

Criteria	Ratings					
<p>Critical Thinking: Did you write your post so that it shows you are thinking about the content specific to your own experience, perspective, and prior knowledge? Your post should be distinguishable from your colleagues' posts in its expression.</p>	<p>10.0 pts Exemplary Deep thought is evident. Response is unique to knowledge and personal experience, and advances the discussion of the class.</p>	<p>9.0 pts Accomplished Some elements of deep thought, but posts (1) show that more effort could have been made to think beyond the course content or (2) do not advance the understanding of the class.</p>	<p>8.0 pts Developing Some elements of deep thought, but post relies heavily on course content rather than on original thought.</p>	<p>7.0 pts Beginning A weak attempt at critical thinking. Resembles a regurgitation of course content without much inquiry.</p>	<p>6.0 pts Lacking Lack of critical thinking all together. Response may just have been submitted to turn something in, and does not indicate deep thought.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No submission</p>
<p>Community: How are you contributing in meaningful ways to a community of learning through your posts?</p>	<p>10.0 pts Exemplary Posts strongly support class community by giving others opportunity to interact and learn from them. Classmates' questions are answered and/or insightful comments are directed to them. Number of posts exceed minimal requirement, if applicable.</p>	<p>9.0 pts Accomplished All requirements met, including initial post and number of replies. Conscious effort to support the learning of others. More than 1 reply to a peer helps to further the discussion.</p>	<p>8.0 pts Developing All requirements met. However, most reflections are not substantial enough to develop the understanding of your peers. Limited attempts to share in the responsibilities of the learning community.</p>	<p>7.0 pts Beginning Posts not submitted in time for adequate discussion. Peer interaction not intentional enough to enhance community learning. Comments to peers echo what was already written.</p>	<p>6.0 pts Lacking Initial post submitted too close to the final due date to allow for discussion. Responses to peers are not helpful.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No submission</p>
<p>Evidence of Understanding: How attentively did you engage with course content related to this discussion? If your answer resembles the course material too closely in its delivery, it may not show enough evidence of your own understanding.</p>	<p>10.0 pts Exemplary Thorough reading, watching, and/or listening of course materials demonstrated. Course content plus additional research can be identified. Good clarity of thought.</p>	<p>9.0 pts Accomplished Basic requirements of the assignment met. Understanding of the content is above average and shows clarity and coherence of thought.</p>	<p>8.0 pts Developing Basic requirements of the assignment met. However, there is not a sense of personalized interaction with course content.</p>	<p>7.0 pts Beginning Basic requirements of the assignment not met. More time was needed in digesting content before contributing to the discussion.</p>	<p>6.0 pts Lacking Evidences only vague understanding of the course material, coupled with irrelevant information.</p>	<p>0.0 pts No submission</p>

