

			Question Text	N	Avg	Col Avg	Sch Avg	Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
5	AFS ANSC 3403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	62	4.1	4.5	4.5	39%	39%	18%	2%	3%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
6	AFS ANSC 3403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	61	3.9	4.4	4.3	38%	30%	18%	10%	5%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
7	AFS ANSC 3403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	61	3.7	4.4	4.3	34%	30%	20%	8%	8%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D1 Instructor followed specified objectives (Legako)	22	4.6	4.5	4.5	73%	18%	5%	5%	0%
2	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D2 Instructor was effective (Legako)	22	4.5	4.4	4.4	68%	18%	9%	0%	5%
3	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D3 Course was valuable learning experience (Legako)	22	4.3	4.3	4.3	59%	27%	5%	5%	5%
4	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D4 Instructor interaction was appropriate (Legako)	22	4.2	4.3	4.3	50%	27%	14%	9%	0%

5	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D5 Technology used was appropriate (Legako)	22	4.3	4.4	4.4	59%	27%	5%	5%	5%
6	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D6 Information available to help solve technical problems (Legako)	22	4.2	4.3	4.3	50%	23%	23%	5%	0%
7	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D7 Effective documentation to access electronic material (Legako)	22	4.4	4.4	4.5	59%	27%	9%	5%	0%
8	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D8 Smooth registration for online/distance education (Legako)	22	4.6	4.5	4.5	64%	32%	5%	0%	0%
9	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D9 Advising available/adequate (Legako)	22	4.4	4.3	4.3	59%	18%	23%	0%	0%
1	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D1 Instructor followed specified objectives (Legako)	18	4.6	4.5	4.5	67%	28%	0%	6%	0%
2	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D2 Instructor was effective (Legako)	18	4.6	4.4	4.4	67%	28%	0%	6%	0%
3	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D3 Course was valuable learning experience (Legako)	18	4.4	4.3	4.3	67%	22%	6%	0%	6%
4	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D4 Instructor interaction was appropriate (Legako)	18	4.4	4.3	4.3	61%	28%	6%	6%	0%
5	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D5 Technology used was appropriate (Legako)	18	4.8	4.4	4.4	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%

6	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D6 Information available to help solve technical problems (Legako)	18	4.5	4.3	4.3	67%	17%	17%	0%	0%
7	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D7 Effective documentation to access electronic material (Legako)	18	4.7	4.4	4.5	78%	17%	0%	6%	0%
8	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D8 Smooth registration for online/distance education (Legako)	18	4.7	4.5	4.5	78%	17%	0%	6%	0%
9	AFS ANSC 3403 D01	Jerrad Legako	D9 Advising available/adequate (Legako)	18	4.5	4.3	4.3	61%	28%	11%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	8	4.9	4.5	4.5	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	8	4.9	4.4	4.3	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	8	4.6	4.4	4.3	75%	13%	13%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D1 Instructor followed specified objectives	2	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

2	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D2 Instructor was effective	2	5	4.4	4.4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D3 Course was valuable learning experience	2	5	4.3	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
4	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D4 Instructor interaction was appropriate	2	5	4.3	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D5 Technology used was appropriate	2	5	4.4	4.4	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
6	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D6 Information available to help solve technical problems	2	5	4.3	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
7	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D7 Effective documentation to access electronic material	2	5	4.4	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
8	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D8 Smooth registration for online/distance education	2	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
9	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Bradley Johnson	D9 Advising available/adequate	2	5	4.3	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
11	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	2	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree

12	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	2	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
13	AFS ANSC 5304 D01	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	2	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS ANSC 5401 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	3	3.7	4.5	4.5	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS ANSC 5401 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	3	4.3	4.4	4.3	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS ANSC 5401 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	3	4.7	4.4	4.3	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS ANSC 5401 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	3	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS ANSC 5401 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	3	4.7	4.4	4.3	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS ANSC 5401 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	3	4.7	4.4	4.3	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D1 Instructor followed specified objectives	3	4.7	4.5	4.5	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
2	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D2 Instructor was effective	3	4.3	4.4	4.4	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
3	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D3 Course was valuable learning experience	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
4	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D4 Instructor interaction was appropriate	3	4	4.3	4.3	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%
5	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D5 Technology used was appropriate	3	4	4.4	4.4	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%
6	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D6 Information available to help solve technical problems	3	4	4.3	4.3	67%	0%	0%	33%	0%
7	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D7 Effective documentation to access electronic material	3	4	4.4	4.5	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%
8	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D8 Smooth registration for online/distance education	3	4.3	4.5	4.5	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%
9	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	D9 Advising available/adequate	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree

11	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	3	4.7	4.5	4.5	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
12	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	3	4.3	4.4	4.3	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
13	AFS ANSC 5401 100	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	3	4.7	4.4	4.3	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	7	4.7	4.5	4.5	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	7	4.7	4.4	4.3	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	7	4.7	4.4	4.3	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	4	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	4	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	4	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	5	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	5	4.8	4.4	4.3	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4402 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	5	4.8	4.4	4.3	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	4	4.3	4.4	4.3	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	4	4.3	4.4	4.3	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	4	4.8	4.5	4.5	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	4	4.8	4.4	4.3	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	4	4.8	4.4	4.3	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	9	4.7	4.5	4.5	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	9	4.3	4.4	4.3	56%	22%	22%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	9	4.4	4.4	4.3	67%	11%	22%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	1	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	1	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 4403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	1	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 5402 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	3	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 5402 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	3	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 5402 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	3	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 5402 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	5	4.8	4.5	4.5	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 5402 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	5	4.4	4.4	4.3	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 5402 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	5	4.6	4.4	4.3	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	3	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	3	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	3	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	2	5	4.5	4.5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	2	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	2	5	4.4	4.3	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	8	4.9	4.5	4.5	88%	13%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	8	4.8	4.4	4.3	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	8	4.8	4.4	4.3	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
1	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	1 Instructor followed course objectives (Legako)	3	4.7	4.5	4.5	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%

								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
2	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	2 Overall Instructor effectiveness (Legako)	3	4.7	4.4	4.3	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
								Str Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Str Disagree
3	AFS FDSC 5403 1	Jerrad Legako	3 Course a valuable learning experience (Legako)	3	4.7	4.4	4.3	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%

Text Responses

Comments instructor or course

(Jerrad Legako) This has to be one of the worst experiences I have ever had with a class. The four professor system was absolutely horrendous. Nobody in the class knew who to contact, there was no TA emails listed, additionally there was so many TAs running around teaching parts of this course one wouldn't even know which one to contact if we DID have their information. Much of the material was repeated, it was impossible to study correctly for exams as each professor taught so differently and created exams differently. Much of the information was repeated, and some things that I have come to find out that had been constantly repeated wasn't even important information. I was very disappointed with this class, as it is my last semester at Tech and I was hoping for a wonderful experience leaving. I will say that the labs for the most part were informational and engaging, and the TAs did a great job. My main disappointment from this class is how it was structured and the information that was provided. Dr. Woerner did the best out of all of the professors, and was the only one who seemed to truly care about his students success. I really hope this class changes for future students and everybody does a review, because I know the majority of the class was incredibly upset as well. This class could have been an enjoyable, learning experience. Instead, it has been an extreme headache and hard to do successful in. If this adds to any of my previous comments - this class would be the class keeping me from graduating this semester. Hugely disappointed. The only professor that deserves any credit for this class is Woerner, and I hope he receives it.

(Jerrad Legako) he was an alright teacher I mean I liked him it was nice because he went at a normal pace then Miller and he actually taught the class

(Jerrad Legako) This course had very few strengths. 4 professors was a very poor decision especially since we did not see Dr. Miller the entire time he was supposed to teach. The grad students and Dr. Woerner were the most effective instructors out of the entire course. Professors also did poorly at replying to emails. This class absolutely should not have 4 professors. This classes professor should also think about doing at least a review before an exam rather than doing nothing. There is too much material in this course to not help your students out by giving extra help to assist in retaining information.

(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako is a great professor and he always was available for questions whether it be in class or via email. I do think he legitimately wants his students to learn! This semester we had some difficulties in the lab, which can be frustrating having to go back and do the experiments for a second and sometimes even a third time. But all in all, the course is a valuable learning experience.

(Jerrad Legako) I really enjoyed this class. The material covered was interesting and it is easy to see that Dr. Legako has a passion for Food Science and does a good job teaching this class. He was always available and open to any questions and answered emails very quickly. The only thing that I found if done differently could enhance the learning experience of the class was the lab format. Sometimes the lab handouts were confusing and the directions given by the lab TA would be different in comparison to the lab handout. Reading the lab handouts before coming to class did not always help the lab run smoothly. But besides this aspect it was a very good class. Even the labs, despite the sometimes confusing directions, were helpful to the overall learning experience and coincided with the class well.

(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako sees you as both a student and a person. This past semester was exhausting and took a considerable toll on my mental health as I struggled with the workload that I brought upon myself from my classes and extracurricular activities. However, Dr. Legako was very understanding and worked with me to see that I understood all of the concepts taught in class and got everything done. I am incredibly grateful. I really appreciated the guided notes format of the course because it allowed me to take notes at the same pace they were written on the board and listen at the same time. The applications of this course are very relevant as well. Using the last several labs to conduct a proximate analysis of a food product and create a nutrition label was really cool and has made me consider a career in the laboratory. Overall, a great course!
(Jerrad Legako) I genuinely enjoyed this course! I love learning about macromolecules and the format of this course just made sense.
(Jerrad Legako) This course was very hard, and I did not like having 4 different professors. In the first unit which was the most challenging, the labs were mostly just more lectures, and I wish we would have had weekly quizzes or something just so we had homework to continue to review the material. Studying for exams just felt like cramming and was very overwhelming because there was so much new information. I graduate in May and am actually very concerned and worried for my grade... I can't stay another semester just for this class, Im not a meat science major. It was interesting information, but it wont be relevant to me in my future career and is not worth stressing over graduation for.
(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako is a nice guy just very hard to pay attention to during class. Also, the lack of any concentration in studying for the exam made the task of "knowing everything" harder. I never missed a class and felt underprepared with extensive notes and hours of studying.
(Jerrad Legako) I know this course was taught different in the past but I enjoyed the format of this class. I like each of the professors and TAs and thought that each contributed their knowledge to best teach this course. Having different professors made this course well rounded and more interesting.
(Jerrad Legako) My only suggestion would be to try to bring in current popular topics more. This helps me understand why topics are important, especially when this is not my field of study.
(Jerrad Legako) I enjoyed the material of this course, however, it was somewhat difficult having 4 professors and 4+ additional TAs teach the material. They all have different teaching styles and exams. If the teaching styles and exam formats were made the same, I think the number of professors would be beneficial. It was hard to know what to expect from each lecture and lab. Dr. Legako was a great person, but it was often difficult to stay engaged in lectures because he mostly read from the slides.
(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako is an excellent professor that is able to accommodate each lesson to the class. He kept a great pace when going through notes and was able to fully explain what was to be learned from both the lab and lecture. Any outside questions or concerns would always be addressed and answered. In relation to this, I felt comfortable asking questions of having discussions with Dr. Legako throughout the semester with any course content.
(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako did a great job explain his part of the course, which made it easier to understand. His TA was great in lab and actually made lab fun and interesting.
(Jerrad Legako) Thank you for everything hope you have a great summer!
(Jerrad Legako) Difficult to learn the material the way it was taught. Felt unprepared as I was unaware of the exam format.
(Jerrad Legako) My biggest suggestion would be to add powerpoints. sometimes the lecture and just writing content down from the board got boring and it was not a way where content is absorbed well. Adding more visuals or just the info on slides partnered with writing on the board would add some variety.
(Jerrad Legako) I loved taking Food Analysis this semester. Legako is a great professor who cares about his students and always makes sure we understand the information of lecture and lab by taking a few minutes at the beginning of class to review, answer questions and further explain learning points.
(Jerrad Legako) I very much enjoyed this class. Legako was a great professor!
(Jerrad Legako) Did not like having multiple teachers
(Jerrad Legako) Teaching directly off the PowerPoint doesn't help with comprehension of the information.
(Jerrad Legako) Since this portion touvhe some on the basics of nutrition I found it mildly relevant.

(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako is clearly passionate about meat science and creates an informative, semi-engaging lecture. I appreciate his breakdown of the difficult subjects of microbiology and meat.
(Jerrad Legako) dr. legako's TA for the lab section was by FAR the best. she taught us a lot in a timely manner and always had helpful worksheets
(Jerrad Legako) Extremely hard course this semester, with having different teachers every exam. We did not know what to expect every exam since it was different teachers.
(Jerrad Legako) Having 4 or more different professor and Ta's made the class very hard and sporadic
(Jerrad Legako) I enjoyed Dr. Legako's lectures
(Jerrad Legako) Extremely nice and very smart professor. However, it seemed as if he cared more about being in the lab or office, instead of teaching. It was very challenging to follow along in this section.
(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako was a good professor who helped our class do better on our exams, was a great professor!
(Jerrad Legako) I have no idea why this class was made a communications class. I think its more valuable to earn that requirement elsewhere and not take away from time spent in food chemistry.
(Jerrad Legako) This course was split between 4 professors this semester and personally it was not a good experience for me. It is beneficial for students to keep one professor throughout the duration of the course because changing professors meant that we had to adapt to new teaching and testing style every 4 weeks. I would recommend Dr. Brooks switch back to teaching it the entire semester.
(Jerrad Legako) The course was extremely difficult to follow and not many resources were available as far as study aides or even just course content in general. It was difficult to retain any information during class because we were so focused on getting the notes down that it was hard to pay attention and understand the concepts that were being discussed. With that being said, I love the idea of having PowerPoints and notes that way to study from before the exam, however it was just difficult to pay attention in class.
(Jerrad Legako) I absolutely loved the concept of posting the course notes and laboratory manual on blackboard and allowing us to print it out on our own. I also liked how the professor worked through the course notes with us word for word.
(Jerrad Legako) I had little to no issue in this section besides vaguely worded exam questions.
(Jerrad Legako) I did not enjoy having 4 different teachers
(Jerrad Legako) I enjoyed taking this course, and it was really good for learning!
(Jerrad Legako) Great learning opportunity, the class offered a different way of learning and interaction with other students and professors.
(Jerrad Legako) It was a really good class. I liked the amount of information, it was a complete class about food chemistry.
(Jerrad Legako) This class was great. I really enjoyed the aspect of the manuals provided for us to fill in and interact throughout the semester. Did not like how the class was taught--- by writing on the board and following along. Very boring and slowed down the class a lot. Maybe a bit of upgrading on that portion would be better, but overall great class.
(Jerrad Legako) We need some funner labs. one of the protein labs was hard/slightly confusing.
(Jerrad Legako) good and very effective teaching
(Jerrad Legako) very good
(Jerrad Legako) great class, very knowledgable
(Jerrad Legako) Great class and instructor enjoyed it and learned a lot. The only issue I had was with some of the group members I ended up with because they made labs more complicated and longer than they needed to be

(Jerrad Legako) Excellent teaching

(Jerrad Legako) informative and good

(Jerrad Legako) The course was a great learning experience, however I believe some of the movement between lecturers made the course difficult to follow at times. I believe that this course taught during a Fall or Spring semester would be much more valuable than cramming material into Summer.

(Jerrad Legako) His presentation and teaching skills were too good

(Jerrad Legako) No comments

(Jerrad Legako) I learned a lot from this course.

(Jerrad Legako) Nice to learn everything from the Professor.

(Jerrad Legako) Dr. Legako is the best, loved his teaching incredibly and best part I loved in him is the feedback he gives which is encouraging i.e., in lab reports...

(Jerrad Legako) Clearly communicates class and assignment expectations. Clearly explains the writing expectations for the course Have clear standards of performance for course expectation. Increase overall student understanding of course concepts. Grades assignments fairly and in alignment with their expectations.Mar 16, 2022

Distance learning comments

(Jerrad Legako) Given the challenging current events, this class was ok. The guest speakers were good, the class format was ok. In lieu of the unavailable lab, a discussion group once a week to discuss pitfalls and current research applications would have been beneficial.

(Jerrad Legako) Still a class that is useless for a companion animal science major the contents were covered in many other courses. i was told I wouldn't be forced to see butchering which is against my religion. that was a lie.

(Jerrad Legako) Overall, this course was well organized and adhered to objectives very closely. Some of the quizzes should be revised, as there were occasional lecture questions that were asked that were not covered until the following lecture.

(Jerrad Legako) The online portion of lab for this class was awful, didn't follow the quizzes well at all.

(Jerrad Legako) This summer class is the heaviest course load I've ever taken. It takes me 15+ hours just to get through the lectures and labs let alone study. I've enjoyed this class but it really needs to be chilled out a bit. The amount of notes and assignments is ridiculous.

(Jerrad Legako) I thought that Dr. Legako was a great professor. He makes it clear how to be successful in his class without making it too easy. As someone who does not have any interest in working in the meat industry, I expected to really struggle with this course, but I ended up enjoying it thanks to Dr. Legako's teaching styles. Shortening a class into a 5-week online course is difficult, but I thought this class was fair and valuable. I would gladly take another course with Dr. Legako in the future.

(Jerrad Legako) There was a lot of information thrown at us all at once, which is expected in a summer class, but the abundance of lectures I feel could have been cut down. The exams should not be timed because the quizzes are not timed. Overall, a good class, just lots of extra content.

(Jerrad Legako) I love taking this class so far and very pleasant with the way it is formatted online. I learn through the videos provided online that help me with the exam and labs. Overall great experience with great professor to lead the way.

(Jerrad Legako) This class was effective online but had an excessive amount of work. The amount of work was extreme and not practical for the time given to complete them.

(Jerrad Legako) There were way too many assignments due in a week. The lectures weren't from the professor assigned to this class and were recorded a few years ago. The exams were 80-100 questions with only an hour and a half to take the exam. Leaving less than a minute per question, with some exams having math problems unable to solve in a minute. Lectures could've been put together instead of several 6 or 10-minute lectures. The information was interesting and good, but the class seemed to be all unnecessary busy work

(Jerrad Legako) great class, that ran very smoothly

(Bradley Johnson) I thankful for this course as it expands my knowledge and connections with different university students.

Comments instructor or course

(Jerrad Legako) Great effort was made to overcome the inability to actually get in the lab. Dr. Legako could be more present when lecturing. When he is bored, he talks slower and lecture lasts longer. I have seen him talk about subjects that he likes, and he is much more enjoyable then.

(Jerrad Legako) This was a hard class to learn and understand not being able to apply it in person.

(Jerrad Legako) Thank you to each and every professor for making this happen.