Orange | 30.12 | -93.76 | N/A | 1.54 | 2 |
| IH-10 Eastbound at Cow Bayou | - | N/A | Orange | 30.12 | -93.74 | N/A | 2.70 | 1 |
| Interstate Highway 10 Westbound Near Mm 870 and Cole Creek | 20 | Orange | Orange | 30.12 | -93.83 | N/A | 2.60 | 3 |
| Highway 62 Exit Near the Flying J Truck Stop | - | Orange | Orange | 30.10 | -93.75 | N/A | 2.81 | 2 |
| Hwy 87 and 1200 16th St | 25-316 | Orange | Orange | 30.17 | -93.76 | N/A | 4.20 | 4 |

Table B.6: Detailed data for Beaumont area Site B5.

| West of Port Arthur <br> Texas on State Hwy 87 | $1-10,000$ | Port Arthur | Jefferson | 29.73 | -93.89 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy 87S Towards Sabine <br> Pass | 500 |  | Port Arthur | Jefferson | 29.73 | -93.89 | 0 | 0.50 | 1 |

Table B.7: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW1.

| Location | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{gal})$ | Nearest <br> City | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Rain <br> $(\mathrm{in})$ | Distance from <br> Centroid (mi) | Number <br> of Spills |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound IH-35E near <br> 9600 Block RL Thornton <br> Fwy | 50 | Dallas | Dallas | 32.77 | -96.80 | 0 | 0 |  |
| IH-35E at Kiest Blvd <br> Exit | 60 | Dallas | Dallas | 32.71 | -96.83 | 0.3 | 4.70 | 1 |
| IH-30 West on-ramp at <br> Industrial Blvd | 50 | Dallas | Dallas | 32.77 | -96.81 | 0 | 0.50 | 1 |
| IH-35E south at NW <br> Hwy Intersection | 3 | Dallas | Dallas | 32.87 | -96.81 | $0.6,0.42$ | 6.80 | 1 |
| IH-35E at intersection of <br> Jefferson Blvd | 10 | Dallas | Dallas | 32.76 | -96.81 | 0 | 0.67 |  |
| Hwy 183 Southbound be- <br> tween Regal Row and <br> Mockingbird Lane | 100 | Dallas | Dallas | 32.84 | -96.80 | 0 | 4.70 | 1 |
| 4200 S. IH-45 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |

Table B.8: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW2.

| 2002 NW Hwy | 1 | Garland | Dallas | 32.85 | -96.64 | 0 | source | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4532 Highway 67E | 70 | Mesquite | Dallas | 32.83 | -96.62 | 0 | 2.2 | 1 |
| IH-30 Eastbound at Zion <br> Road | 125 | Garland | Dallas | 32.86 | -96.54 | 0.32 | 5.8 | 1 |
| NE from intersection of <br> Hwy 75 and IH-635 | $10-70$ | Dallas | Dallas | 32.92 | -96.77 | $1.27,0.09$ | 8.7 | 4 |
| 1145 IH-30       <br> Intersection of IH-30 and <br> IH-635 50 Mesquite Dallas 32.82 -96.64 T | 0.5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.9: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW3.

| 3980 N IH-35E, Service | 100 | unavailable | Ellis | 32.45 | -96.85 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rd and Lofland Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.10: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW4.

| Vic intersection of State | 200 | Grapevine | Tarrant | 32.90 | -97.10 | 0.36 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy 114 and William D Tate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection of Hwy 26 and Kimball S. | 0 | Grapevine | Tarrant | 32.93 | -97.11 | 0 | 1.70 | 1 |
| IH-30 under Hwy 360 bridge. | 0 | Arlington | Tarrant | 32.76 | -97.06 | T | 9.90 | 1 |
| 1700 North Hwy 360 | 25-350 | Grand Rapids | Tarrant | 32.77 | -97.06 | 0 | 9.12 | 4 |
| Near Intersection of Hwy 121 and Denton Tap Road | 16 pounds | Lewisville | Denton | 33.00 | -96.99 | 0 | 8.96 | 1 |
| Intersection of $\mathrm{IH}-35 \mathrm{E}$ and Hebron Parkway Exit 448 | 155 | Lewisville | Denton | 33.01 | -96.97 | 0 | 10.00 | 1 |
| Intersection of SH 183 at Loop 12 | 300 | Irving | Dallas | 32.84 | -96.91 | 0 | 11.50 | 2 |

Table B.11: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW5.

| Near Int IH-35E and <br> Loop 288 | 100 | Denton | Denton | 33.26 | -97.18 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IH-35N at Exit 478 | 341 | Sanger | Denton | 33.36 | -97.18 | 0 | 6.80 | 1 |  |
| IH-35E at Sam <br> Road | Bass | 60 | Denton | Denton | 33.36 | -97.18 | 0 | 7.30 | 1 |
| Int US Hwy 380 at FM <br> 159 | 0 | Denton | Denton | 33.23 | -97.18 | 0 | 1.80 | 1 |  |
| IH-35 Exit 468 (Oak <br> Street) | 1 | Denton | Denton | 33.22 | -97.17 | 1.2 | 0.50 | 1 |  |
| US Hwy 380 appx 5 mi W <br> of Denton | 75 | Denton | Denton | 33.23 | -97.17 | 0 | 0.50 | 1 |  |

Table B.12: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW6.

| Intersection of Handley- <br> Ederville at Randol Mill | 1 | Fort Worth | Tarrant | 32.75 | -97.34 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IH35W near Exit 60 <br> $(H w y ~ 287)$ | 0 | Fort Worth | Tarrant | 32.70 | -97.34 | 0 | 4.20 | 1 |  |
| vic 9400 IH35W |  | 80 | Fort Worth | Tarrant | 32.69 | -97.32 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 |
| IH35 W southbound at | 2000 | Fort Worth | Tarrant | 32.68 | -97.32 | 0 | 4.70 | 1 |  |
| Felix Street (Exit 46) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Intersection of IH20 | 20 | Fort Worth | Tarrant | 32.67 | -97.24 | $0.27,0.3$ | 7.80 | 1 |  |
| West at IH820 East Fort <br> Worth, TX 76119" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IH35W southbound near <br> Western Center Blvd exit | 1200 | Fort Worth | Tarrant | 32.86 | -97.32 | 0 | 8.30 | 1 |  |

Table B.13: Detailed data for Dallas-Fort Worth area Site DFW7.

| 1400 E Hwy 67 | 200 | Alvarado | Johnson | 32.42 | -97.23 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4001 E Hwy 67 | 8 | Keene | Johnson | 32.40 | -97.30 | 0 | 5.10 | 1 |
| IH-35W and FM 917, be- <br> tween MM 31 and 32 | 75 | Briaroaks | Johnson | 32.49 | -97.28 | 0 | 5.57 | 1 |
| IH-35W Southbound, be- <br> tween MM 554 and 555 | 25 | Joshua | Johnson | 32.47 | -97.27 | 0 | 4.42 | 1 |
| Near FM 917 Exit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B.14: Detailed data for Houston area Site H1.

| Location | Volume <br> $(\mathrm{gal})$ | Nearest <br> City | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Rain <br> $(\mathrm{in})$ | Distance from <br> Centroid (mi) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> of Spills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hwy 225 Gate 19 | $50-406$ | Houston | Harris | 29.71 | -95.22 | $<6$ | 9 |
| Int Hwy 225 \& Berle | 30 | unavailable | Harris | 29.71 | -95.18 | 3.70 | 1 |
| Hwy 225 at Battleground <br> Rd | 35 | Deer Park | Harris | 29.71 | -95.12 | 0 | 7 |
| 5900 Hwy 225 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 16 |  |

Table B.15: Detailed data for Houston area Site H2.

| Hwy $146 ~ \& ~$ <br> Rd | 10 | La Porte | Harris | 29.69 | -95.03 | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NE Int Hwy 146 and Port <br> Rd | $20-250$ | Seabrook | Harris | 29.60 | -95.02 | 5.80 | 6 |
| IH-10 E Spur 330 Exit <br> Past 2nd Light | 310 | Baytown | Harris | 29.74 | -94.98 | 4.80 | 2 |

Table B.16: Detailed data for Houston area Site H3.

| Entrance Ramp IH0-10 <br> W Exit 789 | 150 | unavailable | Harris | 29.80 | -94.98 | 5.60 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9500 IH-10 E | 0 | Baytown | Harris | 29.82 | -94.89 | 0 | 1 |
| 10404 IH-10 \& Hwy 146 | 40 | unavailable | Chambers | 29.88 | -94.89 | 3.10 | 2 |
| 9548 IH-10 E | 30 | Baytown | Harris | 29.83 | -94.85 | 2.40 | 1 |

Table B.17: Detailed data for San Antonio area Site SA1.

| Location | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Volume } \\ \text { (gal) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Nearest City | County | Lat. (deg) | Long. (deg) | Rain <br> (in) | Distance from Centroid (mi) | Number of Spills |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy 1560, Altatierra St, and Satillo Flat | - | Helotes | Bexar | 29.57 | -98.65 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10619 S US Hwy 281 | 15 | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.51 | -98.83 | 1.26 | 11.00 | 1 |
| SW Corner Int Loop 1604 and Hwy 151 | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.48 | -98.71 | 0 | 7.00 | 1 |
| Int IH-10 at FM1516 | - | unavailable | Bexar | 29.58 | -98.60 | 1.9, 1.16, 1.8 | 3.50 | 1 |
| SE Corner IH-10 and Utsa Blvd | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.58 | -98.60 | 0.8 | 3.60 | 1 |

Table B.18: Detailed data for San Antonio area Site SA2.

| LocationVolume <br> (gal) | Nearest <br> City | County | Lat. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Long. <br> $(\mathrm{deg})$ | Rain <br> (in) | Distance from <br> Centroid (mi) | Number <br> of Spills |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int SH16 \& 211 | 60 | unavailable | Bexar | 29.34 | -98.63 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 |
| IH-35 S at Laredo St Exit | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.32 | -98.59 | 0 | 2.80 | 1 |
| IH-35 S at Jnc IH-10 \& IH- | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.32 | -98.61 | 0.02 | 2.10 | 1 |
| 410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 17934 SH16 S | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.38 | -98.64 | T | 2.90 | 1 |

Table B.19: Detailed data for San Antonio area Site SA3.

| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume } \\ (\mathrm{gal}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Nearest } \\ \text { City } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | County | Lat. (deg) | Long. (deg) | Rain (in) | Distance from Centroid (mi) | Number of Spills |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17599 N IH-35 | - | unavailable | Guadalupe | 29.42 | -98.49 | N/A | 0 | 1 |
| FM1516 and IH-10 E | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.43 | -98.51 | 0 | 1.30 | 1 |
| IH-10 and 1604 W | 35,000 | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.40 | -98.50 | T | 2.10 | 1 |
| 17910 IH-10 W | 35 | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.42 | -98.49 | 0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 19720 Hwy 281 S | 50 | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.33 | -98.41 | 0.68 | 8.50 | 1 |
| Loop 410 and IH-35 North | - | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.48 | -98.40 | 0 | 6.00 | 1 |

Table B.20: Detailed data for San Antonio area Site SA4.

| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Volume } \\ \text { (gal) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Nearest City | County | Lat. (deg) | Long. (deg) | Rain <br> (in) | Distance from Centroid (mi) | Number of Spills |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2100 Blk West IH-10 | 203 | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.46 | -98.32 | 0.12 | 0 | 1 |
| 5619 IH-10 Exit 582 | 40 | San Antonio | Bexar | 29.45 | -98.38 | 0 | 4.20 | 2 |
| Int 1604 \& IH-10 | 5-71 | Converse | Bexar | 29.47 | -98.29 | 0 | 1.45 | 9 |
| IH-10 W On-Ramp FM 1604 | 375 | unavailable | Bexar | 29.47 | -98.29 | 0 | 1.55 | 1 |
| 8957 E IH-10 | 2,325 | Converse | Bexar | 29.47 | -98.29 | T | 1.67 | 1 |
| 9010 IH-10 E | 75 | Converse | Bexar | 29.46 | -98.31 | - | 0.60 | 1 |

## C. Spill Remediation

## C.1. Treatment and Containment Strategies

Spill cleanup involves containing spilled material followed by separation from runoff, which can be accomplished by two procedures. The first procedure is a permanent containment solution that involves installation of containment structures such as detention basins and catch basins. The second procedure is to contain the spill using secondary containment devices such as booms, skimmers or BioSolve. Secondary containment devices might be installed in the permanent containment structure, in ditches, or other roadside depressions that hold the spill in the absence of permanent containment structures. Secondary containment devices are transient devices that do not remain on site after the spill cleanup is complete. Both procedures may be designed to work in spill situations with or without rain events. In the case of a spill accompanying a rain event, cleanup could be more challenging due to combined volumes of spill and runoff. Both permanent containment and the secondary containment devices are discussed in detail in the following paragraphs.

## C.1.1. Permanent Containment Solutions

Permanent containment structures used for spill containment require detailed sizing and design considerations. Catch basins, oil/water separators and Stormceptors are some of the permanent containment structures that may be used. Most of the permanent containment structures discussed below, are stormwater Best Management Practices, which require modifications to their design before hazardous spill containment application. Advantages and limitations of the permanent containment devices are discussed in the following section.

## Catch Basins

## General Description

A catch basin (Figure C.1) is an inlet to the stormwater drainage system. Components of a catch basin consist of a grate where the stormwater enters the catch basin and a sump that captures the sediment, debris and the associated pollutants as illustrated in Figure C.2. Catch basins serve as a pre-treatment step in the collection and separation of pollutants from stormwater runoff; however, these units should not be used as standalone treatment units. Catch basins collect water in an
oversized sump and provide some inflow and outflow control to remove coarse sediments and debris (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 2007).


Figure C.1: Catch basin (Environmental Services - City of Portland 2007)

## Applications

Catch basins may be used in most urban drainage systems throughout the U.S. and are normally located under low spots or along road curbs. Catch basins are more or less consistent with their design and do not have any regional variations (Storm Water O and M Fact Sheet 1999).

Advantages

- Catch basin units are relatively inexpensive.
- Existing catch basins might be easily modified to include catch basin inserts.
- Catch basins are available in prefabricated forms and standard sizes (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 2007).


Figure C.2: Components of a catch basin (ACO Polymer Products Inc. 2007)

## Disadvantages

- Catch basins do not remove pollutants at rates comparable to those of wet ponds or filters hence, catch basins should not be used as standalone treatment units.
- Regular maintenance of a catch basin is essential for efficient functioning, which constitutes a major portion of the cost (Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 2007).


## Prospective Locations

- The highway segment should be selected in such a way that any spill that occurs in that segment is directed to the catch basin.
- Catch basin may be located at stream crossings on highways that are functionally classified as rural or urban arterials.
- Basins installed at the above stated locations should be installed as per site evaluations. Considerations should be included for traffic, volume and type of spill and potential for accidents based on highway geometry and receiving water quality (North Carolina Department of Transportation 2007).


## Design Requirements

- The volume of the catch basin should be approximately 10,000 gallons in addition to the runoff encountered from a 2 -year return period storm event.
- A means of controlling output from the basin could be provided. This might be a mechanical gate or a narrow space at the outlet blocked by bags or soil. Therefore, maintenance would be required.
- Mechanical gate option should be provided in areas where close scrutiny of the operation of the gate is possible to prevent unauthorized activity (North Carolina Department of Transportation 2007).


## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Catch basins are recommended for this project because they trap floatables at the top and sediments and settleable debris at the bottom. This increases the removal efficiency of the contaminants being separated with skimmers, booms and rubberizers, downstream of the basin (in other containment structures). In addition, catch basins remove macroscopic organics that serve as a medium for transport of pollutant particulates. Catch basins may also retain denser insoluble solids and heavier immiscible liquids in the sump.

The problem with maintenance could be overcome by developing a regular protocol performed by the highway maintenance group. Catch basins are not expensive and are the foundation for installation of catch basin inserts. When appropriately sized, catch basins are not limited by treatment capacity. Analysis of both rainfall data and spill data should be considered during sizing. Thus, catch basins reduce cost and accelerate cleanup of hazardous materials. Furthermore, in the absence of spill, catch basins remove sediments and improve the effluent water quality. Catch basins may be incorporated in the design or construction phase of the project.

## Catch Basin Inserts

## General Description

Catch basin inserts are capable of accommodating oil/water separators and media filtration units. However, these modifications have major drawbacks in terms of capacity to be treated and repeated clogging. A catch basin insert is a device inserted underneath the inlet to treat incoming water by adsorption, absorption, filtration or a combination of these methods. A general catch basin insert is shown in Figure C.3. A typical catch basin insert consists of the following components.

- A frame on which the pollutant removing medium is held in place.
- A means of suspending the insert in the basin.
- Inlet and outlet structures.
- A secondary outlet for bypass flows (Tennessee BMP manual Stormwater Treatment 2002).

There are several versions of catch basin inserts that might be used to contain sediment, oil, grease, litter, and debris. The inserts consist of a geotextile filter fiber and uses various sorbents to trap pollutants. The size of the insert depends on the insert manufacturer (EPA 2006).


Figure C.3: Catch basin inserts (AbTech Industries 2002).

## Advantages

- No regional variations.
- May be retrofitted in an existing catch basin with ease (Tennessee BMP manual Stormwater Treatment 2002).


## Disadvantages

- Both installation and maintenance costs are a concern.
- Catch basin inserts require regular, consistent checkups for uninterrupted service (Tennessee BMP manual Stormwater Treatment 2002).


## General Design Considerations for Catch Basins and Catch Basin Inserts

Modified catch basins have an oversized sump that aids in the removal of dense sediments and floatables. Catch basin design is site specific and the design requires careful consideration for the volume treated. The catch basin insert is used as a first flush treatment prior to a retention facility or an infiltration practice. The conditions that affect the type of insert selected include targeted contaminants (like hydrocarbons, metals, silt, organics and other particulates), area constraints, cost, and frequency of maintenance.

Inclusion of catch basin inserts has an added advantage of better treatment than using catch basins alone. A major disadvantage of inserts is limited treatment capacity. Therefore, careful consideration must be given to bypass flows in the event of large storms. A number of bypass designs are in use and one such arrangement is shown in Figure C.4.


Figure C.4: Working of a catch basin insert (Tennessee BMP manual Stormwater Treatment 2002).

Such bypass structures allow the entire system to function offline rather than inline. The bypass structure protects the catch basin insert from damage and at the same time fulfills the purpose of treating the first flush of runoff. The bypass factor also prevents re-suspension of trapped contaminants. Once the total volume of runoff and spill encountered is determined, a hydrologic analysis is performed to determine the actual volume treated by the insert (Tennessee BMP manual Stormwater Treatment 2002).

## Application

Catch basin inserts are capable of removing TSS, organics, and certain metals based on the type of insert employed. Major drawbacks include limited capacity and maintenance requirements. Some of the common applications are listed below.

- Oil spill cleanup at the site using a high hydrocarbon loading capacity medium that is not clogged by suspended matter.
- Inserts may be used to overcome drawbacks of undersized sumps, which reduce the amount of pollutant that may be removed in the catch basin.
- Inserts act as the final treatment unit if no further treatment operations exist.


## Examples

- Ultra-urban filter with smart sponge technology (Abtech Industries) is chemically selective for hydrocarbons (EPA 2007).
- The Aqua-guard catch basin insert is capable of removing oil, grease and heavy metals like zinc and copper (EPA 2007).
- Specially designed inserts may be used for site specific applications, such as the Stream Guard inserts for oil and sediment removal (Tennessee BMP manual Stormwater Treatment 2002).


## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Catch basin inserts are used for separating specific types of pollutants and are often limited by treatment capacity and volume limitations. They are recommended for areas that have witnessed repeated spills of the same contaminant and where space considerations limit installation of other inplace structures (such as detention basins). Pollutants such as oils and greases may be removed by contaminant-specific inserts. A large number of oil and fuel spills with small volumes were observed from the spill records contained in the project database, which could be effectively contained by the use of catch basin inserts. Catch basins are an effective alternative for urban areas that cannot house other bulky structures due to space limitations. The Triton Catch Basin insert ${ }^{1}$ removes commonly spilled materials such as hydrocarbons, metals, silt, and debris. Catch basin inserts could be easily retrofitted in existing catch basins and should be inspected regularly to address clogging concerns.

## Detention Basins

Detention basins are impoundments or excavated basins that store stormwater runoff for short durations until the water may be released safely downstream at pre-determined flow rates. Dry detention basins and extended dry detention basins are common. Detention basins hold runoff and release it at a point downstream to avoid flooding. Both the basin and the outlet are sized on the basis of runoff and the service area (See design section for details). Extended detention basins drain water at a rate slower than dry detention basins and often retain a permanent pool of water.

Detention basins retain water, aid in detaining limited amounts of pollutants, and prevents flooding and water scouring downstream of the basin. A detention basin has moderate removal efficiency for sediments, oil, and grease while having low removal efficiency for nutrients. Detention basins are a cost effective alternative for handling large amounts of water. Clogging at the basin inlet and outlet can be overcome by regular maintenance, proper design, and provision of access space for repair and maintenance. Maintenance practices include regular inspections, review by a licensed professional engineer, vegetation management, embankment and outlet stabilization, debris and litter control, and sediment/pollution removal (NRCS Planning and Design Manual 1994).

[^18]
## Advantages

- Detention basins are simple in design and relatively inexpensive to operate and maintain.
- Detention basins provide substantial capture of sediments and toxic pollutants associated with incoming flow.
- Detention basins provide significant control of channel erosion and enlargement caused by frequent flow variations (California Stormwater BMP Handbook 2003).


## Disadvantages

- Clogging of the detention basin inlet and outlet is a potential problem, which could affect detention times and pollutant removal efficiencies. This can be minimized by reducing the drainage area of the watershed draining to detention basins to ten acres.
- Dry extended basins have lower efficiency in comparison to other stormwater BMPs (Best Management Practices). In addition, dry extended basins are incapable of separating soluble contaminants.
- Presence of dry detention basins decreases the property value due to unfavorable aesthetic concerns such as bare land and the presence of inlet and outlet structures.

To solve the aesthetic problem an extended type basin may be used and the surrounding land may be landscaped to provide suitable habitat for wildlife (California Stormwater BMP Handbook 2003).

## Riser

A riser is an outlet structure that allows steady outflow from detention basins to receiving streams or a stormwater system. Holes in the riser at predetermined heights dictate the release of basin contents. Crushed stone is placed at the outlet point to attenuate the energy of the water released and prevent scouring (NRCS Planning and Design Manual 1994). Other types of outlet structures include different types of weirs, outlet gate and riser and weir restrictors.

## General Design Considerations of a Detention Basin

- Floor of the basin should be two feet above the high water table.
- Maximum water depth should be ten feet.
- Length to width ratio should be 2:1, with a minimum width of ten feet.
- Side slope should be a ratio of $3: 1$, with maximum height of side embankments limited to fifteen feet.
- Site should be located at least ten feet away from the property line and fifty feet away from private wells or septic systems.
- Fore bay (if present) should contain $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ of total pool volume.
- Compaction of the basin bottom should be avoided.
- Outlet structures must be resistant to corrosion and clogging by debris, sediment and plant material.
- Detention time should be limited to twelve hours (Dauphin County Conservation District PA 2007).


## Installation and Additional Consideration for Detention Basin

A detention basin is normally constructed of earth covered with riprap to prevent erosion. For the purpose of this project, the basin should be lined to prevent seepage and subsequent groundwater pollution. Extended basins provide greater detention times compared to dry detention basins and in turn provide certain benefits of lagoon treatment.

The design of dry detention basin includes site selection, detention time calculation, calculation of treatment ranges and maintenance procedures. Additional requirements include a hydraulic detention time of at least 24 hours for maximum contaminant removal.

Basins require the use of extensive design procedures and resources. A cutoff is desired to separate relatively impervious material under the structure which should be located at the center of the structure and may extend up to the abutments as required. Dry detention basin depth should be such that it reaches the impervious layer providing a stable structure when used in conjunction with seepage control. A cutoff trench should be wide enough to accommodate equipment used for excavation, backfill and compaction operations.

Seepage control should be included if:

- Pervious layers are not intercepted by the cutoff;
- Seepage creates swamping downstream;
- Seepage control is needed to ensure a stable embankment; or
- Special problems require drainage for a stable dam.

Seepage may be controlled by:

- Foundation; or
- Embankment drains; or
- Reservoir blanketing; or
- Lining materials; or
- A combination of these measures (NRCS Planning and Design Manual 1994).

Areas that do not have an impervious layer as a foundation should be lined to prevent seepage and subsequent contamination of environment.

## Safety

Safety of the public is an important consideration that needs planning. Detention basins do not need fencing all around. Steps taken to prevent accidents include limiting side slopes to $4: 1$ (vertical:horizontal, to prevent people from falling into the basin) and locating outlet structure away from public eye (to avoid unwanted attention). In addition, hazard warning signs can be installed to warn the public.

## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Detention basins are generally used for stormwater runoff control and should be modified for efficient spill containment. Detention basins are the key to containing spills because the basins hold the spill and any accompanying runoff in-place until further separation. Detention basins may house secondary containment devices like booms, skimmers, rubberizer pillows and particulates to facilitate separation of contained contaminants. In the absence of spill, detention basins control stormwater runoff and provide a certain amount of water treatment because they remove some sediment. Detention basins intended to serve as hazardous materials traps should be lined and incorporated in the design phase of new construction projects. The type of lining should be selected such that it can be sacrificed in the event of a spill. Concrete might not be the best choice for detention facilities that will also serve as traps. For retrofitting basins, topography and land use play a role in site selection and installation of structures. Availability of land for constructing basins and need for regular maintenance are major limitations. Maintenance drawback should be overcome by implementing regular maintenance protocols.

## Retention Basins

Retention basins are similar to detention basins and differ by the amount of time runoff is held in place. Retention basins store water, in contrast to detention basins that delay the release of water (NRCS planning and design manual 1994). Retention basins are designed to reduce the maximum flow rate and runoff volume into the receiving water and can incorporate devices for containment of hazardous materials. Fencing is used to restrict access to authorized personnel (City of Elsentro, California standards for detention basins 2007).

## Advantages

- Retention basins prevent shock loading to stormwater systems.
- Retention basins provide a means for pollution treatment. A number of contaminant separation steps may be installed in the basin.
- Biological treatment might be possible depending on the concentration and the ability of the microbes to survive in the presence of of the hazardous materials (Setty 2007).


## Disadvantages

- Human safety is an issue if the side slopes are very steep.
- Retention basins may act as mosquito breeding grounds especially in warmer climates.
- Lack of proper construction and size could lead to embankment overtopping.
- Maintenance should be given high priority; a lack of regular maintenance may lead to trash accumulation.
- Liner is needed to prevent seepage of spilled materials.
- Area needed to construct a basin may be large (Setty 2007).


## Design Considerations

The following factors should be considered in the design of detention basins.

- Shape. L:W ratio of $2: 1$ or greater is required. Oblong or triangular structures are recommended.
- Retention Time. Time spent by the water in the basin dictates the degree of separation achieved by the outflow. Retention time, $T$, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{V B}{n} V R, \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$V B=$ Volume of the basin;
$V R=$ Volume of runoff events;
$n=$ number of runoff events in a year.

- Depth. Depth of the basin should be such that there is no stratification of water and algal blooms do not thrive.
- Trickle Ditch. This structure is usually a channel that directs water from the inlet to the outlet in the event of low flows to prevent stagnation.
- Inlet and Outlet. Inlet structures serve as primary treatment. Trash racks are included at the inlet to remove large objects. The inlet structure is carefully designed to dissipate energy to prevent erosion and re-suspension of settled matter. Outlet structure may constitute a rise pipe drawing water from cooler area to reduce thermal effects. Sizing the outlet structure properly controls outflow (Setty 2007).


## Sizing

Larger basins with greater retention times are preferred due to a higher degree of treatment. However, construction costs and availability of space are sizing issues. Optimization between costs and degree of treatment lead to an ideal retention time of 2 to 3 weeks. Basin volume is 3 to 4 times that of a 2 -year storm event. Basin area is determined by dividing the basin volume previously determined by a standard depth of six feet. The dimensions are determined by assuming a $2: 1 \mathrm{~W}: \mathrm{L}$ ratio for the calculated area.

## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Retention basins hold runoff for longer durations than detention basins leading to better separation of released contaminants. Retention basins have an added advantage of biological treatment; however, due to toxicity of the released contaminants, biological treatment may be limited or nonexistent. The basin should be lined to prevent seepage and spread of contained pollution. Similar to detention basins, contaminant separation devices may be used to separate the released materials.

Sizing is an important factor that affects separation efficiency. Hence, basins should be sized after carefully considering runoff volume, drainage area, and spill volume. Another drawback is the area required to build retention basins. If adequate space is available, retention basins are recommended. Maintenance is a drawback that should be handled by establishing a standard protocol. Similar to detention basins, retention basins should be incorporated in the design phase of construction projects. Existing retention basins may be modified and used for spill containment in areas that have high frequency of spills.

## Underground Concrete Basins

Underground concrete basins are detention basins that have the greatest amount of flexibility in terms of structure. Underground concrete basins may be located in secluded areas or may be located underground. Underground concrete basins are preferred in areas of limited rights of way. Underground concrete basins may be built in any geometrical shape and the sides may be near vertical or vertical.

The area occupied by the underground concrete basin depends on height limitations and the right-of-way availability. The basin configuration may be round, octagonal, or rectangular with sloping or flat bottoms. Each configuration has its own advantages. Design of an underground concrete basin includes.

- Horizontal or vertical configuration
- Inlet pipe
- Basin bottom configuration
- Outlet structure
- Spillway and emergency outlets (Stahre and Urbonas 1990)


## Other Considerations

## Electrical Equipment

Electrical power is required for lighting, pumps, gates and other mechanical equipments, all of which have to be corrosion resistant, flood proof and explosion proof (due to accumulation of methane gas in underground basins). Wherever possible, all equipments should be placed in specially ventilated and heated rooms.

## Ventilation

Ventilation requires special considerations in underground concrete basins because of the underground location. Proper ventilation arrangements should be made and trapping of air between the basin ceiling and water surface should be prevented. Inflow and outflow pipes circulate air and act as secondary air suppliers.

Operation and Maintenance
Underground concrete basins are subjected to the following conditions.

- High humidity
- Organic sludge deposit;
- Corrosive gases
- Intermittent operation
- Microbial and fungal attack

Certain operational problems may be mitigated by appropriate design. However, regular maintenance and inspection is required.

## Access Openings

Openings are required for ventilation, maintenance and illumination of surroundings during the day. The access space should be sufficient for cleaning and moving maintenance equipment. Inspection walkways make inspection of large detention basins easier. In addition, emergency spillways should be provided to drain flows to prevent overtopping of the basin. A skimmer may be provided at the emergency spillways to prevent floatables from clogging the outlet.

## Cleaning

Occasional cleaning of the basin is required due to sedimentation. Cleaning may be accomplished by the following methods.

- Flushing
- Cleaning with scrapers
- Cleaning with mobile cleaning equipment
- Manual cleaning (Stahre and Urbonas 1990)


## Advantages

- Recommended for areas with non-existent or limited right-of-way.
- Safer (for the public) than above ground detention basins.
- Better odor control than above ground detention basins (Stahre and Urbonas 1990).


## Disadvantages

- Methane gas production may create explosive conditions.
- Organic sludge deposits may be a nuisance.
- Ventilation provisions are required.
- Complex piping may increase costs.
- Pumps might be required, which leads to an increase in power demand, further increasing costs (Stahre and Urbonas 1990).


## Applicability to hazardous material spills

Similar to detention and retention basins, underground concrete basins may be constructed to temporarily store the spill and accompanying runoff encountered. Underground concrete basins are especially desirable when the rights-of-way are limited or unavailable and in such situations concrete basins may be constructed below the roadway itself. Underground concrete basins should be incorporated in the construction phase of the project, when built under the roadway itself and are desirable due to reduced safety risk faced by the public and first responders. Gates may be used as outlet structures to control the release of the basin contents after a spill incident. In normal operation, the gate remains open allowing water to flow through the basin and is closed after a spill incident. Other secondary containment devices may be used in conjunction with this structure to separate the released materials from runoff. In addition, these basins provide certain amount of sediment removal. Skimmers are provided to stop the floatables from exiting the outlet.

## Stormceptor

A Stormceptor is a proprietary pollution prevention device that removes fine sediments and hydrocarbons ranging in size from 20 to 2000 microns. Stormceptors treat pollution at its source, preventing oil spills from entering downstream water bodies or surrounding areas. The Stormceptor is intentionally designed to treat a majority of the annual rainfall and a portion of the peak flow
volumes. Stormceptors treat small rainfall events that occur frequently and bypass a portion of high flows resulting from infrequent, large rainfall events. Bypass is intended to prevent or reduce scouring of previously settled sediments and hydrocarbons. The weir and the orifice plates ensure favorable conditions for the capture of fine suspended solids and hydrocarbons under conditions of peak flow. Along with TSS and hydrocarbons, the device is capable of removing oils, grease, petroleum products, heavy metals and sorbed contaminants like nutrients (NJCAT Technology 2004).

## System Description

Various parts of a Stormceptor are displayed in Figure C.5. Stormceptor consists of a vertically oriented cylindrical device composed of concrete and a fiber reinforced plastic insert. The device comprises of a circular riser and slab constituting the tank and a fiberglass insert that is mounted inside the cylindrical chamber. The circular construction offsets turbulence and enhances settling (NJCAT Technology 2004). Stormceptor is installed according to state and local regulations.

Typical steps involved in the installation of the device include:

- Installation of an aggregate base
- Installation of base slab
- Installation of lower chamber section
- Installation of upper chamber section
- Assembly of fiberglass insert components (drop tee, riser pipe, oil cleanout port and orifice plate)
- Installation of remainder of upper chamber
- Installation of frame and access cover


## Operation

Stormwater enters the device through the storm sewer located in the upper chamber while the lower chamber separates floatables, sediments and water. The two chambers are separated by a drop tee and weir arrangement, which is detailed in Figure C.6. To maximize the detention time, a drop tee is provided with two holes that direct the water to the inside circumference of the unit. Water flowing upwards from the lower chamber is dependent on the head at the inlet weir and is discharged at point downstream of the weir. Water is released from the outlet portion, which is connected to the upper chamber. Oil and other floatables are trapped at the surface of the water in the lower chamber, while sediments settle to the bottom of the lower chamber. There is no scouring of the settled particles in the lower chamber because the overflow decreases the head between inlet and outlet pipe which in turn reduces the velocity responsible for re-suspending settled material. Dissolved and emulsified pollutants are not affected by the turbulence and remain in water. If the incoming flow rate exceeds a design threshold, then excess flow bypasses directly to the sewer


Figure C.5: Components of a Stormceptor (NJCAT Technology 2004)
system. In case of a spill during a large rainfall event, some portion of the flow to the Stormceptor might bypass the trap. The bypass facility ensuring long term efficiency is depicted in Figure C. 7 (NJCAT Technology 2004).

## Inspection and Maintenance

Stormceptor installations require minimal maintenance. However, the system requires regular inspection to ensure proper performance. The Stormceptor unit must be inspected every six months, with specific attention to the oil and sediment levels in the lower chamber.

## Types

Stormceptors are propriety devices. Rinker Materials is one company that manufactures the following types of Stormceptors.

- Inlet Stormceptors. This unit is used instead of a traditional inlet structure for small drainage


Figure C.6: Operation during average flow conditions (NJCAT Technology 2004).


Figure C.7: Operation during high flow conditions (NJCAT Technology 2004).
areas.

- Inline Stormceptors. This unit is available in eight different capacities ranging from 900 to 7200 gallons and can remove more than 80 percent TSS and 95 percent free oil and hydrocarbons.
- Series Stormceptors. These units are used for treating runoffs from large drainage areas.
- Submerged Stormceptors. The units are suitable for removal of TSS and other pollutants from submerged pipes. Submerged Stormceptors have been used in coastal areas and other submerged pipe conditions and are available in a range of sizes of pipe diameters varying from 72 inches to 144 inches (Rinker Materials 2007).


## Stormceptor Sizing Program

PCSWMM (Decision support system for Storm Water Management Model of USEPA) is a modeling software for Stormceptors. PCSWMM allows selection of rainfall data from 1,900 stations across North America and selection of particle sizes that may be encountered in the event (PCSWMM Stormceptor sizing program 2007).

## Frame and Cover Installation

Stormceptor has a cast iron frame and a cover that may be installed in a manner to set the frame and cover at any desired elevation. (PCSWMM Stormceptor sizing program 2007).

## General Facts

Stormceptors do not require any pre-treatment or mosquito control which may be required with other options. In fact, it could be used as standalone treatment when sized properly. The system is incapable of providing sufficient nutrient removal or fecal coliform removal. As a result Stormceptors are unsuitable for use near certified venal pools, public water supplies, and swimming beaches (NJCAT Technology 2004).

## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Inlet Stormceptors are not recommended for this project as they are incapable of handling large flows. Moreover, the bypass factor may lead to ineffective separation of hazardous materials during combined events (rain accompanying spill events). Inline or series Stormceptors are more suited for this project as they handle larger loads and remove hydrocarbons, oil, grease, petroleum, heavy metals and sorbed contaminants like nutrients. Stormceptors may be retrofitted into existing highways or may be installed as a part of new construction. Another advantage is minimal maintenance, which reduces costs. Spill containment is challenging on bridges due to limited space considerations and Stormceptors may be used to contain spills occurring on bridges (built over sensitive water bodies).

## Oil/Water Separators

Oil/water separators might be used to segregate oils and greases from stormwater discharges by applying physical or chemical methods. In-line oil/water separators utilize a combination of separation methods, such as gravity separation, filters, coagulation/flocculation, and flotation. The method selected is dictated by the oil/water mixture.

## Separation Technique and Chemistry

Gravity separation is best suited for a mixture of materials with low water solubility and different specific gravities. Increasing the size of oil droplets by gravity coalescence results in larger oil droplets, which are more buoyant and more likely to rise to the surface. Coalescence may also be brought about by the use of an oleophilic fabric that catches these droplets, holding them in place until they grow larger and become buoyant enough to rise to the surface. Figure C. 8 is a conceptual drawing of an oil/water separator. The mixture to be separated enters the inlet chamber through the inlet pipe where the solids settle upstream of the baffle. In the second portion of the chamber, known as the separation camber, oil rises to the surface and collects behind the higher baffle. Clear water flows underneath the baffle to the outlet chamber from where it flows out of the separator (ProAct 1999).


Figure C.8: Oil/water separators (ProAct 1999).

## General Considerations

Oil/Water separators are simple devices, certain aspects of which require careful consideration with respect to safety, maintenance and management. Some of the factors are listed below.

- Flow rate. Effectiveness of the oil/water separator is increased by slower flow rates and increased residence times.
- Design Capacity. Each separator is capable of removing certain amount of contaminants. Excess contaminants leave in the outlet stream.
- Emulsifying agents. Oil and grease removing substances like soaps and detergents adversely affect the performance of these separators.
- Maintenance. Regular maintenance is required for smooth functioning (ProAct 1999).


## Sizing

Oil/water separators are sized based on the Stokes Law. Oil droplets exist in water in a variety of sizes. Removing a particular size droplet ensures removal of droplets larger than that size. As per the desired effluent standard, a droplet size is selected and the separator is sized in such a way that it removes droplets of the selected size and those exceeding that size. Temperature of water and specific gravity influence the design and sizing (Oil/Water Separator 2002).

## Maintenance

Oil/water separators require regular cleaning of residual oil to prevent leakage of a contained spill, especially during large storm events. Climatic conditions affect maintenance procedures and the procedures differ per oil/water separator manufacturer. Some of the common practices are listed below.

- The facility should be inspected weekly by the owner.
- Oil absorbent pads are to be replaced as needed and the effluent shutoff valve is to be closed during cleaning operations.
- Waste oil and residuals should be disposed in accordance with current local government health department requirements.
- Standing water removed during the maintenance operation should be directed to a sanitary sewer for treatment.
- Any standing water removed should be replaced with clean water to prevent oil carryover through the outlet (EPA 2002).


## Advantages

- Excellent for oil spills.
- Simple in design and operation.


## Disadvantages

- High maintenance is required which would increase cost.
- Limited ability to work with other light hazardous materials as the chemistry of the pollutant plays a vital role in the removal of the pollutant.
- Oil/water separators are suitable in the absence of a storm event due to wide variations in flow rates, turbulence and high suspended solids accompanying such events (Oil/Water Separator 2002).


## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Oil/water separators are best suited for impervious grounds and for places where oil spills are a concern. The separator should be installed downstream of catch basins or similar devices (that remove suspended matter) to avoid the presence of suspended solids. Oil/water separators are advantageous as they remain in-place unlike nonpermanent options such as booms or adsorbent pads that need to be deployed after the spill incident. As the separators may not handle larger loads, such devices should be installed in areas where the rainfall is low or where the output from the basin is controlled and small. Soil cover needs careful consideration to avoid runoff into these structures. Maintenance issues should be addressed by periodic inspection, especially after cleanup activities. Oil/water separators should be installed (for spill containment purposes) on bridges over water bodies to prevent contamination of water in the event of a spill.

## Dikes

A dike is similar to a berm and may be constructed of soil, stone, rock or a combination of these materials. A dike contains spills to specific areas preventing the spread of pollution. Dikes serve a variety of purposes from erosion control to spill containment (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2007).

## Site Selection

The site best suited for dikes is selected based on the following factors.

- Dikes should be limited in height and extent. For this purpose, the natural features of the land should be used properly.
- Trees and other obstructions weaken the structure, hence dikes should be constructed away from them (National Agricultural Safety Database 2002).


## Design Criteria Based on Site Specific Details

- Foundation conditions.
- Dike stability with respect to shear and strength.
- Settlement, seepage, and erosion.
- Available dike materials.
- Available construction equipment (Golder Associates Ltd. and Associated Engineering (B.C.) Ltd. 2003).


## Applicability to Hazardous Materials Spill

Dikes may be built around detention basins to contain potential overflows of the basin contents due to large storm or spill events. Construction and maintenance costs should be evaluated and justified before constructing dikes. Often, space considerations limit construction of detention basins. In such cases, roadside ditches are used to contain the spill. Dikes may be built around such ditches to prevent backflow of the spill (on the road) or spread to surrounding areas and subsequent contamination. Dikes may be built after the spill incident (around inplace structures) and used as a secondary measure to contain spills in the event of failure of inplace structures.

## Pervious Concrete

Pervious concrete is a special type of concrete used for flatwork applications that allows water to percolate through the material. Pervious concrete has a high porosity that is attained via a highly interconnected void content. The ease with which water percolates through pervious concrete is shown in Figure C.9. This special concrete contains little or no fines and has just enough cementitious paste to connect the coarse aggregates while preserving the interconnectivity of the voids. Addition of small amounts of fines increases concrete strength but decreases the void content. Generally, the water to cementitious material ratio in the pervious concrete is 0.35 to 0.45 with a void porosity of 15 to 25 percent. Too much water segregates the mixture, while too little causes balling of the mixture in the mixer and hinders adequate curing (National Ready Mixed Concrete Association 2004).


Figure C.9: Pervious concrete (National Ready Mixed Concrete Association 2006)

## Advantages

- The void structure allows quick draining of any accumulated water (Figure C.10), clearing the road and minimizing the chance of traffic accidents.
- Pervious concrete structures are highly durable and last for almost 20 years with little or no maintenance. These structures may achieve strengths of 3000 psi by using special designs and other methods.
- Pervious concrete has lower life cycle costs than asphalt. Despite higher initial installation costs, pervious concrete lasts longer and may be recycled.


## Disadvantages

- Clogging might be a problem, if the surrounding area has grass or loose soil. Soil or vegetation may wash across on the concrete clogging the pores.
- Freeze-thaw conditions cause problems if the structure is not designed properly.


Figure C.10: Difference between asphalt and pervious concrete roads (National Ready Mixed Concrete Association 2006).

## Applicability to Hazardous material spills

Pervious concrete roads are beneficial to the project as they help drain the spill quickly, reducing safety risk faced by first responders and other people at the incident site. An impervious layer capable of resisting hazardous materials should be installed below the roadway to carry the percolated spill to other intermediate structures. Pervious concrete may be incorporated into new roadways being built and should be used with an underlying impervious layer capable of resisting damage due to hazardous materials.

## C.1.2. Secondary Containment Devices

The hazardous spill diverted to permanent containment structures (if present in the vicinity of the incident site) needs to be separated from runoff. Separation of a contained spill is done in conjunction with secondary containment apparatuses such as booms, Go Filters, pads and socks, which are available in variable sizes and degrees of absorbencies. Apparatus for containment used by HAZMAT contractors considerably aid in separation of spilled materials from runoff. In the absence of any permanent structure in the vicinity of the incident site, secondary containment devices are used alone for spill cleanup. TxDOT and other state DOTs currently use a majority of the devices discussed in the following paragraphs. Devices such as socks and pads and booms are used as initial measures to contain and prevent further releases. Other devices such as BioSolve and rubberizers that aid in efficient containment of hazardous spills are recommended for future use. A brief discussion on all such secondary containment devices is included here.

## Booms

Booms are deployed to act as barriers, to localize and collect spills that are lighter than water. In the absence of in-place structures, booms are also used to contain spills on roadways to prevent their spread and consequent pollution. From the review of past spills, it is seen that oils are the most commonly released hazardous materials. Therefore, a detailed account of oil booms is included in the following sections of the text.

## Oil Booms

Oil booms act as floating barriers (for spills on water) and might be used to contain, absorb, or deflect oil from specific areas. Oil booms should be used to localize spills to specific areas from where oil may be further separated and disposed. Hence, the scope of this project demands containment booming and adsorption booming. General layout of an oil boom is shown in Figure C. 11 and the basic components of an oil boom are shown in Figure C.12. The fabric fence (Figure 2.12) is made of flexible material. The tension cable (Figure C.12) is a piece of cable that is stronger than the fabric fence, preventing the fabric from tearing under stress. The chain or weights act as a ballast to keep the boom vertical in water. The portion of fabric below the float is the skirt which plays a significant role in preventing oil from sweeping underneath the boom. Connectors seen in the Figure C. 11 should be secure, strong and capable of preventing oil leaks. Individual connectors
should be compatible with different types of connectors, allowing different brands of boom to be attached together (David Sales Inc. 2007).

COMPONENTS OF A BOOM


Figure C.11: General layout of a boom (David Sales Inc. 2007).


Figure C.12: Components of a boom (David Sales Inc. 2007)
Booms are classified based on area of use, purpose and construction. Based on area of use, booms are classified as open-water booms, protected water booms, and intertidal booms. Open-water booms have a sturdier construction and greater freeboard and draft. The protected water boom is best suited for calmer waters that require less freeboard and draft while the intertidal boom is best suited for areas that are periodically covered and uncovered by tidal motion.

Based on purpose, booms are classified as specialty booms, collection, containment, and diversion booms, and intertidal booms. Skimming booms are a type of specialty boom that incorporate a method of skimming oil. As illustrated in Figure C.13, skimming booms have weirs to localize oil
that is then pumped to a recovery vessel. Skimming booms have the advantage of simultaneously collecting and skimming oil. Another type of specialty boom is the sorbent boom and barrier. This specialty boom absorbs oil in porous materials such as straw or some synthetic material and is more suitable for thin oil layers. Efficiency of sorbent boom and barrier booms decreases on absorption of oil and requires structural support to avoid breakage under the wind or current forces. Based on construction, either fence booms (sturdier and easier to install) or curtain booms may be used (David Sales Inc. 2007).


Figure C.13: Specialty skimming boom (David Sales Inc. 2007)

## Applicability to Hazardous Material Spills

Booms are used for localizing spills and may be installed in detention basins to efficiently separate pollutants from collected runoff. Specialty booms collect and skim materials at the same time, speeding the recovery process. Booms may be built using special materials that absorb the hazardous materials which further speeds up the cleanup process. In the event of a fire, fire booms that are fabricated using inflammable material may be used in conjunction with extinguishers. Absorption booms may be used at the incident site to prevent spread of a spill. Booms are deployed after the spill has occurred and should not be left at the site after the cleanup. Booms are deployed in pieces and then connected together through connectors making them easier to transport. Booms are not very expensive and their cost to the cleanup project is justifiable as their use speeds up the cleanup process considerably and reduces the labor cost. Booms do not need anchors to stay in place and are attached to the edge of the basin or ditch. A suitable boom should be selected from a range of companies manufacturing booms.

## Skimmers

Skimmers might be used to recover spills localized in permanent structures (such as detention basins or underground concrete basins). A skimmer is a mechanical device used to remove lighter substances from the water surface. Suction skimmers and adhesion skimmers are the two types of skimmers commonly in use. The selection of an appropriate skimmer is determined by the viscosity of the released material. Lower viscosity substances are lighter and typically spread out over a
greater area. Higher viscosity oils do not spread out to the same extent and may form a thicker layer. Elements composing the selected skimmer differ depending on the type of oil (David Sales Inc. 2007).

There are basically five different types of skimmers.

- Weir skimmers
- Suction skimmers
- Centrifugal skimmers
- Submersion skimmers
- Sorbent surface skimmers

Skimmers have a sorbent or oleophilic surface to which contaminants adhere. The sorbent (or oleophilic) surface may be in the form of a drum, disc, belt, or rope that is continuously moved through the oil film. The collected contaminant is removed from the sorbent surface by a wiper or roller and is then stored in a storage tank and disposed in accordance with the laws and regulations (David Sales Inc. 2007).

## Application to Hazardous Material Spills

Skimmers aid in separating materials localized by booms. The use of skimmers can be avoided when specialty booms are used because specialty booms are used to simultaneously skim and collect oil. Instead of skimming the collected lighter materials, absorbent materials may be used to soak up the spilled materials. However, retrieval of sorbed absorbents may be challenging.

## BioSolve

BioSolve is a biodegradable, water soluble agent used for cleanup of a number of hydrocarbon products. It is a biosurfactant that converts petroleum-based products into non-flammable and biodegradable products by micro-emulsification. The surfactant in BioSolve strips the hydrocarbons in the emulsifying step (New Earth Concepts 2001). The formulation is responsible for breaking long chain polymers into micro-emulsions and encapsulating the contained hydrocarbon accelerating the natural biodegradation. In the absence of BioSolve, only the surface exposed to air is subject to degradation. BioSolve breaks hydrocarbons into smaller particles and encapsulates these particles creating a larger surface area for degradation. Naturally occurring bacteria in soil capable of degrading hydrocarbons break down these contaminants to carbon dioxide, cell mass, and waste products. Thus, BioSolve only acts as a catalyst. For BioSolve to be effective it is necessary for the hydrocarbon bacteria to be active in soil in spite of the presence of hazardous material. The ability of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria to thrive in the presence of hazardous materials should be evaluated before using BioSolve. BioSolve may be used for cleanup of flammable hydrocarbons because they become non-flammable when the Lower Explosion Limit approaches zero (RHI Company 2006).

## Applications

Various application of BioSolve are illustrated in Figure C.14.


Figure C.14: BioSolve applications (Westford Chemical Corporation 2003)

## Advantages

- Accelerates the natural biodegradation of hydrocarbon products.
- Hydrocarbon particles are separated and reduced in size and the surface area of the contaminants exposed to the bacteria is increased dramatically.
- When used as a vapor suppression agent, BioSolve is more effective than foam by providing long term vapor suppression.
- May be used to clean contaminated drums with little or no odor release.
- Does not require any special equipment for application (New Earth Concepts 2001).


## Disposal

Vacuum equipment may be used to remove the treated hydrocarbon solution to reduce the danger of ignition. Untreated effluent is encapsulated in an oxygen-bearing solution that accelerates the degradation process (Westford Chemical Corporation 2007).

## Applicability to Hazardous Materials Spills

BioSolve aids in the natural degradation of hydrocarbons by acting as a catalyst. BioSolve may be applied to soils contaminated with hydrocarbons spills to accelerate the natural degradation of hydrocarbons. Because the hazardous substance being treated may be oil or any other hydrocarbon,
the bacteria remain alive and unaffected. In case of mixed spills, the ability of the microbes to thrive in presence of other hazardous materials should be evaluated before using BioSolve.

BioSolve may be used as a vapor suppressing agent and is highly effective as it encapsulates the hydrocarbons, thus preventing fire by reducing the LEL (Lower Explosive Limit) to zero. BioSolve is not recommended to be used in conjunction with permanent structures like detention basins as the time required for the bacteria to degrade the hydrocarbons is measured in terms of weeks, which would lengthen the separation process requiring long detention times.

## Rubberizer

Rubberizer transforms hydrocarbon spills into a rubber-like substance on contact and retains it in solid state during the retrieval process. This conversion is a non-chemical process and as per EPA guidelines, rubberizer is classified as a sorbent. Rubberizer is available in the form of booms, pillows or granular substances (Haz-Mat Response Technologies Inc. 2007).

## Applications

Rubberizer may be used to clean spills from bilges, deck spills, around storage tanks, under hydraulic machinery and for separating hydrocarbon spills from water. Rubberizer is effective in handling gasoline spills, jet fuel spills, diesel oil spills, transformer oil spills, hydraulic oil spills, lube oil spills, spills of aromatic solvents and chlorinated solvents (Haz-Mat Response Technologies Inc. 2007).

## Advantages

The advantages of using rubberizer are listed below.

- Applicable to land or water spills.
- Remains buoyant.
- Rubberizer solidifies in contact with oil spills and is landfill approved.
- Does not leach.
- Holds oil under pressure.
- Incineration of product leads to less than 1 percent ash.
- Reduces cleanup time and cost (Haz-Mat Response Technologies Inc. 2007).


## Disposal

EPA defines solidified liquids as solids; however, the materials retain their hazardous classification. One disposal method includes incineration, which is a cost-effective option. Ogden Projects, lnc. has facilities throughout the U.S. and accepts rubberizer products for energy recovery. USPCI, a subsidiary of Union Pacific Corporation, accepts waste organic liquids solidified with rubberizer products for landfilling (Haz-Mat Response Technologies Inc. 2007).

## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Rubberizer products are highly recommended as rubberizer converts liquid spills to solid forms, simplifying the clean up process. Rubberizer solidifies the following materials to solid on contact:

- Gasoline,
- Jet fuel,
- Diesel fuels,
- Transformer oils,
- Hydraulic oils,
- Lubrication oils,
- Aromatic solvents,
- Chlorinated solvents, and
- Light crude oil (Stormwater systems 2007).

The above list includes majority of materials that were repeatedly spilled over the last few years, proving rubberizer to be a highly useful product. Rubberizer products may be used by the HAZMAT contractors to absorb spills on roads or in detention basins. Rubberizer in the form of pillows and booms are extremely useful in separating oils or other materials from water, because they can float while retaining the solidified spill.

## Socks and Pads

Hazardous material spills might be effectively cleaned by the use of absorbent socks and pads. There are many companies manufacturing a range of absorbent socks and pads of varying degrees of absorbencies. Certain socks and pads are capable of preferentially absorbing materials with specific gravity less than one, while certain others are capable of absorbing highly corrosive materials like acids. Global Environmental Products Ltd. is one such company that manufactures oil absorbent products that are designed preferentially to absorb oil. These oil adsorbent products are manufactured using 100 percent polypropylene that contain spills on the spot and is applicable to be used on both land and water. Use of polypropylene products is beneficial as the absorption capacity of polypropylene is up to 25 times its own weight (Global Environmental Products, Ltd. 2003). In case of an unknown spill, some companies manufacture universal sorbents that are capable of absorbing most materials.

## Advantages

- Absorbents are effective for absorbing spills on both water and land.
- Oil-only products provide high adsorption ratios for oils, organic liquids and vegetable oils.
- The absorption capacity of socks and pads is greater than the weight of the absorbent material.
- Absorbent fillers made from cellulose fibers float on the surface after absorbing materials and simplify the retrieval process (Oil Cleaning Bio-Products Ltd. 2002).


## Applications

- Separation of hydrocarbon spills, vegetable oil spills or spills of organic chemicals from fresh or salt water.
- Used as initial treatment by emergency services/spill response companies.
- Spill cleanup purposes on highways (Oil Cleaning Bio-Products Ltd. 2002).


## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

A variety of mats, socks and pads are available for absorbing different material released on highways. Acid spills that are difficult to manage due to the safety risk faced by cleanup crew might be cleaned with acid adsorbents. Other hazardous materials like gasoline (highly flammable), benzene (carcinogen), ethylene glycol (toxic) and mercury may be absorbed using material specific absorbents (in the form of socks or pads).

Spill kits may be purchased, which contain a range of socks, pillows, pads and temporary disposable bags. Together the socks, pillows and pads absorb large quantities of hazardous materials with little or no leakage encountered during retrieval. Socks and pads may be used as the initial step for containing spills or for absorbing hazardous materials on highways to complete the cleanup process of the incident site. Pillows, socks and pads may also be used in the detention basin to adsorb hazardous materials that are localized by booms.

## Go Filters

The Go Filter is a mobile, propriety stormwater filtration system designed for sites needing immediate and rapid treatment of water. The filter is constructed of light weight HDPE and is easily transported in the back of a truck. The filter is designed to handle variable flow rates with close to eighty percent TSS removal capacity. In addition the filter might remove pollutants like hydrocarbons, nutrients like phosphorus and various other heavy metals. Go Filter is illustrated in Figure C. 15.

The filter system is designed to remove pollutants in a three stage treatment system. Gross pollutants are removed in a primary swirl concentrator. Chemicals may be added to aid flocculation and de-emulsification of sediments and oils, which are removed in the secondary swirl concentrator. The vortex produced accelerates gravity separation, which is followed by a filtration chamber for removing fine sediments and water borne pollutants. The filter mode might be down flow (under
gravity) or up flow. The filter is capable of removing hydrocarbons, fine silts and clays. The commonly used filter media are zeolites and granular activated carbon, while synthetic media also may be used (AquaShield 2007).


Figure C.15: Go Filter system (AquaShield 2007)

## Applicability to Hazardous Spills

Go Filters may be used in the event of failure of booms and rubberizers. Go Filters may be sized using online sizing tools, which account for rainfall curve and intensity, drainage area and runoff coefficients. Maintenance is easy and inexpensive and the device may be customized as per applications, based on the sizing tools. Literature indicates that the device may be hired on a temporary basis. TxDOT may consider hiring them if the HAZMAT contractors are not available in the immediate future.

## D. GKY Pond Outlet Design Protocol

Young and Graziano (1989) developed an approach for sizing detention pond outlets ${ }^{1}$. Flow through the outlet control orifice is governed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=c a \sqrt{2 g h}, \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the orifice discharge, $c$ is the orifice coefficient, $a$ is the orifice flow area (nominal), $g$ is the gravitational constant, and $h$ is the head above the center of the orifice ${ }^{2}$. Let $V$ denote the storage volume in the pond. Then the outflow from the pond is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V}{d t}=Q \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is time and $Q$ is pond outflow. Because flow is from the pond and exits through the control structure orifice,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=-\frac{d V}{d t} \tag{D.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the pond area is constant with respect to depth (prismatic pond), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{V}{A}, \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the pond area, and

$$
\begin{align*}
q & =c a \sqrt{2 g \frac{V}{A}} \\
& =-\frac{d V}{d t} \\
& =c a \sqrt{\frac{2 g V}{A}} \tag{D.5}
\end{align*}
$$

[^19]So,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{d V}{d t} & =c a \sqrt{\frac{2 g}{A}} d t \\
\int^{V_{2}} V_{1} \frac{d V}{V^{0.5}} & =\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} c a \sqrt{\frac{2 g}{A}} d t, \\
-2\left(V_{2}^{0.5}-V_{1}^{0.5}\right) & =c a \sqrt{\frac{2 g}{A}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) . \tag{D.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $t_{1}=0$ and $t_{2}=T$ because of the initial and final conditions. For a constant surface area (prismatic pond), $V_{2}=A h_{2}$ and $V_{1}=A h_{1}$, so for a drawdown time of $T, V_{2}=A h$ and $V_{1}=A h_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 A\left(h^{0.5}-h_{0}^{0.5}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2 g} c a}{A^{0.5}} T . \tag{D.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Equation D. $7^{3}$ for $T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sqrt{\frac{2}{g}} \frac{A}{c a}\left(h_{0}^{0.5}-h^{0.5}\right) . \tag{D.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation D. 8 can be solved for the required orifice area, $a$, given a required (or target) drawdown time, $T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sqrt{\frac{2}{g}} \frac{A}{c T}\left(h_{0}^{0.5}-h^{0.5}\right) . \tag{D.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the pond is not prismatic (that is, the surface area is not constant), then Equation D. 8 does not apply. Young and Graziano (1989) present an approximate method and a supporting program for the case where $A=b_{0}+b_{1} h+b_{2} h^{2}$. However, another approach is possible ${ }^{4}$. Let $A=b_{0} h^{b_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\left(\frac{A}{b_{0}}\right)^{1 / b_{1}} . \tag{D.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orifice equation remains as presented in Equation D.1. Substituting Equation D. 10 into Equation D. 1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=c a \sqrt{2 g \frac{A^{1 / b_{1}}}{b_{0}^{1 / b_{1}}}} . \tag{D.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the volume is no longer a linear function of depth, then the pond volume is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d V & =A d h \\
& =b_{0} h^{b_{1}} d h \tag{D.12}
\end{align*}
$$

[^20]The relation between orifice discharge and pond depth is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{q}{h^{0.5}} & =c a \sqrt{2 g}, \\
& =-\frac{1}{h^{0.5}} \frac{d V}{d t}, \\
& =-\frac{1}{h^{0.5}} b_{0} h^{b_{1}} \frac{d h}{d t} . \tag{D.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Separating the variables in Equation D. 13 and integrating yields

$$
\begin{align*}
-h^{b_{1}-0.5} d h & =\frac{c a \sqrt{2 g}}{b_{0}} d t, \\
-\int_{h_{0}}^{h} h^{b_{1}-0.5} d h & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{c a \sqrt{2 g}}{b_{0}} d t, \\
h_{0}^{b_{1}+0.5}-h^{b_{1}+0.5} & =\frac{b_{1}+0.5}{b_{0}} c a \sqrt{2 g} T . \tag{D.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving Equation D. 14 for $T$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\sqrt{\frac{2}{g}} \frac{b_{0}\left(h_{0}^{b_{1}+0.5}-h^{b_{1}+0.5}\right)}{\left(2 b_{1}+1\right) c a} . \tag{D.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, given a target drawdown time, $T$, Equation D. 15 can be solved for the required orifice area, $a$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sqrt{\frac{2}{g}} \frac{b_{0}}{\left(h_{0}^{b_{1}+0.5}-h^{b_{1}+0.5}\right)}\left(2 b_{1}+1\right) c T \quad . \tag{D.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation D. 16 can be used to estimate the required orifice area given other parameters that describe the pond and the required drawdown time.

## E. Potential Application of PP1725

The purpose of this appendix is to present materials from Thompson and others (2007) that might be pertinent for development of hydraulic designs of detention ponds and hazardous spill traps. This material is excerpted directly from Thompson and others (2007).

## E.1. Example 1: Expected Number of Events

Jose Torres (APAC Corporation; personal communication) suggested that a threshold precipitation depth of about 0.1 inches is sufficient to impact certain construction activities. One approach to examining the statistics of rainfall is to compute the expected number of events over the life of a construction project. An mean interevent time (MIT) of 24 -hours is used for the following example computations. ${ }^{1}$ As an initial estimate, storm statistics for Station 7936 in Jasper County are shown on table E. 1 (after appendix 4-1.5 of PP1725). The mean interevent time for Station 7936 in Jasper County is 306,666 hours $/ 1,847$ events or 6.91 days/event. Therefore, over the long term, a storm event is expected about once every 6.91 days. During a two-year period, approximately 106 events are expected ( 730.5 days/ 6.91 days). Although this statistic suggests the number of events expected over a two-year period, it does not exactly answer the original question because a depth of precipitation for the expected number of events is not specified.

Table E.1: Storm Statistics for a minimum interevent time of 24 hours at Sam Rayburn Dam in Jasper County (Station 7936).

| Number of storm events | 1,847 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Hours of observations | 306,666 |
| Storm interevent time (hours) | 6.40 |

The expected number of events is readily estimated if the occurrence of rainfall events is assumed to follow a Poisson process. The Poisson process is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(T)=e^{-T / \Lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(T / \Lambda)^{i}}{i!}, \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^21]where $F_{n}(T)$ is the cumulative probability of $n$ events in $T$ days given a Poisson parameter of $\Lambda$ days.

Example 1 of PP1725 presents use of the Poisson process for estimating the number of events for the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile for a site near Briggs, Texas. A similar approach can be taken for the U.S. Highway 96 project in Jasper County to estimate the median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) number of events. The resulting computation should produce an estimate similar to that presented a few paragraphs above, but is illustrative of the power of application of Equation E.1.

Statistics for Station 7936 in Jasper County are presented in Table E. 1 (after Appendix 4-1.5 of PP1725). For this application, $T$ is 730.5 days (two years), the number of storms is 1,847 , and observations occurred over 306,666 hours. Therefore, $\Lambda=306666 /(1847 \times 24)=6.91$ days. $^{2}$ If $F_{n}(T)$ is taken to be 0.50 (the median), then application of Equation E. 1 will return the expected value (median, or $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) of the Poisson distribution. Using these values, Equation E. 1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.50=e^{-730.5 / 6.91} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(730.5 / 6.91)^{i}}{i!} . \tag{E.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution of Equation E. 2 is not algebraic, but iterative. The solution is approachable with a handheld calculator or through application of a standard spreadsheet program, however a more substantive tool is available in use of $R$ from the R-project ( $R$ Development Core Team, 2006). When Equation E. 2 is solved for $n$, the result is between 105 and 106 events (Figure E.1). That is, an estimate for the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile number of events over a two-year period is about 105 events. This result is very similar to that resulting from using a less sophisticated arithmetic analysis. It is also important to observe that $730.5 / 6.91=105.7$ events. ${ }^{3}$

The choice of the cumulative percentile rests with the analyst. The $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile represents the median number of events at a particular location. If a greater risk is acceptable, then a lower percentile value could be used. In contrast, if the situation demands a risk-averse approach, then a larger value of the percentile could be selected. In the case of Jasper County, if the $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile is chosen, then the result of application of Equation E. 1 produces about 130 events during the two-year time frame. This is about an additional month of impact.

The output from R for computation of the Poisson process is shown on Figure E.1. The Poisson parameter, $\Lambda$, is 6.91 days. Therefore, the expected value of the Poisson distribution is $T / \Lambda=$ $730.5 / 6.91=105.7$ events. From examination of Figure E.1, the computation returns the mean, or expected value of the distribution, when the median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) is selected as the target event. This is what is supposed to result from the statistics, however the process serves to illuminate execution of the computations using a tool such as R. A different number of expected events would be computed if the percentile target was different from 0.5.

[^22]```
> library(distributions)
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=100)
[1] 0.3102184
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=125)
[1] 0.9702258
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=102)
[1] 0.3828083
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=103)
[1] 0.4207270
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=104)
[1] 0.4592714
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=105)
[1] 0.4980787
> poissoncdf(mu=(730.5/6.91),x=106)
[1] 0.5367823
```

Figure E.1: Output from R used to compute results presented for the Poisson process.

## E.2. Example 2: Number of Events Exceeding 0.10 in Depth

Unfortunately, the expected number of events from both preceding approaches does not address the number of events expected with a depth of 0.10 inches or more. Estimation of that value requires a different computation. The quantile function of the dimensionless kappa distribution (Equation 6 in PP1725) can be used to relate the expected number of events to the threshold depth of precipitation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(F)=\xi+\frac{\alpha}{\kappa}\left[1-\left(\frac{1-F^{h}}{h}\right)^{\kappa}\right], \tag{E.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(F)$ is the value of the quantile function for a nonexceedance probability $F$; and $\xi, \alpha, \kappa$, and $h$ are parameters of the function. Given the distribution parameters for the kappa distribution ( $\xi, \alpha, \kappa$, and $h$ ), the threshold precipitation depth, and the non-exceedance frequency $(F)$, an estimate of the number of events exceeding the threshold depth can be computed. When Equation E.3, which is dimensionless, is multiplied by the mean storm depth, then the distribution of storm depth results.

For Texas statewide, basic distribution parameters for the dimensionless kappa distribution are listed in Table E.2. For Jasper County, the basic statistics are listed in Table E.3.

Table E.2: Dimensionless kappa distribution parameters for a minimum interevent time of 24 hours for Texas. (From table 7 of PP1725, p. 66.)

| kappa $\xi$ | -0.5790 |
| :--- | ---: |
| kappa $\alpha$ | 1.115 |
| kappa $\kappa$ | -0.1359 |
| kappa $h$ | 1.747 |

Table E.3: Storm statistics for a minimum interevent time of 24 hours in Jasper County. (Tables in parenthesis indicate the data table from PP1725 used.)

| Storm interevent time, days (table 18) | 6.30 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mean storm depth, inches (table 19) | 0.899 |
| Mean storm duration, hours (table 20) | 14.3 |

In Table E.3, the storm interevent time is 6.30 days. The mean storm duration is 14.3 hours. Therefore, the mean interevent time is $6.30+14.3 / 24=6.89$ days. This is slightly different than the mean interevent time computed using values from Table E.1, but the values are very close.

Application of Equation E. 3 using the statistics for Texas and Jasper County can be approached using a statistical tool (such as R) or a standard spreadsheet. Input and output to R is shown on Figure E.2. ${ }^{4}$ Tabular output (stored in output file file.24) is shown on Figure E.3. A few results from Figure E. 3 are listed on Table E.4. From Table E.4, over a two-year period, about 90 events will occur with a threshold rainfall depth of 0.10 inches or more. Therefore, if the threshold precipitation of 0.10 inches indeed results in a substantive delay in construction either by re-tasking of activities or simply slower progress on scheduled activities, then over a two-year period about three months of weather-related impact are to be expected. ${ }^{5}$

```
> library(lmomco)
> # Establish the length of the 'simulation'
> Ty <- 2 # two-year project time
>
> ############### SET VALUES FOR EACH MIT OF INTEREST ########################
> Ibar.24 <- 6.30 # interevent in days, TABLE 18 in PP1725
> Pbar.24 <- 0.899 # mean storm depth, TABLE 19 in PP1725
> Dbar.24 <- 14.3 # mean storm duration, TABLE 20 in PP1725
> # Parameters of parent dimensionless 24-hour MIT kappa distribution of depth
> deppar.24 <- vec2par(c(-0.5790, 1.115,-0.1359, 1.747),type='kap') # TABLE 7 in PP1725
> EVENT.CURVE <- function(time.period.years,
+ minimum.interevent.time,
+ mean.interevent.days,
    mean.depth.inches,
    mean.duration.hours,
    depth.parameters) {
mean.interevent.hours <- mean.interevent.days*24 # now in hours
+ depths <- seq(0,10,by=.1) # sequence of thresholds
```



```
+ dimless.depths <- depths/mean.depth.inches # # dimensionless depth 
+ number.events <- (time.period.years*24*365)/(mean.interevent.hours + mean.du
+ return(data.frame(mit=minimum.interevent.time,threshold=depths,counts=counts))
+ }
> EVENTS.24 <- EVENT.CURVE(2,24,Ibar.24,Pbar.24,Dbar.24,deppar.24) # 24-hour MIT calculations
> file.24 <- "mit24.txt"
> write.table(EVENTS.24,file=file.24,col.names=TRUE,row.names=FALSE,quote=FALSE)
```

Figure E.2: Output from R used to compute results for the kappa distribution applied to Jasper County.

[^23]```
mit threshold counts
24 0 105.861027190332
24 0.1 90.1170121963352
24 0.2 74.396249742786
24 0.3 64.2010743453874
24 0.4 56.3932094764981
24 0.5 50.0558190705848
24 0.6 44.7515696200966
24 0.7 40.2257839852815
24 0.8 36.3124742448844
240.9 32.8953302580300
24 1 29.8886542194696
24 1.1 27.2269478138070
24 1.2 24.8587436504045
24 1.3 22.7427164451502
24 1.4 20.8451077898182
24 1.5 19.1379514790556
```

Figure E.3: Output from R (file.24) with the threshold precipitation depth and number of events expected over a two-year period for Jasper County.

Table E.4: Selected values from output file file. 24.

| Threshold <br> (inches) | Number <br> of Events |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | 105.9 |
| 0.10 | 90.1 |
| 0.20 | 74.4 |
| 0.30 | 64.2 |
| 0.50 | 50.0 |
| 1.00 | 29.9 |
| 1.50 | 19.1 |

## F. Raw Spill Incident Data from TCEQ

In this appendix, the raw spill incident data that were retrieved from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality are presented. No processing or other interpretation of the data was done for this presentation; it is as was received from the department.
Table F.1: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2002.
Hazardous Class
003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$
unknown
006 - Other Substance 003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G 003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G 006 - Other Substance
006 - Other Substance
006 - Other Substance
003 - Oil Minor $¡ 24 B / 1,000 G$ 005 - Hazardous Material Mi-

005 - Hazardous Material Minor 005 - Hazardous Material Mi005 - Hazardous Material Mi005 - Hazardous Material Mi003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G
003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G
003 - Oil Minor $¡ 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$


003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G
005 - Hazardous Material Mi-

003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G
City
MINGUS
GRAPEVINE
Physical Location
IH20 Westbound at Exit 367
Intersection of Hwy 26 and Kim-
ball S., Grapevine N IH 20, 2 Mi East of, Cisco,
Intersection of US Hwy 380 at FM 159, rural Denton County
"State Hwy 148 South of Crandall
"Hwy 75 North, $1 / 2$ mile South of Exit 22 McKinney, TX" "Intersection of IH35E at FM66 Whaxahachie
SH 146 AND FM 519 IN FRONT
OF AMOCO
INTERSECTION OF I-20 \& FM 254 (RANGER HILL)
1-10 EAST MILE 1
HWY 35920 MILES EAST OF
FROM HWY 12 N ON OLD HWY
"Intersection of IH2O West at IH820 East Fort Worth, TX
"Hwy 67 N, just East of Brazos


 $03 / 01 / 2002$
$04 / 02 / 2002$ $\begin{array}{ll}10000 \text { GALLONS } & 04 / 10 / 2002 \\ 20 \text { GALLONS } & 04 / 15 / 2002\end{array}$ N
O
N
N
N
H Z00Z/0\&/も0 $05 / 03 / 2002$
$05 / 04 / 2002$ 05/09/2002 05/11/2002 Z00Z/もI/90 $05 / 20 / 2002$
$05 / 23 / 2002$ 05/25/2002 06/04/2002 Z00Z/90/90 Z00Z/90/90
06/05/2002
 06/07/2002
06/12/2002 No 200 GALLONS
150 GALLONS
150 GALLONS
5500 GALLONS
100 GALLONS
2530 GALLONS
 100 GALLONS SNOTTV 0 1500 GALLONS
 80 GALLONS 3 GALLONS


| WASTEWATER FROM | 200 GALLONS |
| :--- | :---: |
| OILFIELD ACTIVI- |  |
| TIES |  |
| Hydraulic fluid |  |
| Acrylonitrile |  |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 120 POUNDS |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS |
|  | 125 GALLONS |


| Table F.1: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2002 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 06/24/2002 | "IH20 Eastbound at Exit 512 FM 2965 Kaufman County, TX 75142" | WILLS POINT | KAUFMAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 20 GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 24 / 2002 \\ & 06 / 24 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | IH-45 AT WILSON ROAD | unavailable unavailable | MONTGOMERY <br> No County Name | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |
| Freon 113 |  | 06/28/2002 |  | unavailable | No County Name | 006 - Other Substance |
| Battery | 60 POUNDS | 07/01/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Battery | 60 POUNDS | 07/01/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 60 CUBICYARDS | 07/10/2002 |  | unavailable | NAVARRO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & 07 / 18 / 2002 \\ & 07 / 18 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | Hwy. 21 west, one mile west of Dougals, Tx. 5 miles east of Angelina River | unavailable unavailable | DIMMIT <br> NACOGDOCHES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |
| Diesel fuel 1-D | 4000 GALLONS | 07/20/2002 |  | SIERRA BLANCA | HUDSPETH | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 07/25/2002 |  | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Grease, animal |  | 07/25/2002 |  | RED OAK | ELLIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 90 GALLONS | 07/26/2002 |  | KATY | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 135 GALLONS | 07/28/2002 | "Southbound IH 635 near Seagoville Road Balch Springs, TX $75180^{\prime \prime}$ | BALCH SPRINGS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Nitrogen dioxide | 10 POUNDS | 07/28/2002 | 9500 Interstate 10 E , Baytown, TX | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 07/29/2002 |  | AMARILLO | POTTER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 130 GALLONS | 07/29/2002 | "Southbound IH35E at Pleasant Run Road DeSoto, TX 75115" | DESOTO | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}}$ |
| GASOLINE, AUTOMOTIVE OR AVIATION | 100 GALLONS | 07/31/2002 |  | DENISON | GRAYSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 40 GALLONS | 08/01/2002 |  | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Cement (wet or dry) |  | 08/02/2002 |  | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 08/02/2002 | "Southbound IH35E, near 9600 Block R.L. Thornton Fwy Dallas, TX 75202" | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Waste oil | 10 GALLONS | 08/04/2002 | "IH35E at intersection of Jefferson Blvd Dallas, TX 75207" | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 180 GALLONS | 08/05/2002 |  | MESQUITE | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sulfuric acid | 8000 GALLONS | 08/05/2002 |  | unavailable | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 1 GALLONS | 08/06/2002 | 8015 E Freeway, Houston, TX | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown |  | 08/06/2002 |  | unavailable | FAYETTE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Mineral oil | 900 GALLONS | 08/08/2002 |  | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Waste oil | 55 GALLONS | 08/10/2002 |  | unavailable | No County Name | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 11 GALLONS | 08/12/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown | 0 | 08/14/2002 | "INTERSECTION OF FM 322 AND HWY 87 SABINE PASS TX" | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil wastewater stormwater and | 20 GALLONS | 08/15/2002 |  | unavailable | NUECES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  | 87690 BARRELS | 08/15/2002 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  | 08/15/2002 | Highway 59 and Highway 84 | unavailable | SHELBY | unknown |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | 08/19/2002 | 3980 N IH 35 E, Service Rd and Lofland Rd, Waxahachie, TX | unavailable | ELLIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lc} \text { GASOLINE, } & \text { AU- } \\ \text { TOMOTIVE } & \text { OR } \end{array}$ |  | 08/19/2002 |  | POTTSBORO | GRAYSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diesel fuel | 150 GALLONS | 08/20/2002 | MCLENNAN COUNTY - I35 MM 322, SOUTHBOUND LANES AND CENTER MEDIAN | unavailable | MCLENNAN | 006-Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 08/21/2002 |  | unavailable | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 150 GALLONS | 08/21/2002 |  | ROCKWALL | ROCKWALL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sewage | 2000 GALLONS | 08/21/2002 |  | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 08/21/2002 | ON HWY 8710 MILES WEST OF SABINE PASS | SABINE PASS | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 08/23/2002 |  | unavailable | JIM WELLS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 70 GALLONS | 08/24/2002 | 4532 HIGHWAY 67E | MESQUITE | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lc} \text { GASOLINE, } & \text { AU- } \\ \text { TOMOTIVE } & \text { OR } \end{array}$ | 20 GALLONS | 08/24/2002 |  | MIDLOTHIAN | ELLIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other material | 1 GALLONS | 08/24/2002 |  | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sulfuric acid | 8958 POUNDS | 08/24/2002 | Two miles west of hwy 1069 on hwy 361. 4133 Highway 361. | GREGORY | SAN PATRICIO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 15 GALLONS | 08/25/2002 | US HIGHWAY 28712 MILES N OF STRATFORD TX | KERRICK | DALLAM | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil | 21 GALLONS | 08/28/2002 |  | DRISCOLL | NUECES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material | 30 GALLONS | 08/29/2002 |  | unavailable | HARDIN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 30 GALLONS | 08/30/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material | 40 GALLONS | 08/31/2002 |  | unavailable | HARDIN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Amine | 15192 POUNDS | 09/06/2002 | on Hwy. 59 at the Rusk / Nacogdoches County lines | unavailable | NACOGDOCHES | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
|  |  | 09/06/2002 | on Hwy. 59 at the Rusk / Nacogdoches County lines | unavailable | NACOGDOCHES | unknown |
| hazardous waste code F037 | 10 BARRELS | 09/07/2002 | 21689 Hwy 35 | OLD OCEAN | BRAZORIA | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| coker naphtha | 5 BARRELS | 09/08/2002 | 21689 Hwy 35 | OLD OCEAN | BRAZORIA | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 80 GALLONS | 09/08/2002 | Sothbound IH45 Service Road at Dallas Ave. | HUTCHINS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 09/10/2002 | MCLENNAN COUNTY - ON | unavailable | MCLENNAN |  |
|  |  |  | HIGHWAY 6 SOUTH OF CLIFTON |  |  |  |
| Methyl mercaptan | 0 GALLONS | 09/13/2002 |  | WEATHERFORD | PARKER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
|  |  | 09/13/2002 | INTERSECTION OF HWY 332 AND HWY 288 | FREEPORT | BRAZORIA | unknown |
| Asphalt | 30 GALLONS | 09/14/2002 | northbound lane of interstate highway 37 at mile marker \#44 George West Texas | GEORGE WEST | LIVE OAK | 006-Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel <br> Xylene (mixed isomers) | 110 GALLONS | 09/18/2002 |  | AMARILLO | POTTER | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 09/18/2002 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 125 GALLONS | 09/19/2002 |  | unavailable | EL PASO |  |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 09/19/2002 | I-35 W MM 12 | unavailable | HILL |  |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 60 GALLONS | 09/19/2002 | On IH35E at Kiest Blvd. Exit | DALLAS | DALLAS | 006 - Other Substance 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 15 GALLONS | 09/20/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$003 - Oil Minor $24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Kerosene/Linseed Oil | 200 GALLONS | 09/20/2002 |  | GAINESVILLE | COOKE |  |
| Mixture | 2 GALLONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown or other oil |  | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 20 / 2002 \\ & 09 / 20 / 2002 \\ & 09 / 22 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | HWY 69N LEFT HAND SIDE 200 FT OF FM 28275 MI S OF WARREN TX 77664 | unavailable unavailable unavailable | SAN PATRICIO HARRIS TYLER | 003 - Oil Minor $; 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown unknown |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 09/25/2002 | i-10 east spur 330 exit past 2nd light | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | unknown |
| Hydraulic fluid | 30 GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 26 / 2002 \\ & 09 / 30 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | IH30 Eastbound near FM 549 Exit | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Epoxy Resin |  |  |  | ROCKWALL | ROCKWALL |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulic fluid | 50 GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 30 / 2002 \\ & 10 / 02 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST <br> 377 State Highway 87 S, Center, TX | BEAUMONT CENTER | ORANGE SHELBY | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Battery | 60 POUNDS | 10/04/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 15 GALLONS | 10/04/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Battery | 0 | 10/09/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Gasoline | 20 GALLONS | 10/09/2002 | 585 I-10 E 415 OLD HWY 90 ADJ TO ORANGE CO BLDG MATERIALS VIDOR TX 77662 | VIDOR | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mineral Oil with PCBs | 25 GALLONS | 10/09/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 006-Other Substance |
| Battery | 60 POUNDS | 10/12/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 | 10/18/2002 |  | unavailable | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Animal oil | 1000 GALLONS | 10/21/2002 | FROM INTERSECTION OF HWY 60 \& 385 GO WEST .5 MILES TO FM 2856, THEN GO . 5 MILES TO COUNTY RD H, TURN LEFT ON S KINGWOOD | HEREFORD | DEAF SMITH | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table F.1: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2002 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 10/21/2002 | IH30 West on-ramp at Industrial Blvd | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 0 GALLONS | 10/21/2002 |  | unavailable | NUECES | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Amine | 33 BARRELS | 10/22/2002 | HIGHWAY 124 AND ROLLINS ROAD PETROLEUM REFINERY | WINNIE | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 4000 GALLONS | 10/22/2002 | HWY 316 MAGNOLIA | PORT LAVACA | CALHOUN | $\begin{aligned} & 001 \quad-\quad \text { OIL-MAJOR } \\ & \mathfrak{i 2 4 0 B / 1 0 , 0 0 0 G} \end{aligned}$ |
| Sulfuric acid | 20 GALLONS | 10/22/2002 |  | unavailable | TARRANT | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
|  |  | 10/22/2002 | 8787 hwy 225 laporte tx | unavailable | HARRIS | unknown |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 10/24/2002 | I 35 AT EXIT 67 BY COTULLA | COTULLA | LA SALLE | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | 10/24/2002 | Interstate highway 37 between mile markers $2 \& 3$ | unavailable | NUECES | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 50 GALLONS | 10/25/2002 |  | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Oxygen (liquid) | 1020 GALLONS | 10/25/2002 | IH20 Westbound at Ranch Road | WILLOW PARK | PARKER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sodium hydroxide | 1050 POUNDS | 10/26/2002 | HWY 185 6.5 M S OF BLOOMINGTON | PORT LAVACA | CALHOUN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic fluid | 0 | 10/27/2002 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Asphalt or road oil | 5000 GALLONS | 10/28/2002 | 8600 N IH 35 | GEORGETOWN | WILLIAMSON | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Malathion | 10 GALLONS | 10/29/2002 | 1 M N ON HWY 385 | SEAGRAVES | GAINES | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 60 GALLONS | 10/30/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral oil | 10 GALLONS | 10/30/2002 |  | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 0 | 10/31/2002 |  | MESQUITE | DALLAS | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel 4-D | 600 GALLONS | 10/31/2002 |  | unavailable | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Isobutane | 0 | 11/01/2002 |  | unavailable | GALVESTON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Waste oil | 0 | 11/01/2002 | IH30 East, between MM 78 \& 79 | ROYSE CITY | ROCKWALL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown | 0 | 11/02/2002 | 6575 W Highway 97 in Jourdanton | JOURDANTON | ATASCOSA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 10 BARRELS | 11/03/2002 | i-10 east spur 330 exit past 2 nd light | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 263 GALLONS | 11/03/2002 |  | unavailable | HARRISON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 250 GALLONS | 11/04/2002 | Intersection of IH10 @ FM1516 in San Antonio, TX. | unavailable | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Isoprene | 470 POUNDS | 11/04/2002 | I.H. 10 Southwest at Smith Road, exit 9 miles Southwest of Beaumont Texas | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 150 GALLONS | 11/07/2002 | I-20 eastbound mile marker 351, near Ranger | RANGER | EASTLAND | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil | 60 GALLONS | 11/07/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 11/11/2002 |  | GEORGE WEST | LIVE OAK | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.1: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2002 - Continued.

| Table F.1: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2002 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Diesel fuel | 5 GALLONS | 11/11/2002 |  | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Heptane (or n-) | 120 GALLONS | 11/11/2002 |  | unavailable | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  | 11/11/2002 | @Highway 105, 4 miles west of Sour Lake | SOUR LAKE | HARDIN | unknown |
|  |  | 11/11/2002 | Highway 35 \& FM 524, Old Ocean, TX | SWEENY | BRAZORIA | unknown |
| Asphalt | 10 BARRELS | 11/16/2002 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Glycol ethers | 68 POUNDS | 11/17/2002 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 60 GALLONS | 11/18/2002 | 24 MILES EAST ON HWY 359 WEST OF AGUILARES | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |
|  |  | 11/18/2002 |  | LAREDO | WEBB |  |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 20 GALLONS | 11/19/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material | 8 GALLONS | 11/19/2002 | 3.5 MI S OF HWY 44 ON NUECES COUNTY ROAD 69 | ROBSTOWN | NUECES | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 | 11/21/2002 |  | ROCKPORT | ARANSAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 60 GALLONS | 11/22/2002 | IH35E at Sam Bass Road | DENTON | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 2 GALLONS | 11/22/2002 |  | unavailable | NUECES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 11/26/2002 | 18385 OLD BEAUMONT HWY, HOUSTON, TX | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 11/27/2002 | IH-10 eastbound median, east of Highway 62 | ORANGE | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 2 GALLONS | 11/28/2002 | Highway 35 S at Cove Harbor, Rockport, TX | ROCKPORT | ARANSAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 0 | 12/01/2002 | IH 35 South at Laredo St. Exit in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 12/02/2002 |  | KNIPPA | UVALDE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {i } 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 GALLONS | 12/02/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 | 12/03/2002 |  | unavailable | No County Name | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 12/04/2002 | I-20 ACCESS ROAD EXIT 316 CALLAHAN COUNTY | ABILINE | CALLAHAN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | 12/04/2002 | Highway 181, about one mile west of Loop 1604, near Elmendorf, TX. | ELMENDORF | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Battery | 60 POUNDS | 12/06/2002 |  | unavailable | CALHOUN | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {i } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 35 GALLONS | 12/06/2002 | 17910 IH10 W | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Crude oil light | 0 | 12/07/2002 | CR136 just off Hwy 97 west of Floresville. | FLORESVILLE | WILSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR | 5 BARRELS | 12/09/2002 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal waste | 0 | 12/09/2002 | Hwy 90 from San Antonio. South to D'Hanis. Turn right over RR track. On dead end county road. Call for directions. | D'HANIS | MEDINA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown | 0 | 12/10/2002 | Hwy 1560 and Altatierra St and Satillo Flat in Helotes, TX adjacent to Helotes Creek. | HELOTES | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 130 GALLONS | 12/11/2002 | From IH-10 go north on FM 3351 (Ralph Fair Road). Wreck was about $1 / 2$ mile before reaching Gate 5 of Camp Stanley in San Antonio. | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Ammonia | 101 POUNDS | 12/12/2002 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 30 GALLONS | 12/13/2002 |  | MANSFIELD | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 13 / 2002 \\ & 12 / 14 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ |  | unavailable BEAUMONT | No County Name JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |
| Ammonia | 101 POUNDS | 12/16/2002 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
|  |  | 12/16/2002 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | unknown |
|  |  | 12/17/2002 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | unknown |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 25 GALLONS | 12/18/2002 | "IH35W Southbound, between MM 554 and 555 Near FM 917 (Joshua) Exit" | JOHSUA | JOHNSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{2 4 B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown | 0 | 12/18/2002 | FM 1516 and IH 10 East in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 1-D | 88 GALLONS | 12/19/2002 | SH 77 S BOUND N OF THE CITY OF DRISCOLL | DRISCOLL | NUECES | 006 - Other Substance |
| Other material | 600 POUNDS | 12/20/2002 |  | unavailable | VICTORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other material | 0 | 12/21/2002 |  | unavailable | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other material | 160 GALLONS | 12/22/2002 | INTERSECTION OF HWY 332 AND HWY 288 | FREEPORT | BRAZORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 22 / 2002 \\ & 12 / 22 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | 6 miles north of Silsbee,Tx. on Hwy. 92 | unavailable <br> SILSBEE | No County Name HARDIN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 12/23/2002 | HIGHWAY 1906 MILES E OF MENARD, TX | MENARD | MENARD | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 23 / 2002 \\ & 12 / 23 / 2002 \end{aligned}$ | IH20 Westbound near MM 510 CORNER OF WINGATE BLVD \& HWY 255 IN JASPER COUNTY | TERRELL <br> SAM RAYBURN | KAUFMAN JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ unknown |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 12/25/2002 | Highway 289 \& IH 10 Eastbound in Comfort | COMFORT | KENDALL | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 20 BARRELS | 12/25/2002 | Highway 35 \& FM 524, Old Ocean, TX | SWEENY | BRAZORIA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 25 GALLONS | 12/26/2002 |  | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | 12/27/2002 | I-20 WEST BOUND . 5 M WEST OF LAVENDER ROAD JUST EAST OF MM 561 IN SMITH COUNTY <br> HWY 225 GATE 19 | unavailable | SMITH | 005 - Hazardous Material Mi- nor |
|  |  | 12/28/2002 |  | HOUSTON | HARRIS | unknown |
|  |  | 12/29/2002 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | unknown |
| Diesel fuel | 70 GALLONS | 12/30/2002 | NORTH IH 35 @ ONION CREEK | AUSTIN | TRAVIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 45 GALLONS | 12/30/2002 | 11500 W HIGHWAY 71 SPICEWOOD TEXAS | AUSTIN | TRAVIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 12/30/2002 | Mile Marker 299 on the IntraCoastal Waterway; Port Arthur, Tx. | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | unknown |
| Crude oil light | 250 BARRELS | 12/31/2002 | HWY 185 S JUST OUTSIDE VICTORIA CITY LIMITS | VICTORIA | VICTORIA | 001 $240 \mathrm{~B} / 10,000 \mathrm{G}$ OIL-MAJOR |
| Sodium hydroxide | 250 GALLONS | 12/31/2002 | BETWEEN MILE MARKER 246 \& 252A ON IH 35 NORTHBOUND | ROUND ROCK | WILLIAMSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.2: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2003.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mineral oil | 120 GALLONS | 01/01/2003 | Intersection of State Hwy 11 and FM 1417 | SHERMAN | GRAYSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 01/03/2003 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown heavy oil |  | 01/05/2003 | IH 35 SOUTH AT 204 MILE MARKER SAN MARCOS TEXAS 78666 | unavailable | HAYS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Municipal waste |  | 01/06/2003 | I-40 and Helium Rd | unavailable | POTTER | 006 - Other Substance |
| Other material |  | 01/06/2003 | Hwy 80 and Hwy 671 in Stairtown | STAIRTOWN | GUADALUPE | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 4000 GALLONS | 01/08/2003 | 2172 State Highway 25 N, Electra, TX | unavailable | WICHITA | unknown |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 01/09/2003 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 150 GALLONS | 01/10/2003 | EAST IH 10 MILE MARKER 645 | unavailable | GONZALES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| JP-8 | 80 GALLONS | 01/10/2003 | vic 9400 IH 35 W | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 01/12/2003 | IH20 at Spur 408 exit | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 60 GALLONS | 01/13/2003 | Intersection SH 16 \& 211 in San Antonio. | unavailable | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydrogen peroxide | 300 GALLONS | 01/14/2003 | Highway 35 \& FM 524, Old Ocean, TX | SWEENY | BRAZORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Acrylic acid | 75000 POUNDS | 01/15/2003 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Gasoline |  | 01/17/2003 | 1500 IH 35 SAN MARCOS | SAN MARCOS | HAYS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 60 GALLONS | 01/18/2003 | Hwy 249 at Cypresswood in north west Houston | unavailable | HARRIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Industrial waste | 500 GALLONS | 01/18/2003 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | unknown |
| Benzene | 12 GALLONS | 01/20/2003 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Sewage | 5000 GALLONS | 01/20/2003 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | unknown |
|  |  | 01/20/2003 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | unknown |
| Diesel fuel | 40 GALLONS | 01/21/2003 | Railroad crossing $1 / 2 \mathrm{mi}$. S of intersection of IH45 \& US Hwy 287, W side of IH45, S of Ennis | ENNIS | ELLIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Drilling mud (oil/gas related) | 15 CUBICYARDS | 01/23/2003 | SPILL SITE LOCATED ON N SIDE OF IH-40 \& FARM TO MARKET ROAD 2880 | CONWAY | CARSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Xylene (mixed isomers) | 160 GALLONS | 01/23/2003 | US Hwy 80 Westbound, W. of FM 460 | FORNEY | KAUFMAN | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  |  | 01/24/2003 | I45 and rayford sawdust rd, west side of 45 , on the southbound service rd. | unavailable | MONTGOMERY | unknown |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sewage | 40 GALLONS | 01/24/2003 | INTERSECTION OF HIGHWAY 71 W \& FM 962 IN LLANO COUNTY | unavailable | LLANO | 006 - Other Substance |
| Pentene | 372 POUNDS | 01/25/2003 | Hwy 181 to Hwy 5363 miles south of Floresville | FLORESVILLE | WILSON | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  | 01/28/2003 | IH45 Northbound at MM 214 | STREETMAN | NAVARRO | 006 - Other Substance |
| Xylene | 134 POUNDS | 01/29/2003 | On Hwy. 69 from Hwy. 96 intersection to Chance Cut-Off Rd. Lumberton, Tx. | LUMBERTON | HARDIN | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Water contaminated with petroleum | 1 BARRELS | 01/30/2003 | US Hwy 75 N at Center Street | SHERMAN | GRAYSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 30 GALLONS | 02/01/2003 | US Hwy 287 S. of SH 156 Intersection | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Motor oil | 2000 GALLONS | 02/01/2003 | IH35 W southbound at Felix Street (Exit 46) | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown |  | 02/01/2003 | 3386 Highway 80 in Karnes City | KARNES CITY | KARNES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown |  | 02/03/2003 | IH20 MEDIAN @ MM 570, WINONA, TX 75792 | WINONA | SMITH | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 02/03/2003 | 3 MI S OF SUNRAY ON HWY 119, 1 MI E, $1 / 4$ MI S on Beef Feeders Road. | SUNRAY | MOORE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| NATURAL GAS |  | 02/04/2003 | IH30 Eastbound near FM 549 Exit | ROCKWALL | ROCKWALL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Spent Solvent Mixture | 100 GALLONS | 02/05/2003 | US HIGHWAY KENWORTHY DRIVE | unavailable | EL PASO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 02/12/2003 | IH 35 South @ Junction of IH 10 \& 410 in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Asphalt | 500 GALLONS | 02/14/2003 | Interchange of US Hwy 75 and IH635 | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 20 GALLONS | 02/14/2003 | MCLENNAN COUNTY - I-35 MM327 CENTER MEDIAN | unavailable | MCLENNAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Vinyl Chloride | 1 POUNDS | 02/14/2003 | IH20 Eastbound near MM509 | TERRELL | KAUFMAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 30 GALLONS | 02/17/2003 | Intersection of FM 2146 and Hwy 173, NW of Jourdanton, Atascosa County | JOURDANTON | ATASCOSA | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 70 GALLONS | 02/17/2003 | 2 MILES SOUTH OF SEALY, TEXAS ON HWY 36, Sealy, 77474 | SEALY | AUSTIN | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Sodium hydroxide | 375 GALLONS | 02/17/2003 | On ramp to IH 10 West from FM 1604 in San Antonio | unavailable | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 70 GALLONS | 02/18/2003 | 16301 State Highway 249, Houston, TX | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown | 2 GALLONS | 02/18/2003 | Highway 326 north; just south of Grayburg Road; Nome, Tx. | NOME | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown |  | 02/20/2003 | 1 mile south of FM 961 on US 59, south bound lane in Wharton, Tx | unavailable | WHARTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 02/20/2003 | SE CORNER OF IH 10 AND UTSA BLVD | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR |  |
| Lube oil | 50 GALLONS | 02/22/2003 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel |  | 02/24/2003 | Highway 46 in Bulverde | BULVERDE | COMAL | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 2 $2 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 10 GALLONS | 02/24/2003 | Southwest corner of Telge Rd and Hwy 290 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude oil heavy | 5 BARRELS | 02/25/2003 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Munitions |  | 02/25/2003 | "16212 STATE HIGHWAY 249 KEY MAP 370Q" | unavailable | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  | 02/25/2003 | US 59 northbound, 5 miles south of Sheppard, TX. | unavailable | LIBERTY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 1 GALLONS | 02/26/2003 | State Hwy 31 at Richland Chambers Bridge | POWELL | NAVARRO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel |  | 02/27/2003 | IH40 E BETWEEN MILE MARKERS 141 \& 142 NEAR AMARILLO | AMARILLO | POTTER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Malathion | 100 GALLONS | 02/27/2003 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude oil light |  | 02/28/2003 | 2213 Hwy 156 | HASLET | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 02/28/2003 | 7TH ST S FROM THE INTX WITH HWY 82 TURN L ONTO TEXACO ISLAND RD \& THEN RIGHT ON COKE DOCK RD PROCEED APPROX 0.5 MI TO FACILITY | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Crude oil light | 120 GALLONS | 03/01/2003 | INTERSECTION OF I-20 \& FM 254 (RANGER HILL) | RANGER | EASTLAND | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown | 2 GALLONS | 03/02/2003 | Intersection of Highway 158 and Highway 137, Glasscock County | GARDEN CITY | GLASSCOCK | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Mixed petroleum products |  | 03/03/2003 | Located on Southbound lane of Expressway 77, south of intersection of FM 186 \& Expressway 77 in Raymondville, Tx. | unavailable | WILLACY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 5 BARRELS | 03/03/2003 | Located approximately 0.4 mile south of the intersection of Brooks County Rd. 304 and U.S. HIghway 281, and 3.1 miles North of intersection of Farm-to-Market Rd. 755 and U.S. Highway 281, on South Bound Highway 281, Encino, Brooks Co., Tx | unavailable | BROOKS | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| JP-4 | 1 GALLONS | 03/06/2003 | HWY 69 AT WHEELER RD | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 03/06/2003 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 4-D | 400 GALLONS | 03/07/2003 | HIGHWAY 21 AT BASTROP \& CALDWELL COUNTY LINES | BASTROP | CALDWELL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Mat | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butadiene, 1-3 | 662 POUNDS | 03/08/2003 | MCLENNAN COUNTY - 1 MILE SOUTH OF I-35 AT INTERSECTION OF HWY 77 SOUTH AND wingate drive | unavailable | MCLENNAN | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 2 ${ }^{\text {B/ } / 1,000 \mathrm{G}}$ |
| Diethylamine | 200 GALLONS | 03/08/2003 | on hw $90,1 / 2$ mile east of 1909 , Ames, tx | unavailable | HARRIS | unknown |
| Motor oil | 40 GALLONS | 03/08/2003 | intersection of 1960 and east lake houston parkway. | unavailable | HARRIS | unknown |
|  |  | 03/08/2003 | ${ }_{365}^{2.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S}}$ OF HWY 69 ON HWY | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other material | 5 BARRELS | 03/09/2003 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 160 GALLONS | 03/09/2003 | west of Angleton on hwy 35 right on westwood. | unavailable | BRAZORIA | unknown |
| filter cake | 25 gallons | 03/10/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | Groves | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Freon | 375 POUNDS | 03/10/2003 | Intersection Hwy 90 \& Hwy 380 Beaumont TX; dir approx 4 miles southeast on Hwy 380. Exit onto Hwy 347, drive approx 2 miles Southeast, eixt into Dupont Beaumont Complex. BMC in in complex. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Battery | 60 POUNDS | 03/12/2003 | US HWY 832.2 MILES N OF MENARD | MENARD | MENARD | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sodium hydroxide | 15800 GALLONS | 03/12/2003 | Highway 225 and Red Bluff | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 03/13/2003 | 35 MILES SOUTHWEST OF ANDREWS TEXAS ON HIGHWAY | ANDREWS | ANDREWS | unknown |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 03/13/2003 | 128 <br> "HWY 281 AND BUSINESS 281 ALICE TX 78332" | unavailable | JIM WELLS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| inc | 30 GALLONS | 03/14/2003 | I 45 North at Holzwarth, mile marker 68 | unavailabl | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 5 GALlons | 03/15/2003 | Highway 82, @2 miles east of causeway bridge, on Pleasure Island; channel side of the highway at Mesquite Point, Port Arthur, Tx. | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Industrial waste | 180 GALLONS | 03/16/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G ~}$ |
| Brine | 147 BaRRELS | 03/18/2003 | on Highway 90 West in China | CHINA | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 10 GALLONS | 03/18/2003 | Off Highway 55, 15 miles northwest of Uvalde | UVALDE | UVALDE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |


| Table F.2: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2003 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Hydraulic fluid |  | 03/18/2003 | Approximately one mile west of the intersection of FM1686 and SH185, about 8 miles south of Victoria | VICTORIA | VICTORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Mixed petroleum products | 80 GALLONS | 03/18/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material | 166 POUNDS | 03/18/2003 | property at 203 Highway 149, in Montgomery Texas | unavailable | MONTGOMERY | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Saltwater | 35 BARRELS | 03/19/2003 | HWY 838 MILES EAST OF BIG WELLS | BIG WELLS | DIMMIT | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 03/19/2003 | on median of Hwy 288 between Orem and Airport | unavailable | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Fuel oil 6 | 10 GALLONS | 03/21/2003 | Highway 3057 Bay City TX 77414 | BAY CITY | MATAGORDA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Heavy crude oil | 30 GALLONS | 03/21/2003 | Groendyke truck hit Teas Transeastern truck @ intersection of HWY 225 \& Berle in Pasadena Texas | unavailable | HARRIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Mineral oil | 6500 GALLONS | 03/21/2003 | 5900 Hwy 225 East | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Industrial waste | 300 GALLONS | 03/22/2003 | OFF OLD HIGHWAY 48 AND AT 750 ANCHOR ROAD | BROWNSVILLE | CAMERON | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Milk | 48000 POUNDS | 03/22/2003 | CORNER OF WINGATE BLVD \& HWY 255 IN JASPER COUNTY | SAM RAYBURN | JASPER | 006 - Other Substance |
| Municipal waste |  | 03/22/2003 | HWY 146 \& 225 STRANG R | LA PORTE | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 03/22/2003 | INTERSECTION OF HWY 19 \& FM 514 S OF SULPHUR SPRING IN RAINS COUNTY | unavailable | RAINS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Crude oil heavy |  | 03/25/2003 | IH35W near Exit 60 (Hwy 287) | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 1 GALLONS | 03/25/2003 | Intersection of Handley-Ederville at Randol Mill | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 006 - Other Substance |
| unknown chemical |  | 03/31/2003 | EAST BOUND IH20 @ MM 564 IN SMITH COUNTY | unavailable | SMITH | 006 - Other Substance |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 13 BARRELS | 04/01/2003 | US Highway 82 W , Texarkana, TX | TEXARKANA | BOWIE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown light oil | 1 GALLONS | 04/01/2003 | HWY 59 APPROXIMATELY 27 MILES EAST OF LAREDO | LAREDO | WEBB | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 10 GALLONS | 04/02/2003 | HWY 146 \& 225 STRANG R | LA PORTE | HARRIS | SARA Title III |
| Slop oil | 10 BARRELS | 04/03/2003 | 7075 US Highway 87 West in La Vernia | LA VERNIA | WILSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Water contaminated with petroleum | 15 BARRELS | 04/03/2003 | 7350 INTERSTATE HWY 37 | CORPUS CHRISTI | NUECES | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
|  |  | 04/03/2003 | SPILL INCIDENT 1 MILES W OF HEREFORD ON US HIGHWAY 60 | HEREFORD | DEAF SMITH | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |



| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sodium hydroxide | 35000 GALLONS | 04/20/2003 | IH 10 and 1604 West in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Methacrylic acid methyl ester | 55 GALLONS | 04/21/2003 | INTXN OF FM 1593 \& HWY 35 EXTENDING 1.8 MI N ON E SIDE OF FM $1593 \& 1.7$ MI E ON N SIDE HWY 35 | POINT COMFORT | CALHOUN | 006-Other Substance |
| Oil and grease | 55 GALLONS | 04/24/2003 | 4613 Denton Hwy | HALTOM CITY | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Waste oil | 30 GALLONS | 04/24/2003 | I10 east at mile marker 752 | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 100 GALLONS | 04/25/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 05/01/2003 | Intersection of $\mathrm{I}-20 \mathrm{BR}$ and FM 208, Colorado City | COLORADO CITY | MITCHELL | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 05/02/2003 | IH30 Eastbound at MM87 | GREENVILLE | HUNT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 10 GALLONS | 05/02/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Drilling mud (oil/gas related) | 2 BARRELS | 05/03/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Waste oil |  | 05/04/2003 | Intersection of State Hwy 183 at Loop 12 | IRVING | DALLAS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Other material | 1000 POUNDS | 05/05/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | 05/06/2003 | 5530 IH 10 East in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Waste oil | 11 GALLONS | 05/06/2003 | IH 10 Eastbound Mile 604 in Seguin, TX | SEQUIN | GUADALUPE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Gas Oil | 22 GALLONS | 05/09/2003 | 1.5 miles west of Highway 77, 11 miles north of Refugio | REFUGIO | REFUGIO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Waste oil |  | 05/10/2003 | INTERSECTION OF BOB BULLOCK AND I 35 | LAREDO | WEBB | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| PCBs | 1 GALLONS | 05/11/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 05/11/2003 | 9500 Interstate 10 E , Baytown, TX | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Hydraulic fluid |  | 05/13/2003 | 17934 State Highway 16 S in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Nitrogen dioxide |  | 05/14/2003 | Intersection of 29th Street and FM 495, McAllen, TX | unavailable | HIDALGO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown | 1 BARRELS | 05/15/2003 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Gasoline | 1200 GALLONS | 05/17/2003 | IH35W southbound near Western Center Blvd exit | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Fuel oil 1 | 5 BARRELS | 05/18/2003 | IH35E Southbound | RED OAK | ELLIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| NATURAL GAS |  | 05/19/2003 | IH 35 Southbound @ Mile Marker 119, Frio/Medina County Line near Devine, TX. | DEVINE | FRIO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p-dichlororbenzene | 3 GALLONS | 05/20/2003 | IH35E south at NW Hwy Intersection | DALLAS | DALLAS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown light oil Unknown | 1 GALLONS | 05/20/2003 | IH35 Exit 468 (Oak Street) | DENTON | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}}$ |
|  |  | 05/21/2003 | Loop 410 and Highway 35 North in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
|  |  | 05/21/2003 | Highway 35 \& FM 524, Old Ocean, TX | SWEENY | BRAZORIA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Anhydrous ammonia |  | 05/22/2003 | North Highway 83 at the edge of the city limits. | UVALDE | UVALDE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 05/23/2003 | Intersection of IH30 and IH635, any direction | MESQUITE | DALLAS | 006 - Other Substance |
| NATURAL GAS | 6500 BARRELS | 05/23/2003 | North Highway 83 at the edge of the city limits. | UVALDE | UVALDE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown |  | 05/27/2003 | Highway 41, approximately 9 miles east of Rock Springs, North side - 30.04 .34 N \& 099.58 .55 W | ROCK SPRINGS | EDWARDS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| P-Xylene | 100 POUNDS | 05/28/2003 | HWY 69 N COOKS LAKE RD EXIT IN LUMBERTON | BEAUMONT | HARDIN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| GASOLINE, AU- | 350 GALLONS | 05/29/2003 | 4 MILES W OF AMARILLO ON IH 40 AT ARNOT ROAD | AMARILLO | POTTER | 006 - Other Substance |
| TOMOTIVE OR AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 05/29/2003 | Hwy 287, approx. 4 mi. N of Decatur | DECATUR | WISE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Grease | 35 GALLONS | 05/30/2003 | Highway 90 at Knippa, TX | KNIPPA | UVALDE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {i } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Industrial waste | 35 GALLONS | 05/30/2003 | Intersection of FM 1171 at Forums Road | FLOWER <br> MOUND | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Sewage | 2000 GALLONS | 05/31/2003 | OFF HWY 190 IN CEDAR POINT SUBDIVISION | ONALASKA | POLK | 006 - Other Substance |
| Carbon Black Oil | 10000 GALLONS | 06/03/2003 | LOCATED APPX $1 / 8$ MILE NORTH OF I-635 ON PRESTON | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 06/03/2003 | Highway 96 South | JASPER | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {j } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Butadiene | 184 POUNDS | 06/04/2003 | HWY 59 northbound, humble, tx. | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 06/04/2003 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Crude oil light | 0 GALLONS | 06/10/2003 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude oil light | 200 GALLONS | 06/10/2003 | Interstate 10, Mile Marker 254 | FORT STOCK- TON | PECOS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 06/10/2003 | SMITH BLUFF ROAD AT HWY 347 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Benzene |  | 06/11/2003 | Westbound I-10 WW White (access road) in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D |  | 06/11/2003 | IH45 N at Mile Marker 225 | CORSICANA | NAVARRO | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 06/12/2003 | IH20 WESTBOUND @ MM 545.7 | LINDALE | SMITH | 006 - Other Substance |
| Nitrogen oxide | 16 POUNDS | 06/12/2003 | Near intersection of Hwy 121 and Denton Tap Road | LEWISVILLE | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2, 5-Dihydrofuran | 100 POUNDS | 06/13/2003 | Highway 59 south, near Lufkin, Tx. city limits | LUFKIN | ANGELINA | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Industrial waste | 3238000 GALLONS | 06/13/2003 | IH 45 Northbound at MM 219 | CORSICANA | NAVARRO | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Ammonia, anhydrous |  | 06/16/2003 | US Highway 57,2 miles west of IH35, near Moore, TX. | MOORE | FRIO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Crude oil light | 5 BARRELS | 06/16/2003 | intersection of hwy 90 and 321 in Dayton, tx. Liberty county. | unavailable | LIBERTY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Gasoline | 30 GALLONS | 06/16/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 24B/1,000G |
| Hydraulic fluid | 70 GALLONS | 06/16/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D |  | 06/17/2003 | 3 MI WEST OF FRIONA ON HIGHWAY 60 | FRIONA | PARMER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Gasoline |  | 06/17/2003 | US Hwy 3772.7 mi. S | TOLAR | HOOD | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Wastewater discharge, industrial | 47 BARRELS | 06/17/2003 | US 181 one mile south of Hobson | HOBSON | KARNES | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 06/18/2003 | "Hwy 183 Southbound between Regal Row and Mockingbird Lane" | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 155 GALLONS | 06/19/2003 | "Intersection of IH35E and Hebron Parkway Exit 448" | LEWISVILLE | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material | 2 GALLONS | 06/19/2003 | INTXN OF FM 1593 \& HWY 35 EXTENDING 1.8 MI N ON E SIDE OF FM 1593 \& 1.7 MI E ON N SIDE HWY 35 | POINT COMFORT | CALHOUN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown | 30 GALLONS | 06/19/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Butadiene | 320 POUNDS | 06/21/2003 | HIGHWAY 90 WEST OF LULING | unavailable | CALDWELL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Dinitrotoluene (liquid, molten, or solid) | 22 POUNDS | 06/22/2003 | HWY 92 | SILSBEE | HARDIN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 06/23/2003 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 150 GALLONS | 06/23/2003 | IH635 (LBJ Fwy) near Welch Road | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Nitrous Oxides | 16 POUNDS | 06/24/2003 | Mile Marker 341 on Westbound lane of Interstate 10 near Sheffield | SHEFFIELD | PECOS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other Organics | 92 BARRELS | 06/25/2003 | HWY 59 CLOSE TO ELGIN EXIT TRUCK HEADING NORTH NEAR HOUSTON | unavailable | HARRIS | SARA Title III |
| Unknown or other oil | 15 GALLONS | 07/01/2003 | Construction zone on Hwy 66, Rural Ellis Co | unavailable | ELLIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Caustic soda | 50 GALLONS | 07/03/2003 | Old HWY 105 @ HWY 105 Cut-N-Shoot tx 77304 | unavailable | MONTGOMERY | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 07/03/2003 | 13437 IH 35 S, Von Ormy, TX | unavailable | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Used oil with 1,1,1Trichloroethylene | 40 GALLONS | 07/05/2003 | ER AT INTERSECTION OF HWY 60 AND HWY 521 IN WADSWORTH. | unavailable | MATAGORDA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Crude oil light |  | 07/06/2003 | HWY 225 AT BATTLEGROUND ROAD | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 110 GALLONS | 07/07/2003 | Mile Marker 876 eastbound IH-10; Orange, Tx. | ORANGE | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Naptha | 203 GALLONS | 07/07/2003 | 2100 Block of West IH-10 in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 60 GALLONS | 07/10/2003 | 4200 S. IH45 | DALLAS | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | 07/10/2003 | Carrier Parkway at IH20 | GRAND PRAIRIE | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Freon |  | 07/12/2003 | HWY 225 AT BATTLEGROUND ROAD | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Waste oil | 40 GALLONS | 07/14/2003 | State Hwy 361-1.5 miles SE of intersection of SH 361 and SH 35 near the city of Gregory in San Patricio County. | GREGORY | SAN PATRICIO | 003 - Oil Minor $24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 10 GALLONS | 07/15/2003 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 2000 GALLONS | 07/16/2003 | State Highway 77 in Kingsville | KINGSVILLE | KLEBERG | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Lead | 60 POUNDS | 07/17/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| JP-8 | 150 GALLONS | 07/18/2003 | HILL COUNTY - I-35 AND MM 349 | unavailable | HILL | 006 - Other Substance |
| Other Organics | 35000 POUNDS | 07/18/2003 | 1 MI N OF ROPESVILLE ON HWY 62-82 | ROPESVILLE | HOCKLEY | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 07/18/2003 | LOCATED ON THE 700 BLOCK OF SOUTH BOUND LANES OF US HIGHWAY 83 IN WESLACO, TX 78596 | unavailable | HIDALGO | 003 - Oil Minor $24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Industrial waste | 2 GALLONS | 07/20/2003 | 7501 State Hwy 185 N | SEADRIFT | CALHOUN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 70 GALLONS | 07/23/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Water contaminated with petroleum | 500 GALLONS | 07/25/2003 | HWY 326 | SOUR LAKE | HARDIN | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude oil heavy |  | 07/28/2003 | INTERSECTION OF HWY 347 AND HILL ST NEDERLAND TX | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel |  | 07/29/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D |  | 07/30/2003 | IH30 Westbound at Exit 95 | GREENVILLE | HUNT | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Parrafin oil | 1000 GALLONS | 07/30/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 50 and Business Hwy 224 | COMMERCE | HUNT | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lr}\text { GASOLINE, } & \text { AU- } \\ \text { TOMOTIVE } & \text { OR }\end{array}$ AVIATION |  | 07/31/2003 | i-10 east spur 330 exit past 2 nd light | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mineral oil | 100 GALLONS | 08/01/2003 | US 288 \& MILE POLE 320.0, ANGLETON, TX | unavailable | BRAZORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 1 GALLONS | 08/06/2003 | INTERSTATE 10 BETWEEN MI MARKER 873 \& 879 E OF ORANGE TX NEAR BEAUMONT | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Saltwater |  | 08/10/2003 | I-45N \& Parker Road | unavailable | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel marine | 1 GALLONS | 08/11/2003 | SOUTH BEFORE LANDER ROAD 1.5 MILES SOUTH ON HIGHWAY 87 | PLACEDO | VICTORIA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Wastewater discharge, municipal | 100 GALLONS | 08/14/2003 | $11 / 2$ miles west of Beaumont on Highway 90 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Butyric acid | 35 POUNDS | 08/21/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral oil | 75 GALLONS | 08/21/2003 | US Hwy 380 approx. 5 mi . W of Denton | DENTON CO | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude oil light | 4 BARRELS | 08/25/2003 | I-20 Eastbound at Mile Marker 177 | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 20 GALLONS | 08/27/2003 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 30 GALLONS | 08/27/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Ferric chloride | 800 POUNDS | 08/27/2003 | Highway overpass, IH-10 E at Horizon Blvd. exit, El Paso, Tx | unavailable | EL PASO | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Sludge | 300 GALLONS | 08/30/2003 | Intersection of State Hwy 183 at Loop 12 | IRVING | DALLAS | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 400 GALLONS | 08/31/2003 | APPROXIMATELY 4.5 MILES WEST OF THE CITY OF INGRAM ON HWY 39 | INGRAM | KERR | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel marine | 2 GALLONS | 09/04/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {i } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Other material |  | 09/04/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown |  | 09/05/2003 | Hwy 287 Northbound, approx. 13 mi. N of Decatur | unavailable | WISE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 09/05/2003 | STATE HIGHWAY 2123 MI E OF CROCKETT TX | CROCKETT | HOUSTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sodium hydroxide | 30 GALLONS | 09/09/2003 | ON HWY 8710 MILES WEST OF SABINE PASS | SABINE PASS | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 80 GALLONS | 09/11/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude oil light | 500 BARRELS | 09/12/2003 | IH 35 North @ Riverside Dr | unavailable | TRAVIS | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 154 BARRELS | 09/14/2003 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Butadiene |  | 09/15/2003 | IH35E southbound, near Mile Marker 384 | ITALY | ELLIS | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | 09/15/2003 | 4.3 miles south of US Highway 377 and US Highway 63 | BROWNWOOD | BROWN | 004 Hazardous Material Major |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) |  | 09/15/2003 | U.S. Highway 80 approximately 1 mile west of Forney | unavailable | KAUFMAN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Lube oil | 11 BARRELS | 09/18/2003 | IH35 N at Exit 478 | SANGER | DENTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}}$ /1,000G |
| Gasoline | 20 GALLONS | 09/19/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel marine | 120 GALLONS | 09/20/2003 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 1 GALLONS | 09/22/2003 | Intersection of Hwy 77 S and Northgate | WAXAHACHIE | ELLIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sodium hydroxide | 900 GALLONS | 09/25/2003 | Interstate Highway 10 eastbound at mile marker 619 near Kingsbury | KINGSBURY | GUADALUPE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown |  | 09/25/2003 | Intersection of US Hwy 69 and State Hwy 11 | SHERMAN | GRAYSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material | 15 GALLONS | 10/01/2003 | "INTERSTATE 45 SOUTH AND ENTERPRISE RD CONROE, TEXAS" | unavailable | MONTGOMERY | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  | 10/05/2003 | IH 35 southbound at exit 186 in New Braunfels | NEW BRAUN- FELS | COMAL | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 2 GALLONS | 10/06/2003 | US Highway 82 | TEXARKANA | BOWIE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 10/07/2003 | State Highway 7 east of Nacogdoches, Tx. | NACOGDOCHES | NACOGDOCHES | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 10/10/2003 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 10/17/2003 | MILE MARKER 281-3 I-HWY 59 IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY | unavailable | MONTGOMERY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 200 GALLONS | 10/19/2003 | vic. intersection of State Hwy 114 and William D Tate | GRAPEVINE | TARRANT | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Benzene | 23 POUNDS | 10/27/2003 | IH45 Northbound at MM 264 | ENNIS | ELLIS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {i } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 35 GALLONS | 10/29/2003 | "US Hwy 69, approx. 1 mi E of intersection with State Hwy 11" | WHITEWRIGHT | GRAYSON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Ethylene oxide |  | 11/01/2003 | 7801 E IH20, Midland | unavailable | MIDLAND | unknown |
| Asbestos |  | 11/02/2003 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Benzoyl peroxide |  | 11/03/2003 | on state hwy $2881 / 2$ mile north of 1462 in rosharon, tx. | unavailable | BRAZORIA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 11/03/2003 | Intersection of Sidney Baker (Hwy 16) at North St, Kerrville | KERRVILLE | KERR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sulfuric acid | 235000 GALLONS | 11/03/2003 | Downtown Trinity, Tx. on Highway 94 at the RR crossing. | TRINITY | TRINITY | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 11/05/2003 | IH45 N at Mile Marker 225 | CORSICANA | NAVARRO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Aldicarb | 10 POUNDS | 11/11/2003 | Along the Guadalupe River on Hwy 27 at Goat Creek | KERRVILLE | KERR | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel |  | 11/23/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Coal | 300 CUBICYARDS | 11/24/2003 | INTERSECTION OF MAIN \& HWY 277 N 2.5 MI ON N SIDE OF HWY 277 EAGLE PASS TX MAVERICK COUNTY | EAGLE PASS | MAVERICK | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |

Table F.2: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occuring in 2003 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crude oil heavy |  | 11/24/2003 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Unknown |  | 12/06/2003 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 0 GALLONS | 12/09/2003 | CORNER HWY 181 \& 72 | KENEDY | KARNES | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Hydraulic fluid | 1 GALLONS | 12/09/2003 | 5500 State Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Gasoline | 126 GALLONS | 12/14/2003 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 50 GALLONS | 12/22/2003 | INTERSECTION OF HWY 332 AND HWY 288 | FREEPORT | BRAZORIA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 34 GALLONS | 12/27/2003 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Sulfuric acid | 9000 GALLONS | 12/29/2003 | 3310 Highway 36 N | ROSENBERG | FORT BEND | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |

Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | $01 / 02 / 2004$ | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 <br> street | GROVES | JEFFERSON |
| Diesel fuel |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Wastewater discharge, municipal | 2500 GALLONS | 02/11/2004 | ON HWY 3186, . 25 MI FROM EAST END OF ROAD | ONALASKA | POLK | 006 - Other Substance |
| JP-8 | 25 GALLONS | 02/12/2004 | northeast of the intersection of hwy 75 and Interstate 635 in Dallas | DALLAS | DALLAS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Sodium hypochlorite | 40 GALLONS | 02/14/2004 | US Hwy 277 and TX Hwy 95 | STAMFORD | JONES | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 02/15/2004 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 60 GALLONS | 02/17/2004 | Intersection of Highway 349 and Highway 137, Lamesa TX | LAMESA | DAWSON | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 02/19/2004 | Mile Marker 172 on IH 20 west of Big Spring, TX | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Benzene | 0 | 02/21/2004 | Mile Marker 184 on Interstate 20 (Moss Creek Road), Big Spring | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 10 GALLONS | 02/22/2004 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 02/24/2004 | 19720 Highway 281 South in San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 24B/1,000G |
| Industrial waste | 1000 GALLONS | 02/24/2004 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic Oil | 60 GALLONS | 02/26/2004 | HWY 87N APPROX 4 MI N OF INTXN HWY 87 AND HWY 12 | DEWEYVILLE | NEWTON | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 02/28/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic Oil | 40 GALLONS | 03/08/2004 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
|  |  | 03/09/2004 | ON NE COUNTY RD 119 \& US HWY 79 | HUTTO | WILLIAMSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 24B/1,000G |
|  |  | 03/09/2004 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Motor oil | 25 GALLONS | 03/10/2004 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Mineral oil | 15 GALLONS | 03/15/2004 | Interstate Highway 10 Exit 849 near Walden Rd.; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral oil | 13 GALLONS | 03/15/2004 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Propylene (Propene) | 0 | 03/15/2004 | OFF HWY 242, CONROE TX. | unavailable | MONTGOMERY | SARA Title III |
| Sulfur dioxide | 0 | 03/18/2004 | HIGHWAY 347 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel | 1200 GALLONS | 03/22/2004 | ON 9402 EXPRESSWAY 83 | HARLINGEN | CAMERON | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic Oil | 45 GALLONS | 03/23/2004 | 10 Miles South of Seminole on State Highway 285 on FM 2885 | SEMINOLE | GAINES | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Chlorine | 0 | 03/24/2004 | 702 HWY 11 W | WHITEWRIGHT | GRAYSON | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sewage | 500 GALLONS | 04/04/2004 | ON HWY 87 S TOWARDS SABINE PASS IN PORT ARTHUR | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |


| Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Diesel fuel | 130 GALLONS | 04/07/2004 | 1700 NORTH HIGHWAY 360 | GRAND PRAIRIE | TARRANT | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 20 GALLONS | 04/07/2004 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel | 0 | 04/11/2004 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mercury | 1 GALLONS | 04/13/2004 | 2002 NW HWY | GARLAND | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Hydraulic fluid | 30 GALLONS | 04/15/2004 | 9548 Interstate 10 E , Baytown, TX | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 90 GALLONS | 04/17/2004 | "Intersection of Matamoros and San Bernardo St. Laredo" | LAREDO | WEBB | unknown |
| Crude oil heavy | 0 | 04/22/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Crude oil heavy | 30 GALLONS | 04/23/2004 | Westbound exit ramp from Highway 69 to IH-10; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydrogen | 0 | 04/27/2004 | 1 Mile Southwest of the intersection of IH35 and State Highway 85 in Frio Co, TX. | DILLEY | FRIO | 006 - Other Substance |
| Nitric acid | 20 GALLONS | 04/27/2004 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydrocarbons | 0 | 05/06/2004 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Benzene | 56 GALLONS | 05/11/2004 | HWY 59; 12 MI N OF LIVINGSTON, TX | MOSCOW | POLK | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Isopropyl alcohol | 1 GALLONS | 05/11/2004 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| GASOLINE, AUTOMOTIVE OR AVIATION | 40 GALLONS | 05/17/2004 | "HWY 366 PORT NECHES, TX" | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Mineral Oil with PCBs | 2 GALLONS | 05/17/2004 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Paint waste | 0 | 05/19/2004 | - 10404 I-10 HWY 146, BAYTOWN, TX, 77520 - | unavailable | CHAMBERS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sulfur dioxide | 0 | 05/24/2004 | Highway 287 east out of Corrigan, Texas | CORRIGAN | POLK | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Diesel fuel | 2000 GALLONS | 05/26/2004 | 5500 State Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | GROVES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 05/28/2004 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
|  |  | 05/29/2004 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Petroleum | 406 GALLONS | 06/01/2004 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 4-D | 350 GALLONS | 06/07/2004 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other Organics | 0 | 06/09/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004 - Continued.
Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004 — Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residual Oil | 5 BARRELS | 06/09/2004 | HWY 59 SOUTHBOUND, APPLEBY, TX | APPLEBY | NACOGDOCHES | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Caustic soda | 300 GALLONS | 06/11/2004 | SOUTH OF HWY 377 WEST OF TOLAR. | TOLAR | HOOD | 006 - Other Substance |
| Brine | 6 BARRELS | 06/14/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Used Oil | 53 GALLONS | 06/14/2004 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Drilling mud (oil/gas related) | 0 | 06/24/2004 | Highway 96 by Lazy H Smokehouse; near Kirbyville, Tx. | KIRBYVILLE | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Chlorine | 0 | 06/25/2004 | Mile Marker 276.5 Intracoastal Waterway | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 0 GALLONS | 07/02/2004 | 16301 State Highway 249, Houston, TX | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Gas Oil | 40 GALLONS | 07/02/2004 | 6275 Highway 347, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 07/04/2004 | 1700 NORTH HIGHWAY 360 | GRAND PRAIRIE | TARRANT | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel marine | 0 GALLONS | 07/09/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Waste oil | 70 GALLONS | 07/09/2004 | northeast of the intersection of hwy 75 and Interstate 635 in Dallas | DALLAS | DALLAS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 300 GALLONS | 07/12/2004 | FM 3322 \& HWY 87 IN SABINE PASS, TX | SABINE PASS | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | 07/15/2004 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Hydraulic fluid | 10 GALLONS | 07/18/2004 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Asphalt or road oil | 40 GALLONS | 07/21/2004 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } 5619 \text { IH } 10 \text { EXIT } 582 \text {, SAN AN- } \\ & \text { TONIO, TX, } 78219 \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
|  |  | 07/25/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Ammonia, anhydrous | 1 POUNDS | 07/26/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| NITROGEN | 50 GALLONS | 07/28/2004 | 10 M W OF TX HWY 277 MILE POST 634 | CARRIZO SPRINGS | DIMMIT | 006 - Other Substance |
| Alcohol Fire Fighting Foam (AFFF) | 25 GALLONS | 07/29/2004 | $11 / 2$ miles west of Beaumont on Highway 90 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel | 15 GALLONS | 07/29/2004 | 1 MILE NORTHWEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF INTERSTATE 37 ON STATE HIGHWAY 72 | THREE RIVERS | LIVE OAK | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sulfuric acid | 1368 POUNDS | 07/29/2004 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| OILY WATER | 0 | 08/07/2004 | 1 ML N OF GARNER STATE PARK ON HWY 183 | LEAKEY | REAL | 006 - Other Substance |
| Coke (petroleum coke) | 0 | 08/08/2004 | Approximately 1 mile north of Highway 225 on Miller Cut Off Rd. | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic fluid | 50 GALLONS | 08/08/2004 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Wastewater discharge, industrial | 0 | 08/08/2004 | IH 35 \& SH 195 | GEORGETOWN | WILLIAMSON | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Naphtha (petroleum), catalytic reformed | 8 GALLONS | 08/12/2004 | 4001 E Highway 67, Cleburne, TX | KEENE | JOHNSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 0 | 08/15/2004 | - 5619 IH 10 EXIT 582, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78219 - | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Saltwater | 0 | 08/17/2004 | MM 836 on I-H-10 Eastbound between Winnie and Beaumont, Texas. | FANNETT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 600 GALLONS | 08/19/2004 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Oil and grease | 0 GALLONS | 08/19/2004 | Highway 96 N to Kirbyville, Tx. thru to the last traffic light at the intersection of Hwy. 96 and Hwy. 363. | KIRBYVILLE | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 50 GALLONS | 08/21/2004 | 100 OLD HIGHWAY 90 WEST | BEAUMONT | ORANGE | 003- Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Butyl acrylate | 85 GALLONS | 08/28/2004 | Mile Marker 270 \& IH 20, Merkel, Taylor County | MERKEL | TAYLOR | unknown |
| Crude oil light | 0 | 08/30/2004 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | $001-$ ;240B/10,000G OIL-MAJOR |
| Unknown or other oil | 0 | 09/01/2004 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Pyrolysis Gasoline | 2757 POUNDS | 09/04/2004 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Smoke | 0 | 09/07/2004 | HIGHWAY 347 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {i } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Other material | 20 GALLONS | 09/13/2004 | 2 MI N HWY 8334 MI W | PERRYTON | OCHILTREE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 1400 GALLONS | 09/15/2004 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 35 GALLONS | 09/17/2004 | Highway 69 south and Old Amoco Road at the LNVA Canal; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003- Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| NAPHTHALENE | 73000 POUNDS | 09/17/2004 | Highway 66,8 Blks $S$ of IH 40 , Amarillo, | AMARILLO | POTTER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Drilling mud (oil/gas related) | 1 CUBICYARDS | 09/19/2004 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
|  |  | 09/21/2004 | I.H. 10 Southwest at Smith Road, exit 9 miles Southwest of Beaumont Texas | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| $\begin{array}{lr} \text { GASOLINE, } & \text { AU- } \\ \text { TOMOTIVE } & \text { OR } \end{array}$ | 2400 GALLONS | 09/22/2004 | 5500 State Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| AVIATION <br> UNLEADED GASOLINE | 169 GALLONS | 09/26/2004 | IH 35 N MILE MARKER 12 | LAREDO | WEBB | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Table F.3: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2004 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Sludge | 3 POUNDS | 09/28/2004 | Mile marker 181 IH-10, Jeff Davis County, TX | unavailable | JEFF DAVIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 7500 GALLONS | 10/02/2004 | 3RD ST \& HWY 335 | AMARILLO | POTTER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sludge | 1 POUNDS | 10/02/2004 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel | 150 GALLONS | 10/07/2004 | At the entrance ramp of I10 westbound at exit 789, between baytown and highlands. | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 250 GALLONS | 10/08/2004 | US Highway 59 in Jackson County | GANADO | JACKSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 10 GALLONS | 10/08/2004 | HWY 67 \& HARRINGTON | PRESIDIO | PRESIDIO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| 2,5 dihydroperoxy- <br> 2,5-dimethylhexane <br> decomposition products | 0 | 10/15/2004 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown | 0 | 10/17/2004 | Highway 593 miles south of Garrison, Texas southbound side | GARRISON | NACOGDOCHES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 71 GALLONS | 10/20/2004 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Wastewater discharge, industrial | 0 | 10/21/2004 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Unknown | 0 | 10/26/2004 | 7350 INTERSTATE HWY 37 | CORPUS CHRISTI | NUECES | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Gasoline | 0 | 10/27/2004 | 4501 East Hwy 31 | CORSICANA | NAVARRO | 006 - Other Substance |
| Gasoline | 20 GALLONS | 10/27/2004 | 50 miles NE Hwy. 692 from Burkeville, Tx. | unavailable | NEWTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 300 GALLONS | 10/29/2004 | HWY 225 GATE 19 | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 0 | 11/02/2004 | Mile Marker 234, Interstate 20, Sweetwater, Nolan County | SWEETWATER | NOLAN | unknown |
| Mixed Solvents | 1000 GALLONS | 11/04/2004 | 3900 IH 35 N, New Braunfels, TX | NEW BRAUNFELS | COMAL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown | 0 | 11/05/2004 | OFF HWY 190 IN CEDAR POINT SUBDIVISION | ONALASKA | POLK | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  | 11/06/2004 | State highway 185 between Seadrift and Port O'Connor | PORT LAVACA | CALHOUN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 130 GALLONS | 11/07/2004 | MILE MARKER 350 INTERSTATE 20 BAIRD CALLAHAN COUNTY | BAIRD | CALLAHAN | unknown |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 100 GALLONS | 11/11/2004 | - 1269 STATE HWY 78 N, FARMERSVILLE, TX, 75442 - | FARMERSVILLE | COLLIN | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sewage | 100 GALLONS | 11/17/2004 | THE INTERSECTION OF US 87 \& LOOP 577 AT THE CROSSOVER NEAR VICK | VICK | CONCHO | 006 - Other Substance |


Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creosote |  | 01/03/2005 | 8618 State Highway 185 N, Port Lavaca, TX | PORT LAVACA | CALHOUN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel marine |  | 01/03/2005 | Mile Marker 237, IH 20, North of Roscoe | ROSCOE | NOLAN | unknown |
| Used Oil | 8 GALLONS | 01/03/2005 | Hwy 36 N @ Ave E, Somerville, TX | SOMERVILLE | BURLESON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 01/05/2005 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 1500 GALLONS | 01/08/2005 | State Hwy 361-1.5 miles SE of intersection of SH 361 and SH 35 near the city of Gregory in San Patricio County. | GREGORY | SAN PATRI- CIO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 65 GALLONS | 01/09/2005 | occurred at I10 eastbound, exit 776 . | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Pyrolysis Gasoline | 8000 POUNDS | 01/10/2005 | 4508 E US Hwy 90, approx. 7 miles east of downtown Uvalde. | UVALDE | UVALDE | 005 - Hazardous Material Mi- nor |
| Diesel fuel |  | 01/11/2005 | 16.2 MILES N OF STERLING CITY ON US HIGHWAY 87, SOUTHBOUND LANE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STERLING } \\ & \text { CITY } \end{aligned}$ | STERLING | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other Organics | 3 GALLONS | 01/11/2005 | IH-10 W service road drainage ditch near Burr's BBQ; Vidor, Tx. | VIDOR | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other material |  | 01/12/2005 | Highway 59; 2-3 miles south of Diboll, Tx. | DIBOLL | ANGELINA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Motor oil | 650 GALLONS | 01/17/2005 | FROM THE INTX OF IH 10 AND FM 1406 NEAR WINNIE DRIVE APPROX 3.6 MI W ON IH 10 THEN TURN S ON LEASE RD AND DRIVE APPROX 0.6 MI SITE IS OFF E SIDE OF THE LEASE RD | WINNIE | CHAMBERS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Smoke |  | 01/18/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Lube oil | 37 BARRELS | 01/20/2005 | JCT HWY 62 \& 105; W ON 1051 MI | ORANGEFIELD | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mercaptans |  | 01/21/2005 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | SARA Title III |
| Crude oil light | 9 BARRELS | 01/22/2005 | Hwy 77, North of Spur 56, between Lyford \& Raymondville, Raymondville, TX | unavailable | WILLACY | 006-Other Substance |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lauric acid | 4 GALLONS | 01/25/2005 | S ON HWY 285 APPROX 28 MI, GO LEFT ON GREY RANCH RD APPROX 10 MI, PLANT IS ON RIGHT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT. } \quad \text { STOCK- } \\ & \text { TON } \end{aligned}$ | PECOS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic Oil | 0 GALLONS | 01/26/2005 | Location is Mile Marker 191 On Interstate 20, Big Spring Westbound Lane | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 006 - Other Substance |
| Ferric sulfate | 103 GALLONS | 01/28/2005 | Facility is located west of I45 and North of the 610 Soutloop | HOUSTON | HARRIS | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Bleach | 300 GALLONS | 01/31/2005 | Southbound Hihgway 70 at FM 57, Nolan County, Roby | unavailable | NOLAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 1 GALLONS | 02/01/2005 | IH-10 W-BOUND @ MM 838; BEAUMONT, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Used Oil |  | 02/03/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Crude oil heavy | 8 BARRELS | 02/05/2005 | OFF HWY 190 IN CEDAR POINT SUBDIVISION | ONALASKA | POLK | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 02/06/2005 | I-20 at mile marker 316 westbound between Baird \& Putnam | BAIRD | CALLAHAN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Isohexane | 50 GALLONS | 02/06/2005 | Highway 59 N of Nacogdoches, Tx. near Garrison; approximately $1 / 4 \mathrm{mi}$. from Hwy. 59 and CR 631. | GARRISON | NACOGDOC | S003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Gasoline | 20 GALLONS | 02/07/2005 | From Galveston take I-45 north. Exist State Hwy 3 going Northeast. Exist off Looop 197 going Northeast. Plant is off Loop 197. 1320 LOOP 197 South | TEXAS CITY | GALVESTON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Gear Oil | 40 GALLONS | 02/07/2005 |  | ANGLETON | BRAZORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| OSC (original source of crude oil) oil | 1 BARRELS | 02/07/2005 | Highway 59 N of Lufkin, Tx. at the Angelina River Bridge | LUFKIN | ANGELINA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| 2-(2-Aminoethoxy) <br> Ethanol | 100 GALLONS | 02/08/2005 | From Texarkana take I-30 W (toward Dallas). Take Nash Exit (FM989). Go left (South) over I-30 to Alumax Dr. Take a right onto Alumax Dr. Entrance 0.25 miles on right. | TEXARKANA | BOWIE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel |  | 02/09/2005 | 5314 IH 37, Corpus Christi, TX | CORPUS CHRISTI | NUECES | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel fuel | 1200 GALLONS | 02/11/2005 | ON THE SW INT OF US HWY 385 AND 16 TH STREET | HEREFORD | DEAF SMITH | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| chlorodifluromethane | 27000 POUNDS | 02/13/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| JP-8 | 165 GALLONS | 02/13/2005 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral Oil with PCBs | 20 GALLONS | 02/13/2005 | county road 128 west of hwy 35 , hastings, texas | unavailable | BRAZORIA | unknown |
| Waste oil | 0 GALLONS | 02/15/2005 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel |  | 02/21/2005 | HWY 31 S 4 MILES EAST OF MT CALM, HILL COUNTY | MT CALM | HILL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| TIRES (SCRAP) |  | 02/21/2005 | Highway 96 north; 1 mile south of Jasper city limits. | JASPER | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 02/23/2005 | - 26205 HWY 59 @ FM 2218, ROSENBERG, TX, 77471 - | unavailable | FORT BEND | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 5 GALLONS | 02/24/2005 | REST AREA NORTH OF HAMILTON ON HWY 36 APPROXIMATELY 3.8 MILES FROM THE HWY 22 AND HWY 36 SPLIT | unavailable | HAMILTON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 02/24/2005 | From Galveston take I-45 north. Exist State Hwy 3 going Northeast. Exist off Looop 197 going Northeast. Plant is off Loop 197. 1320 LOOP 197 South | TEXAS CITY | GALVESTON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 02/24/2005 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral oil | 400 GALLONS | 02/28/2005 | Highway 59; 32 miles N. of Nacogdoches, TX., between Timpson and Tenaha | TIMPSON | SHELBY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 03/01/2005 | mile marker 269 on I-20 near Merkel | MERKEL | TAYLOR | unknown |
| organophosphorus pesticide |  | 03/01/2005 | Interstate Highway 10 westbound feeder road at Walden Rd. Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 230 BARRELS | 03/02/2005 | N US HIGHWAY 87 DALHART DALLAM COUNTY TEXAS | DALHART | DALLAM | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 — Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 03/02/2005 | INTXN OF FM 1593 \& HWY |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 35 EXTENDING 1.8 MI N ON E SIDE OF FM 1593 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.7 MI E ON N | POINT COM- | CALHOUN | 005 - Hazardous |  |  |
|  | SIDE HWY 35 | FORT |  | Material Minor |  |  |
| Dust |  | 03/05/2005 | ON US HIGHWAY 82 WEST | TEXARKANA | BOWIE | 006-Other Substance |
| Hydrocarbons | 20 BARRELS | 03/07/2005 | AT HWY 62/82 \& FM 378 IN LORENZO | LORENZO | CROSBY | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 130 GALLONS | 03/09/2005 | I.H. 10 Southwest at Smith Road, exit 9 miles Southwest of Beaumont Texas | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Fertilizer Blend (Liquid) | 550 GALLONS | 03/09/2005 | INTERSTATE 35 \& SR 22 | HILLSBORO | HILL | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 150 GALLONS | 03/19/2005 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | 03/19/2005 | 9700 Old Highway 48, Brownsville, TX | BROWNSVILLE | CAMERON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Nitrogen Oxides | 10 POUNDS | 03/19/2005 | HWY 21 \& FM 2000 TURN | CALDWELL | BURLESON | unknown |
|  |  |  | ONTO FM 2000N, GO 3.5 MI. TURN LEFT ONTO CR |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 332 UNTIL IT DEAD ENDS |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | INTO CR 333. TURN |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RIGHT ONTO CR 333. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FACILITY ON RIGHT |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ABOUT 1/2 MI. |  |  |  |
| Muriatic acid | 55 GALLONS | 03/21/2005 | I-20 @ exit 349, westbound, near Ranger | RANGER | EASTLAND | unknown |
| Hydrocarbons |  | 03/22/2005 | located on FM 2817 approximately 8 miles south of the intersection of Texas Hwy 35 and FM 2917 | ALVIN | BRAZORIA | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| 2-Ethylhexanol | 4156 POUNDS | 03/25/2005 | US HWY 87 | LA VERNIA | WILSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 03/28/2005 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  |  | Hwy 366 |  |  |  |
| Fuel oil 2 | 40 GALLONS | 03/28/2005 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR | PORT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
|  |  |  | TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 | ARTHUR |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR |  | 03/30/2005 | approximately 12 miles south of Quanah on Highway 6. | QUANAH | HARDEMAN | 006 - Other Substance |
| AVIATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Motor oil | 40 GALLONS | 03/30/2005 | MILE MARKER 878 EASTBOUND IH-10 CONSTRUCTION ZONE NEAR VIDOR TX | VIDOR | ORANGE | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.
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| Material | Volume | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | 04/01/2005 |
| Hydrocarbons |  | 04/01/2005 |
| Dust |  | 04/03/2005 |
| Waste oil | 0 GALLONS | 04/04/2005 |
| Mineral Oil Dielectric Fluid | 250 GALLONS | 04/05/2005 |
| Oil and grease |  | 04/06/2005 |
| PETROLEUM FUMES |  | 04/07/2005 |
| GASOLINE, AU- <br> TOMOTIVE OR <br> AVIATION  | 50 GALLONS | 04/16/2005 |
| R-22 (Monochlorodifluoromethane) | 6 POUNDS | 04/19/2005 |
| Gasoline | 768 GALLONS | 04/20/2005 |
| Used Oil | 5 GALLONS | 04/20/2005 |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 04/23/2005 |
| UNKNOWN SUBSTANCE |  | 04/23/2005 |
| Diesel fuel | 5 GALLONS | 04/25/2005 |
| Other material | 250 GALLONS | 04/25/2005 |
| UNLEADED GASOLINE | 100 GALLONS | 04/27/2005 |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 05/02/2005 |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unknown or other oil |  | $05 / 03 / 2005$ | 0.5 miles from intersection of <br> Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003-Oil Minor ; 24B/1,000G |
| Hwy 366 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas Oil | 100 BARRELS | 06/01/2005 | Approximately 5 miles South of Expressway 83 on Mile 1, Mercedes, TX 78570. | MERCEDES | HIDALGO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Naptha | 5 BARRELS | 06/02/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Sand |  | 06/02/2005 | From Galveston take I-45 north. Exist State Hwy 3 going Northeast. Exist off Looop 197 going Northeast. Plant is off Loop 197. 1320 LOOP 197 South | TEXAS CITY | GALVESTON | 003- Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 06/04/2005 | 551 South IH 35 Georgetown Texas | GEORGETOWN | WILLIAMSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid |  | 06/06/2005 | HWY 59 N-BOUND @ LOOP 287 IN LUFKIN | LUFKIN | ANGELINA | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Motor oil | 20 GALLONS | 06/06/2005 | Highway 87 between South 1st St and South 2nd St | LAMESA | DAWSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 06/10/2005 | $11 / 2$ miles west of Beaumont on Highway 90 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Slop oil | 4 BARRELS | 06/13/2005 | Highway 70, Bishop, TX | unavailable | NUECES | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Nitrogen Oxides | 101 BARRELS | 06/17/2005 | HWY 59; 2 MI N OF TENAHA | TENAHA | SHELBY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Nitrogen oxide | 110 POUNDS | 06/19/2005 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other Organics | 50 GALLONS | 06/21/2005 | "6241 OLD HWY AND 135N KILGORE LIBERTY CITY 75662" | KILGORE | GREGG | 006 - Other Substance |
| Lube oil | 0 GALLONS | 06/23/2005 | SMITH BLUFF ROAD AT HWY 347 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 06/24/2005 | 9500 Interstate 10 E, Baytown, TX | BAYTOWN | HARRIS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Hydraulic Oil |  | 06/28/2005 | 1700 360 NORTH HIGHWAY | GRAND PRAIRIE | TARRANT | unknown |
| Motor oil | 3 GALLONS | 07/05/2005 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Sun 6, i.e. pipeline cable oil | 300 GALLONS | 07/06/2005 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 07/10/2005 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 07/25/2005 | On Americas, southbound, just south of I-10 | unavailable | EL PASO | 006 - Other Substance |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saccharin and salts | 340 GALLONS | 07/27/2005 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } 1145 \text { IH 30, MESQUITE, } \\ & \text { TX, } 75150- \end{aligned}$ | unavailable | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 200 GALLONS | 07/28/2005 | located at MM 286 on I-20 in Abilene | ABILENE | TAYLOR | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Nitrogen oxide | 10 POUNDS | 07/28/2005 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel marine |  | 07/29/2005 | 1 ML N OF GARNER STATE PARK ON HWY 183 | LEAKEY | REAL | 006 - Other Substance |
| Wastewater discharge, industrial | 600 POUNDS | 07/31/2005 | Facility lies north of Nederland and south of Beaumont on the east side of Hwy 347 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic Oil | 0 BARRELS | 08/01/2005 | Hwy. 59 near MM452, $3 / 10$ mile N . of FM223, southbound side in construction area; Shepherd, Tx. | SHEPHERD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAN } \quad \text { JAC- } \\ & \text { INTO } \end{aligned}$ | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic fluid | 40 GALLONS | 08/02/2005 | MM 354 IH-35 SOUTHBOUND LN NEAR WEST, TX | WEST | MCLENNAN | 003- Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 08/02/2005 | Highway 190 westbound at the Louisiana Pacific plant entrance near Jasper, Tx. | JASPER | JASPER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 08/03/2005 | SMITH BLUFF ROAD AT HWY 347 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 08/08/2005 | HWY 80 | MIDLAND | MIDLAND | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Gas Oil |  | 08/09/2005 | near mile marker 270 on I-20, near Merkel | MERKEL | TAYLOR | 003 - Oil Minor i24B/1,000G |
| Mineral Oil with PCBs | 8 GALLONS | 08/10/2005 | 22 MILES EAST ON HWY 59 FROM LOOP 20 | LAREDO | WEBB | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Municipal Solid Waste |  | 08/10/2005 | Facility is locatd aprox 3 miles north of city of Plainview. I-27 North |  |  |  |
| Diesel fuel | FM 3183. | PLAINVIEW | HALE | 006 - Other Substance |  |  |
|  | 20 GALLONS | 08/15/2005 | Hwy. 124 to Hwy 73 turn left on Hwy. 73 go mile |  |  |  |
|  | half south | WINNIE | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ¡24B/1,000G |  |  |
| Unknown |  | 08/16/2005 | mile marker 264 on I-20, west bound lane, near Abilene | ABILENE | TAYLOR | 006 - Other Substance |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 08/17/2005 | AT THE INTERSECTION OF WAUGH DRIVE AND ALLEN PARKWAY. IN BUFFALO BAYOU. | unavailable | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wastewater discharge, municipal | 200 GALLONS | 08/21/2005 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Wastewater discharge, municipal | 200 GALLONS | 08/21/2005 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| DIESEL/GASOLINE/WA MIXTURE | IP0RGALLONS | 09/08/2005 | Highway 3655 miles south of US 90 in Nome, Tx. | NOME | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Vacuum Gas Oil | 15 GALLONS | 09/11/2005 | 10619 S US Highway 281, San Antonio, TX | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Anhydrous ammonia | 100 POUNDS | 09/12/2005 | IH 10 EASTBOUND AT COW BAYOU; ORANGE, TEXAS | unavailable | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Aviation regulated liquid,n.o.s. | 3000 POUNDS | 09/19/2005 | 240 HWY 173 N, HONDO, TX 78861 | HONDO | MEDINA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Hydraulic fluid | 26 GALLONS | 09/20/2005 | Six (6) miles south of Girvin on State Hwy 67 (Windward Windmills) | unavailable | PECOS | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Slop oil | 40 GALLONS | 09/26/2005 | 3 Miles N of Intersection of FM 509/US Hwy 281 (Military Hwy), Los Indios, TX | unavailable | CAMERON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 20 GALLONS | 10/06/2005 | INTXN OF FM 1593 \& HWY 35 EXTENDING 1.8 MI N ON E SIDE OF FM 1593 \& 1.7 MI E ON N SIDE HWY 35 | POINT COMFORT | CALHOUN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 40 GALLONS | 10/09/2005 | located on FM 2817 approximately 8 miles south of the intersection of Texas Hwy 35 and FM 2917 | ALVIN | BRAZORIA | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Diesel fuel | 150 GALLONS | 10/09/2005 | 6275 Highway 347, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 10/10/2005 |  | NATALIA | MEDINA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| UNKNOWN SUBSTANCE |  | 10/21/2005 | 10.3 MILES E OF LOOP 20 AND HWY 359 ON HWY | unavailable | WEBB | 006 - Other Substance |
|  |  |  | 359 |  |  |  |
| Chloroform | 13 POUNDS | 11/06/2005 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other material | 2310 POUNDS | 11/07/2005 | 2 MILES SOUTH OF FAIRFIELD ON IH45, FREESTONE COUNTY | FAIRFIELD | FREESTONE | unknown |
| Transformer Mineral Oil (Non-PCB) | 240 GALLONS | 11/07/2005 |  | KERRVILLE | KERR | 003 - Oil Minor $224 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Used Oil |  | 11/07/2005 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Jet Fuel | 250 GALLONS | 11/09/2005 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aqueous film forming foam (AFFF) |  | 11/14/2005 | -17599 N INTERSTATE $35, \quad$ SAN ANTONIO, TX, $78154-$ | unavailable | GUADALUPE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Crude oil light | 50 BARRELS | 11/14/2005 | 18th Street and Lubbock/Highway 87 | LAMESA | DAWSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Styrene | 1 GALLONS | 11/15/2005 | Highway 35 \& FM 524, Old Ocean, TX | SWEENY | BRAZORIA | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| JET FUEL JP-4 | 75 GALLONS | 11/16/2005 | Area off shoulder of I-10 East and Lee Trevino on ramp | unavailable | EL PASO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Nitrogen oxide | 8 PPERHOUR | 11/16/2005 | Spill-I-20 at mile marker 262 near Trent | TRENT | TAYLOR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 11/18/2005 | 1 MILE NORTH OF CITY LIMITS ON NORTH HIGHWAY 214 | DENVER CITY | YOAKUM | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 11/20/2005 | Spill-10 miles north of Quanah on Hwy 287 | unavailable | HARDEMAN | unknown |
| Herbicide: Pre-M3.3 EC Turf Herbicide | 60 GALLONS | 11/21/2005 | ABOUT 0.4 MILES N OF INTERSECTION HWY 67 AND HWY 137 | BIG LAKE | REAGAN | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Tank Bottoms | 778 BARRELS | 11/25/2005 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAN AUGUS- } \\ & \text { TINE } \end{aligned}$ | SAN AUGUSTINE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Lead |  | 11/30/2005 | HWY 90 W | SABINAL | UVALDE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Butadiene | 875 POUNDS | 12/02/2005 | 20000 Hwy 48 | BROWNSVILLE | CAMERON | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Gear Oil | 188 GALLONS | 12/02/2005 | Highway 69 south and exit 3514; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude Oil | 20 BARRELS | 12/03/2005 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| DIESEL, GASOLINE, WATER MIXTURE | 300 GALLONS | 12/05/2005 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Lead |  | 12/06/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Methyl Propyl Ketone | 175 POUNDS | 12/06/2005 | Farm to Market Road 307 and East Interstate 20, North Service road | MIDLAND | MIDLAND | 003- Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 40 GALLONS | 12/08/2005 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 002-Medium ¿24B/1,000G |

Table F.4: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2005 - Continued.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline | 9000 GALLONS | 12/09/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Mineral spirits | 400 GALLONS | 12/09/2005 | I-20 to Eastman Exit, South on Hwy 149, left on Garland Rd and left on Estes Blvd to Eastman Plant entrance. | LONGVIEW | HARRISON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Container, Unknown Contents |  | 12/10/2005 | Highway 35 \& FM 524, Old Ocean, TX | SWEENY | BRAZORIA | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 12/12/2005 | 10 MI W OF KINGSVILLE 4 MI S OF HWY 141 | KINGSVILLE | KLEBERG | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
|  |  | 12/16/2005 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Light Cycle Oil |  | 12/18/2005 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 12/19/2005 | rest area park located south of Falfurrias, Texas off Highway 281 | unavailable | BROOKS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 35 GALLONS | 12/21/2005 | IH 45 ON 500 HWY 75 | HUNTSVILLE | WALKER | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 400 GALLONS | 12/22/2005 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Formaldehyde | 20 GALLONS | 12/22/2005 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Mineral oil |  | 12/23/2005 | 10 miles north of Snyder on US Highway 84 close to FM 612 | SNYDER | SCURRY | unknown |
| Sewage |  | 12/27/2005 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Benzene | 10 POUNDS | 12/28/2005 | 24541 SOUTH EAST HWY | BRUNI | WEBB | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 12/28/2005 | Northeast intersection of Hwy 146 and Port Rd | SEABROOK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sewage | 300 GALLONS | 12/28/2005 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT <br> ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Xylene (mixed isomers) | 30 GALLONS | 12/28/2005 | 1305 S Highway 287, Decatur | DECATUR | WISE | 006-Other Substance |

Table F.5: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2006.

| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel fuel | 150 GALLONS | 01/02/2006 | Approximately a 10 acre tract between Campbellton Rd. \& IH37, San Antonio. | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}}$ |
| Other material |  | 01/09/2006 | ON INTERSECTION OF HIGHWAY 35 \& FM 524 | OLD OCEAN | BRAZORIA | $\begin{aligned} & 001 \quad-\quad \text { OIL-MAJOR } \\ & \text { i } 240 \mathrm{~B} / 10,000 \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ |
| Methanol | 10 GALLONS | 01/10/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sewage | 500 GALLONS | 01/10/2006 | 8618 State Highway 185 N, Port Lavaca, TX | PORT LAVACA | CALHOUN | 002 - Medium ¿24B/1,000G |
| Hydraulic fluid | 41 GALLONS | 01/12/2006 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | unknown |
| Hydraulic fluid | 50 GALLONS | 01/17/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Industrial waste | 10000 POUNDS | 01/17/2006 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Hydraulic Oil | 7 BARRELS | 01/18/2006 | 550 W HWY 6 ALVIN TX 77511 | ALVIN | BRAZORIA | i $240 \mathrm{~B} / 10,000 \mathrm{G}$ OIL-MAJOR |
| Ethylene (gaseous) | 1600 PPERHOUR | 01/22/2006 | 6275 Highway 347, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\ddagger 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 40 GALLONS | 01/23/2006 | 10404 IH 10 E, Baytown, TX | BAYTOWN | CHAMBERS |  |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 01/26/2006 | 6.3 M S OF HWY 16 AND HWY 1283 INTERSECTION ON HWY 1283 | unavailable | BANDERA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 120 GALLONS | 01/29/2006 | IH-10 westbound between FM 1132 and FM 1135 near Vidor, Tx. | VIDOR | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| VOC - Oxygenated |  | 01/29/2006 | Highway 63 west of Jasper, Tx. | JASPER | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 35 GALLONS | 02/02/2006 | $11 / 2$ miles west of Beaumont on Highway 90 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Unknown corrosive Liquid (DOO1) |  | 02/02/2006 | N. of Highway 12 on Highway 87, just north of Nichols Creek; near Salem, Tx. | SALEM | NEWTON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 35 GALLONS | 02/03/2006 | US 287 Service Rd at TX 101, Sunset | SUNSET | MONTAGUE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 100 GALLONS | 02/04/2006 | 8535 HWY 242 C | SPRING | MONTGOMERY | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Crude Oil |  | 02/06/2006 | Facility lies north of Nederland and south of Beaumont on the east side of Hwy 347 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Lube oil |  | 02/06/2006 | MILE MARKER 139 3/4 AT STOUTS CREEK, I-30 EAST IN HOPKINS CO | SALTILLO | HOPKINS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Aluminum chloride |  | 02/07/2006 | IH-10 EAST AT EXIT 19 | unavailable | EL PASO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 02/07/2006 | Gate 99, Intersection of Hwy 73 and Hwy 366 | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 02/08/2006 | US 283 near Rockwood | ROCKWOOD | COLEMAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |



| Table F.5: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2006 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Used Oil | 50 GALLONS | 03/01/2006 | 2.7 MILES SOUTH OF LANELY ON HIGHWAY 489, OFF WEST SIDE OF HIGHWAY OR FROM DEW, GO EAST ON HIGHWAY 489 APPROXIMATELY 6 MILES TO HIGHWAY 1848 SOUTH, DRIVE APPROXIMATELY 3 MILES, THE PLANT WILL BE ON THE RIGHT | LANELY | FREESTONE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Nitrogen oxide | 11 POUNDS | 03/02/2006 | Intersection Highway 105 and Keith Road; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Used Oil | 30 GALLONS | 03/06/2006 | HIGHWAY 171 NEAR MALONE | MALONE | HILL | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other material |  | 03/10/2006 | INTX SH79 \& SH251 | OLNEY | YOUNG | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Benzene |  | 03/20/2006 | Hwy 103 E, Lufkin, TX | LUFKIN | ANGELINA | 006 - Other Substance |
| $\begin{array}{lr} \text { DIESEL } & \text { OIL } \\ \# 2 / \text { GUAR GUM } & \end{array}$ | 65 GALLONS | 03/23/2006 | "2759 Battleground Rd, Ste C, Deer Park, TX <br> IHW- 2759 BATTLEGROUND RD, DEER PARK, TX, 77536" | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Oil and grease | 20 GALLONS | 03/23/2006 | Eastbound I-10 at Mile Marker 317, Fort Stockton | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FORT STOCK- } \\ & \text { TON } \end{aligned}$ | PECOS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Trichloroethylene | 600 GALLONS | 03/27/2006 | 2.1 miles east of intersection Hwy. 105 \& SH 720 northside of 105 near Batson, Tx. | BATSON | HARDIN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Phosphoric acid |  | 03/28/2006 | 10658 Highway 90 W, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Mercaptans |  | 04/03/2006 | 1100 SAN DIEGO HIGHWAY IN ALICE TEXAS | ALICE | JIM WELLS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Nonane |  | 04/05/2006 | Facility lies north of Nederland and south of Beaumont on the east side of Hwy 347 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| ODORS |  | 04/07/2006 | 10658 Highway 90 W, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 04/18/2006 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| CARBON BLACK | 190 GALLONS | 04/20/2006 | State Highway 105; Evadale, Tx. | EVADALE | JASPER | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other |  | 04/20/2006 | 3 MILES W OF PAMPA ON US HIGHWAY 60 GRAY COUNTY TEXAS | PAMPA | GRAY | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Gasoline | 35 GALLONS | 04/21/2006 | HWY 225 AT BATTLEGROUND ROAD | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude Oil | 7 BARRELS | 04/30/2006 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Naphtha | 1 GALLONS | 04/30/2006 | 10319 HIGHWAY 146 | MONT BELVIEU | CHAMBERS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Table F.5: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2006 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Oil and grease |  | 05/01/2006 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Oil and grease | 75 GALLONS | 05/03/2006 | 0.25 MILE NORHT OF HWY 380 ON HWY 101 | BRIDGEPORT | WISE | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 05/04/2006 | State Hwy 361-1.5 miles SE of intersection of SH 361 and SH 35 near the city of Gregory in San Patricio County. | GREGORY | SAN PATRICIO | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Sodium hydroxide | 22600 POUNDS | 05/04/2006 | 6240 S HIGHWAY 77 RIVIERA <br> TX 783793596 | unavailable | KLEBERG | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel marine | 10 GALLONS | 05/05/2006 | northeast of the intersection of hwy 75 and Interstate 635 in Dallas | DALLAS | DALLAS | 006 - Other Substance |
| Mineral oil |  | 05/05/2006 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Wastewater discharge, municipal | 250000 GALLONS | 05/06/2006 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Diesel fuel | 75 GALLONS | 05/07/2006 | Highway 73 to Labelle Rd. go approx. 5 miles N. to dirt road and right through black metal gate to pump station. | LABELLE | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel 2-D | 15 GALLONS | 05/07/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 60 GALLONS | 05/10/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Used Oil | 220 GALLONS | 05/11/2006 | Interstate 10 westbound, Exit 876 access road; Orange, Tx. | ORANGE | ORANGE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Waste oil |  | 05/11/2006 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| OIL | 300 GALLONS | 05/16/2006 | ${ }_{347}$ SMITH BLUFF ROAD AT HWY | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Oil and grease | 20 GALLONS | 05/17/2006 | IH-10, just east of the Neches River bridge; near Vidor, Tx. | VIDOR | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 05/20/2006 | 6240 S HIGHWAY 77 RIVIERA <br> TX 783793596 | unavailable | KLEBERG | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Phenol | 50 GALLONS | 05/25/2006 | 3301 S Highway 157, Euless, TX | EULESS | TARRANT | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lr} \text { DIESEL } & \text { OIL } \\ \# 2 / \text { GUAR GUM } & \end{array}$ | 100 GALLONS | 05/30/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 06/03/2006 | Hwy 87 and 1200 16th St, Orange, TX | ORANGE | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel |  | 06/04/2006 | HWY 347 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic Oil |  | 06/04/2006 | ON HWY 359 E AT SOUTH TEXAS OIL \& GAS INDUSTRIAL PARK | LAREDO | WEBB | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNKNOWN } \\ & \text { STANCE } \end{aligned}$ | 100 GALLONS | 06/05/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |


| Table F.5: Spill data from TCEQ for spill incidents occurring in 2006 - Continued. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| Diesel fuel | 20 GALLONS | 06/07/2006 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { DIESEL } & \text { OIL } \\ \# 2 / \text { GUAR GUM }\end{array}$ | 20 GALLONS | 06/07/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Waste oil |  | 06/09/2006 | 1 Gulf States Hwy, Beaumont, TX | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 006 - Other Substance |
| DIESEL/GASOLINE/W MIXTURE | THERGALLONS | 06/12/2006 | US Hwy 287, 1 mile east of Childress | CHILDRESS | HARDEMAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 150 GALLONS | 06/14/2006 | Hwy 87 and 1200 16th St, Orange, TX | ORANGE | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Latex paint | 40 GALLONS | 06/15/2006 | 20600 HIGHWAY 290 | CYPRESS | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i}^{24 \mathrm{~B}}$ /1,000G |
| Other Organics |  | 06/15/2006 | 6275 Highway 347, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Phenol | 350 GALLONS | 06/15/2006 | Jade Road at Highway 73; Port Arthur, Tx. | unavailable | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral oil | 316 GALLONS | 06/18/2006 | Hwy 87 and 1200 16th St, Orange, TX | ORANGE | ORANGE | SARA Title III |
| Sulfuric acid | 100 GALLONS | 06/20/2006 | Facility lies north of Nederland and south of Beaumont on the east side of Hwy 347 | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Nitrogen oxide | 10 POUNDS | 06/21/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Slop oil | 6 BARRELS | 06/22/2006 | Interstate Highway 10 curve westbound at MLK exit; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diethyl amine (DEA) | 209 POUNDS | 06/29/2006 | 4.5 MILES EAST OF BASTROP ON STATE HIGHWAY 21. 256 Power Plant Rd | BASTROP | BASTROP | 006-Other Substance |
| Other |  | 06/30/2006 | State Hwy 361-1.5 miles SE of intersection of SH 361 and SH 35 near the city of Gregory in San Patricio County. | GREGORY | SAN PATRICIO | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| $\begin{array}{lr} \text { DIESEL } & \text { OIL } \\ \# 2 / \text { GUAR GUM } \end{array}$ | 40 GALLONS | 07/02/2006 | I-40 access road between mile marker 110 and 111 at the bridge, at Groom, Texas | GROOM | CARSON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude Oil | 2 BARRELS | 07/03/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003- Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydrogen cyanide | 10 POUNDS | 07/03/2006 | Highway 96 north of Lumberton, Tx. southbound lane. | LUMBERTON | HARDIN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Mineral Oil with PCBs | 300 GALLONS | 07/03/2006 | BRAZOS RIVER AT STATE HWY 79, MILAM COUNTY | unavailable | MILAM | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 90 GALLONS | 07/05/2006 | HWY 69 | KOUNTZE | HARDIN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 07/06/2006 | 7350 INTERSTATE HWY 37 | CORPUS CHRISTI | NUECES | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 70 GALLONS | 07/13/2006 | HWY 87 2.5 MI E OF SABINE PASS | SABINE PASS | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 07/15/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 07/16/2006 | 6275 Highway 347, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 \mathrm{~B}} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Paint waste |  | 07/19/2006 | ON INTERSECTION OF HIGHWAY 35 \& FM 524 | OLD OCEAN | BRAZORIA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Water (H2O) | 6300 GALLONS | 07/24/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Saltwater | 20 GALLONS | 07/25/2006 | 0.5 miles from intersection of Hwy 347 and Hwy 366 on Hwy 366 | NEDERLAND | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 07/25/2006 | HWY 225 AT BATTLEGROUND ROAD | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 70 GALLONS | 08/13/2006 | APPROX. 0.25 MILE NORTH OF INTERSECTION OF HWY 21 \& OLD LOCKHART RD IN CALDWELL COUNTY | BUDA | CALDWELL | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Milk | 6250 GALLONS | 08/14/2006 | IH-10, just east of the Neches River bridge; near Vidor, Tx. | VIDOR | ORANGE | 006 - Other Substance |
| Hydraulic fluid | 8 GALLONS | 08/15/2006 | Interstate Highway 10 Exit 849 near Walden Rd.; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Water (H2O) |  | 08/16/2006 | 5900 Hwy 225 | DEER PARK | HARRIS | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Other material | 50 GALLONS | 08/18/2006 | 240 HWY 173 N, HONDO, TX 78861 | HONDO | MEDINA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 08/20/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Benzene | 30 POUNDS | 08/21/2006 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil | 54 BARRELS | 08/21/2006 | LOCATED APPROX. 5500 FT. NORTH OF THE CENTER OF THE CITY OF TROY AND LYING BETWEEN IH 35 AND MKT RAILROAD | TROY | BELL | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 484 GALLONS | 08/30/2006 | Highway 59; 2-3 miles south of Diboll, Tx. | DIBOLL | ANGELINA | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 24B/1,000G |
| Crude Oil | 500 GALLONS | 09/02/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| OILY SUBSTANCE |  | 09/03/2006 | I 20 \& CHERRY LANE CLYDE, TX 79510 ( 705 S Access Rd) | CLYDE | CALLAHAN | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Other |  | 09/03/2006 | 5 miles Southeast of Colorado City off Hwy 163 | COLORADO CITY | MITCHELL | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 80 GALLONS | 09/06/2006 | 5500 State Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other material | 210 GALLONS | 09/09/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sulfuric acid | 0 GALLONS | 09/10/2006 | I 20 \& EXIT 370, NORTHWEST CORNER OF IH 20 W AND HWY 919 | GORDON | PALO PINTO | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morpholine |  | 09/13/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Sulfuric acid | 117 GALLONS | 09/14/2006 | 7901 NORTH HIGHWAY 136 | AMARILLO | POTTER | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Nitrogen oxide | 181 POUNDS | 09/15/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 50 GALLONS | 09/18/2006 | 24 miles South of Lubbock on Highway 87 | TAHOKA | LYNN | 006 - Other Substance |
| Diesel fuel | 65 GALLONS | 09/20/2006 | HWY 190 ABOUT 1 MILE WEST OF POINT BLANK | POINT BLANK | SAN JACINTO | 004 Hazardous Material Major |
| Motor oil | 5 GALLONS | 09/20/2006 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{2} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 65 GALLONS | 09/21/2006 | FROM LIVINGSTON, TX. ON HWY. 190 TO HWY 980 TURN RT. GO N. 2-3 MILES TO SUBDIVISION:OUTLAW RIDGE MAIN ENTRANCE TURN LEFT ON MAIN DRIVE TOWARDS BACK END OF SUBDIVISION TO MOTALLO THEN TO BISHOP, TURN LEFT ON JONES RD. LOT 16 ON LEFT SIDE EDGE OF CREEK. | POINT BLANK | SAN JACINTO | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| UNKNOWN SUBSTANCE | 6 GALLONS | 09/21/2006 | 770 W IH 35 MCARTHUR, IRVING, TX, 75240 | unavailable | DALLAS | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Used Oil | 30 GALLONS | 09/22/2006 | US 69 on northbound side, passed Fannett Rd.; . 5 miles north, Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Oil and grease |  | 09/25/2006 | 50 miles NE Hwy.692 from Burkeville, Tx. | unavailable | NEWTON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 09/25/2006 | IH 45 SOUTH AT 60 MILE MARKER | unavailable | HARRIS | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 25 GALLONS | 09/26/2006 | ABOUT 8 MI S OF SONORA ON HWY 277 | SONORA | SUTTON | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Lube oil | 250 GALLONS | 09/29/2006 | Hwy 87 and 1200 16th St, Orange, TX | ORANGE | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor ${ }^{\text {2 } 24 B / 1,000 G}$ |
| Used Oil | 42 GALLONS | 10/03/2006 | 12300 West Interstate 20 East, Mile Marker 125, Midland | MIDLAND | MIDLAND | unknown |
| 2,4,-D Esters | 130 GALLONS | 10/07/2006 | I-20 AND MCCART | FORT WORTH | TARRANT | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Diesel fuel | 120 GALLONS | 10/10/2006 | 6001 Highway 366, Port Neches, TX | PORT NECHES | JEFFERSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| DIESEL/GASOLINE/W MIXTURE | THERGALLONS | 10/16/2006 | 9010 IH 10 E | CONVERSE | BEXAR | 003 - Oil Minor $124 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |


| Material | Volume | Date | Physical Location | City | County | Hazardous Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naptha | 20 GALLONS | 10/16/2006 | Interstate Highway 10 westbound near MM 870 and Cole Creek; Orange, Tx. | ORANGE | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Waste oil |  | 10/17/2006 | WEST OF PORT ARTHUR TEXAS ON STATE HWY 87 TOWARD SABINE PASS | PORT ARTHUR | JEFFERSON | SARA Title III |
| Hydraulic fluid | 40 GALLONS | 10/20/2006 | LOCATED 1 MILE NW OF THE JUNCTION OF HWY 619 AND HWY 696 | unavailable | BASTROP | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
|  |  | 10/20/2006 | IH-10 W OF EXIT 843 AT DRAINAGE CULVERT NEAR BEAUMONT | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 30 GALLONS | 10/21/2006 | 1604 \& IH 10 INTERSECTION | CONVERSE | BEXAR | unknown |
| Diesel fuel | 90 GALLONS | 10/23/2006 | ON STATE HWY. SPUR 119 N.; 1 MI. N. OF THE PRAIRIE ST. INTRSCTN. | BORGER | HUTCHINSON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| DIESEL/GASOLINE/WATIFB GALLONS MIXTURE |  | 10/24/2006 | 6275 Highway 347, Beaumont, TX | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Unknown or other oil |  | 10/26/2006 | 26995 Highway 281 N, San Antonio | SAN ANTONIO | BEXAR | 006 - Other Substance |
| Transformer Mineral Oil <br> (Non-PCB) | 15 GALLONS | 10/27/2006 | From Galveston take I-45 north. Exist State Hwy 3 going Northeast. Exist off Looop 197 going Northeast. Plant is off Loop 197. 1320 LOOP 197 South | TEXAS CITY | GALVESTON | 005 - Hazardous Material Minor |
| Other Organics | 250 POUNDS | 11/06/2006 | 5625 Old Hwy 90, Orange, TX | unavailable | ORANGE | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Hydraulic Oil | 20 GALLONS | 11/07/2006 | 12091 HWY 105 EAST | CONROE | MONTGOMERY | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Jet Fuel | 80 GALLONS | 11/10/2006 | ON INTERSECTION OF HIGHWAY 35 \& FM 524 | OLD OCEAN | BRAZORIA | 006 - Other Substance |
| Mineral oil | 1 GALLONS | 11/27/2006 | Intersection of Refinery Rd. and IH 20 East | BIG SPRING | HOWARD | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude Oil | 200 BARRELS | 11/29/2006 | US 59 SOUTHBOUND INTERSECTION OF I-69 LUFKIN TX | LUFKIN | ANGELINA | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Diesel fuel | 90 GALLONS | 12/08/2006 | Interstate Highway 10 westbound at MM 845; Beaumont, Tx. | BEAUMONT | JEFFERSON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathfrak{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Crude Oil | 30 BARRELS | 12/11/2006 | Intersection of Hwy 366 and 32 street | GROVES | JEFFERSON | 004 Hazardous Material Ma- jor |
| Other | 900 GALLONS | 12/11/2006 | FM 16850.75 MILE E OF INTERSECTION OF FM 1686 AND STATE HIGHWAY 404 | VICTORIA | VICTORIA | 006 - Other Substance |
| OIL | 1 GALLONS | 12/13/2006 | STATE HIGHWAY 77 SOUTHBOUND BETWEEN LINE M ROAD AND FM 732 IN SAN BENITO | unavailable | CAMERON | 003 - Oil Minor $\mathrm{i} 24 \mathrm{~B} / 1,000 \mathrm{G}$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See http://www.google.com.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The technical memorandum documenting the results of the literature review was dated 31 August 2007.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ToxNet database, located at http://www.toxnet.nlm.nih.gov at the time of this writing, was used as a resource in identifying and understanding some of the chemicals reported in the spill incident database.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.phmsa.dot.gov/portal/site/PHMSA/menuitem.ebdc7a8a7e39f2e55cf2031050248a0c/?vgnextoid= ebfeca57e196d110VgnVCM1000009ed07898RCRD\&vgnextchannel=d248724dd7d6c010VgnVCM10000080e8a8c0RCRD\&vgnextfmt= print, visited October 14, 2010.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Used for example only; not a product recommendation or endorsement.
    ${ }^{4}$ Used for example only; not a product recommendation or endorsement.
    ${ }^{5}$ Michael Pettibon, environmental specialist, personal communication undated.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Jim Busby, Safety-HAZMAT Coordinator, personal communication, undated.
    ${ }^{7}$ Jay Tullos, environmental co-coordinator, personal communication, undated.
    ${ }^{8}$ Ricardo Flores, San Antonio district office, personal communication, undated.
    ${ }^{9}$ Rodney Concienne, Pollution, Prevention, and Abatement branch, personal communication, undated.
    ${ }^{10}$ Environmental Specialist, Environmental Affairs Division, undated personal communication.
    ${ }^{11}$ Environmental co-ordinator, Corpus Christi District, undated personal communication.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ The 2010 training schedule (and other information) is presented on the NCDOT website, http://www.ncdot.gov/ doh/operations/materials/tschedule10/nsahmtschool.html, visited October 15, 2010.
    ${ }^{13}$ http://www.deq. state.or.us/pubs/reports.htm visited October 15, 2010.
    ${ }^{14}$ The document comprises one page of response contractors, and is located at http://www.superfund.utah.gov/ docs/resource.pdf, visited on October 15, 2010.
    ${ }^{15}$ Templates and guidance documents are available on the WSDOT website, http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/ Environment/HazMat/SpillPrevention.htm visited on October 15, 2010.

[^7]:    ${ }^{16}$ Such as BioSolve and other materials.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Additional discussion is presented in Appendix A.

[^9]:    ${ }^{2}$ TCEQ maintains spill incident records back to 1972. However, analysis of the entire historical record was beyond the scope of this project. Therefore, analysis was limited to the record years from 2002-2006, a period of record of five years.
    ${ }^{3}$ During discussions with the project management team, these areas were termed "hot spots" - however, that terminology was not used in this report because of the negative connotation of the term.

[^10]:    ${ }^{4}$ Liquids with a specific gravity of about 1 (comprising mostly water) were included with the heavies for statistical analysis.
    ${ }^{5}$ Oils are also less dense than water.
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ volume of $10,000-20,000$ gallons corresponds to between about $1,350-2,700 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{7}$ In general, a reasonable level of significance is on the order of five percent.
    ${ }^{8}$ Areas with apparent greater frequency of spill incidents were originally termed "hotspots" during the duration of the project. This term is probably not appropriate and no analysis was done to determine whether identified areas were subject to an increased frequency of spill incidents based on traffic loads or other metrics. Such an analysis would probably be useful if data are available for analysis. However, such data were not available during the course of this research project.
    ${ }^{9}$ "Location" is not a precise term in this context. The locations mapped in this section of the report are more appropriate for additional study for application of hazardous materials spill traps as appropriate.

[^12]:    ${ }^{10}$ A more detailed table is presented in Appendix B as Table B.1.
    ${ }^{11}$ A more detailed presentation of spill-site data is presented in Appendix B in Tables B.2-B. 6
    ${ }^{12}$ More detailed information about the spills in the DFW spill areas are presented in Appendix B in Tables B.7-B.13.

[^13]:    ${ }^{13}$ More detailed information about the spills in the Houston spill areas are presented in Appendix F in Tables B.14B. 16 .
    ${ }^{14}$ More detailed information about the spills in the San Antonio spill areas are presented in Appendix F in Tables B.17-B.20.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Crash Records Information System database is managed by the Traffic Operations Division, Crash Records Section of TxDOT. It is appropriate for this newly available database to be reviewed to determine whether it contains data appropriate for analysis of spill incidents.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sec.34-960, City of San Antonio Uniform Development Code, available on the internet at http://www.municode. com/resources/gateway.asp?pid=14228\&sid=43 at the time of this writing.
    ${ }^{2}$ Design criteria for stormwater treatment vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, with some specifying the design criteria in terms of the likelihood of the precipitation event and some in terms of first flush. The former sometimes specify that the runoff from 90 percent of events be trapped and treated. The latter typically specify a depth of runoff or the runoff from a specific depth of precipitation. The intent is generally to trap and treat the runoff from the most common hydrologic events.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sec.34-965 and following.

[^16]:    ${ }^{4}$ On the internet at http://www.amlegal.com/austin_nxt2/gateway.dll?f=templates\&fn=default.htm\&vid= amlegal:austin_drainage at the time of this writing. This site works correctly only with Internet Explorer; other browsers will not display the contents.
    ${ }^{5}$ On the internet at http://www.amlegal.com/austin_nxt2/gateway.dll?f=templates\&fn=default.htm\&vid= amlegal:austin_environment at the time of this writing. This site works correctly only with Internet Explorer; other browsers will not display the contents.
    ${ }^{6}$ From Section 1.6.2 of the environmental criteria manual.
    ${ }^{7}$ In some jurisdictions, rooftops are not included in the impervious area computations. City of Austin is an exception.

[^17]:    ${ }^{8}$ The web site for development design criteria was http://www.fortworthgov.org/tpw/info/default.aspx?id= 26178 at the time of this writing.
    ${ }^{9}$ The web site for the stormwater control design procedures was http://iswm.nctcog.org/Documents/Site_ Development_Manual.asp at the time of this writing.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ ConTech Construction Products, Inc. http://www.contech-cpi.com

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Young and Graziano (1989) report is cited in the San Antonio Water Quality Standards. The Young and Graziano report is out of print, but a copy was obtained (by chance, it appears) from GKY and Associates.
    ${ }^{2}$ Equation D. 1 results from direct application of the energy equation.

[^20]:    ${ }^{3}$ Equation D. 7 can also be solved for $c$. The result is

    $$
    c=\sqrt{\frac{2}{g}} \frac{A}{a T}\left(h_{0}^{0.5}-h^{0.5}\right) .
    $$

    ${ }^{4}$ This might have been presented in the literature, but no literature search was conducted to determine if the result is published.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ An MIT of 24-hours seems reasonable because construction activities are generally undertaken on a daily basis. Choice of a different MIT will impact resulting computations. However, determination of appropriate MIT is an analyst decision and should take into consideration factors appropriate to the topic under consideration.

[^22]:    ${ }^{2}$ The mean interevent time from this computation is 6.91 days. However, the storm interevent time from Table E. 1 is 6.40 days. The difference is attributable to the mean duration of the storm event, which is implied to be $6.91-6.40=0.51$ days, or about 12 hours.
    ${ }^{3}$ This means application of Equation E. 1 for the median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile) is work that is not required. That is, if the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile is desired, use the mean, $730.5 / 6.91=106$ events, is appropriate. However, the result of this example is implicit in Example 1 of PP1725, which uses the $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile, and so is presented here.

[^23]:    ${ }^{4}$ The library lmomco is not part of the standard R libraries and requires external installation. The lmomco library is available from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (http://cran.r-project.org/), where instruction for downloading and installation are presented.
    ${ }^{5}$ In addition, about one-half month ( 15 days) of working during precipitation events ( 105 events with precipitation less 90 days of 0.10 inches or more of precipitation) is also anticipated.

