See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321165485

Clp Protease and OR Directly Control the Proteostasis of Phytoene Synthase, the Crucial Enzyme for Carotenoid Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis

Article in Molecular Plant · November 2017

DOI: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.11.003				
	IS	READS		
5		107		
12 aut	thors, including:			
9	Ralf Welsch University of Freiburg 88 PUBLICATIONS 1,949 CITATIONS		Xiangjun Zhou Purdue University 30 PUBLICATIONS 712 CITATIONS	
F	Daniel Álvarez Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research 12 PUBLICATIONS 52 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	0	Dennis Schlossarek University of Freiburg 1 PUBLICATION 3 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Defining the role of phytoene synthase in carotenoid accumulation of high provitamin A bananas View project

USDA-ARS, Cornell University, Postdoctoral Associate View project

Molecular Plant

Research Article



Clp Protease and OR Directly Control the Proteostasis of Phytoene Synthase, the Crucial Enzyme for Carotenoid Biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*

Ralf Welsch^{1,7,*}, Xiangjun Zhou^{2,3,7}, Hui Yuan^{2,3,7}, Daniel Álvarez¹, Tianhu Sun^{2,3}, Dennis Schlossarek¹, Yong Yang², Guoxin Shen⁴, Hong Zhang⁵, Manuel Rodriguez-Concepcion⁶, Theodore W. Thannhauser² and Li Li^{2,3,*} ¹University of Freiburg, Faculty of Biology II, 79104 Freiburg, Germany ²Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, USDA-ARS, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA ³Plant Breeding and Genetics Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA ⁴Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou 310021, China ⁵Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA ⁶Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG) CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB, Campus UAB Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain ⁷These authors contributed equally to this article. ***Correspondence: Ralf Welsch (ralf.welsch@biologie.uni-freiburg.de), Li Li (II37@cornell.edu)**

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.11.003

ABSTRACT

Phytoene synthase (PSY) is the crucial plastidial enzyme in the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway. However, its post-translational regulation remains elusive. Likewise, Clp protease constitutes a central part of the plastid protease network, but its substrates for degradation are not well known. In this study, we report that PSY is a substrate of the Clp protease. PSY was uncovered to physically interact with various Clp protease subunits (i.e., ClpS1, ClpC1, and ClpD). High levels of PSY and several other carotenogenic enzyme proteins overaccumulate in the *clpc1*, *clpp4*, and *clpr1-2* mutants. The overaccumulated PSY was found to be partially enzymatically active. Impairment of Clp activity in *clpc1* results in a reduced rate of PSY protein turnover, further supporting the role of Clp protease in degrading PSY protein. On the other hand, the ORANGE (OR) protein, a major post-translational regulator of PSY with holdase chaperone activity, enhances PSY protein stability and increases the enzymatically active proportion of PSY in *clpc1*, counterbalancing Clp-mediated proteolysis in maintaining PSY protein homeostasis. Collectively, these findings provide novel insights into the quality control of plastid-localized proteins and establish a hitherto unidentified post-translational regulatory mechanism of carotenogenic enzymes in modulating carotenoid biosynthesis in plants.

Key words: carotenoid, phytoene synthase, clp protease, OR, post-translational regulation, Arabidopsis

Welsch R., Zhou X., Yuan H., Álvarez D., Sun T., Schlossarek D., Yang Y., Shen G., Zhang H., Rodriguez-Concepcion M., Thannhauser T.W., and Li L. (2017). Clp Protease and OR Directly Control the Proteostasis of Phytoene Synthase, the Crucial Enzyme for Carotenoid Biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. Mol. Plant. ■ ■, 1–14.

INTRODUCTION

Carotenoids play important roles in photosynthesis, photoprotection, phytohormone biosynthesis, and flower/fruit color development (Ruiz-Sola and Rodríguez-Concepción, 2012; Nisar et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015a; Sun et al., 2017). Despite great progress in characterizing carotenoid metabolic pathway enzymes, the control mechanisms that maintain carotenogenic enzyme proteostasis are largely unknown. Phytoene synthase (PSY) is the crucial enzyme for carotenogenesis and directs carbon flow into the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway (Hirschberg, 1999; Wurtzel et al., 2012). Because of its role in governing carotenoid accumulation, PSY and its regulation have been subjected to intensive investigation

Published by the Molecular Plant Shanghai Editorial Office in association with Cell Press, an imprint of Elsevier Inc., on behalf of CSPB and IPPE, SIBS, CAS.

Molecular Plant

(Ruiz-Sola and Rodríguez-Concepción, 2012; Nisar et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015a). A number of regulatory genes (i.e. *PIFs*, *HY5*, *RIN*, and *SISGR1*) and factors are known to control *PSY* gene expression (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2010; Martel et al., 2011; Kachanovsky et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2013; Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2014). However, knowledge of post-translational regulation of PSY remains obscure. Only very recently ORANGE (OR) proteins were found to physically interact with PSY and positively regulate its protein abundance and enzymatic activity in plastids (Zhou et al., 2015). PSY translation in *Arabidopsis* was shown to be controlled by differential 5' UTR splicing (Alvarez et al., 2016). Further investigation into the regulation of PSY abundance and identification of PSY proteolytic factors are critical to elucidating the delicate mechanisms that control PSY homeostasis for carotenogenesis in plants.

Intraplastid proteolysis is a key process in maintaining protein homeostasis in plastids. The ATP-dependent serine-type Clp protease system constitutes a central part of the plastid protease network. It is critically important for plastids to ensure optimal levels of functional proteins and to remove aggregated, misfolded, or unwanted proteins (Clarke, 2012; Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015). Clp protease consists of multiple subunits, which include ClpS as the substrate recognition adaptor, two ClpC (ClpC1 and 2) and one ClpD subunits as chaperones for substrate unfolding, and five proteolytically active ClpP subunits (ClpP1 and ClpP3 to ClpP6) as well as four proteolytically inactive ClpR subunits (ClpR1 to ClpR4) as core protease components, along with accessory proteins (ClpT1 and 2) to assemble and stabilize the Clp core (Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015). A plant-specific Clp component ClpF was recently hypothesized to form a ClpF-ClpS1 adaptor complex for substrate recognition and delivery (Nishimura et al., 2015).

Various Clp subunits have been shown to contribute differently to the homeostasis of plastid proteins, such as ClpP1 in the degradation of thylakoid proteins, ClpR1 in the maturation of 23S and 4.5S chloroplast rRNA, and ClpC1 in the turnover of chlorophyllide a oxygenase (Majeran et al., 2000; Koussevitzky et al., 2007; Nakagawara et al., 2007). Recently, ClpC1 was also found to be required for the degradation of deoxyxylulose 5-phosphate synthase (DXS) in the methylerythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway (Pulido et al., 2016). The different Clp subunits also exert distinct functions in affecting plant growth and development (Kim et al., 2009; Moreno et al., 2017). All of the Clp subunits have been identified from both green and nongreen plastids in Arabidopsis (Peltier et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2009; Olinares et al., 2011). It is obvious that identification of Clp protease targets is critical in understanding its involvement and contribution to plastid and plant development. Dozens of chloroplast-localized proteins involved in multiple processes were identified as potential targets of the Clp protease (Nakagawara et al., 2007; Stanne et al., 2009; Nishimura et al., 2013; Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015; Tapken et al., 2015). However, only few proteins were confirmed to be the specific substrates of the Clp protease (Apitz et al., 2016; Pulido et al., 2016). It remains a challenge to identify the specific targets that directly interact with the substrate recognition adaptor ClpS1 and/or the chaperones ClpC and ClpD for degradation (Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015).

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

Identification of PSY-interacting proteins is a feasible strategy to explore proteins involved in its post-translational regulation in plastids (Yuan et al., 2015b; Zhou et al., 2015; Chayut et al., 2017). By employing co-immunoprecipitation (coIP) in conjunction with analysis by mass spectrometry (MS), we identified ClpC1 as a potential PSY-interacting protein. We provide evidence that Clp protease physically interacted with PSY to mediate PSY degradation. By contrast, OR as a major posttranslational regulator of PSY promoted its stabilization. Together, Clp protease and OR maintain the homeostasis of PSY in the plastids to assure optimal enzyme protein abundance for adequate carotenoid biosynthesis in plants.

RESULTS

Identification of CIpC1 as a Potential PSY-Interacting Protein

To discover PSY-interacting proteins, we generated Arabidopsis expressing either 35S:PSY-GFP (Supplemental Figure 1) or 35S:GFP control. Proteins from 35S:PSY-GFP plants along with the 35S:GFP control lines were extracted from four biological replicates and used for the coIP experiments. The coIP products were separated by SDS-PAGE gels and identified by liquid chromatography-tandem MS analysis. A total of 202, 235, 238, and 163 proteins were identified from four biological replicates of coIPs of Arabidopsis expressing PSY-GFP fusion protein, whereas 140, 168, 140, and 122 proteins were found from GFP-only controls in quadruplicates, respectively (Supplemental Table 1). Among these proteins, 31 were common to the PSY-GFP samples but absent in the controls (Supplemental Table 2). Interestingly, although the interaction between PSY and OR was recently demonstrated (Zhou et al., 2015), OR was not co-immunoprecipitated with PSY as the bait, which may indicate a transient interaction between these two proteins. Noticeably, geranylgeranyl reductase and a number of plastid chaperone proteins, i.e., HSP70 and Cpn60, were found as potential PSY-interacting proteins. Interactions between PSY, geranylgeranyl reductase, and geranylgeranyl synthase as well as associations between PSY and chaperones were reported in previous studies (Bonk et al., 1997; Ruiz-Sola et al., 2016), indicating the viability of the coIP approach in identification of the potential PSY-interacting proteins. In the current study, we focused on ClpC1, a key chaperone component of the Clp protease system (Desimone et al., 1997; Sjögren et al., 2014).

PSY Physically Interacts with ClpC1 in Plastids

To confirm the interaction between PSY and ClpC1 inferred from the coIP results, we carried out yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) analysis using a split-ubiquitin membrane Y2H system (Obrdlik et al., 2004). This system has been proved suitable for studying PSY protein interactions (Zhou et al., 2015; Ruiz-Sola et al., 2016). As shown in Figure 1A, yeast growth on selective medium was observed when Nub-ClpC1 was mated with PSY-Cub, confirming that PSY physically interacted with ClpC1 in yeasts. As a negative control, we tested the interaction between ClpC1 and KAT1, an *Arabidopsis* K⁺ channel protein localized in the plasma membrane (Obrdlik et al., 2004), and observed no interaction in the Y2H assay (Figure 1A), indicating a specific interaction between ClpC1 and PSY.

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR



Molecular Plant

Figure 1. Interactions of PSY with Clp Subunits Involved in Substrate Selection.

(A) Interaction between PSY and ClpC1. Left: Y2H analysis. Interactions were examined by coexpressing pairs of proteins fused to either N-terminal or C-terminal ubiquitin moiety in yeast and spotting onto either nonselective (-LW) or fully selective medium plates with 300 μ M Met (-LWAH + M) in a series of 10-fold dilutions. Empty vectors expressing Nub and Cub only and a K⁺ channel protein (KAT1) were used as negative and unspecific controls, respectively. Right: BiFC analysis. PSY as C-terminal YFP fusion (YC) and ClpC1 as N-terminal fusion (YN) were coexpressed in N. benthamiana leaves. Empty vector expressing YC only was included as control. (B) Interactions between PSY and Clp subunits. Left: Y2H analysis. Right: BiFC analysis of in-

teractions of PSY with ClpD, ClpC, and ClpS1, as well as ClpS1 with ClpF and PRLI-interacting factor L (TAC).

(C) Interaction between PSY and HSP70. Left: Y2H analysis. Right: BiFC analysis.

Direct interactions in chloroplasts were observed by confocal microscopy. CHL, chlorophyll autofluorescence. Scale bars, 20 $\mu m.$

further confirm their interactions *in vivo*, we selected ClpD and ClpS1 to examine their interactions with PSY in tobacco leaves using the BiFC assay. Strong YFP signals were observed in chloroplasts when ClpD-YN and ClpS1-YN were individually coexpressed with PSY-YC in tobacco leaves

To further verify PSY and ClpC1 interaction *in vivo*, we performed a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay. When the N-terminal half of YFP fused to ClpC1 (ClpC1-YN) and the C-terminal half of YFP fused to PSY (PSY-YC) were coexpressed in tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) leaf epidermal cells, YFP signals were observed (Figure 1A). In contrast, no YFP signals were detected when ClpC1-YN was cotransformed with YC (Figure 1A), or when PSY-YC was with Tic40-YN, a chloroplast inner envelope protein used as a negative control (Supplemental Figure 2A). Such PSY and ClpC1 interaction occurred in chloroplasts, which is consistent with the plastid localizations of these proteins shown in previous studies (Desimone et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 2015). The BiFC results confirm direct interaction between PSY and ClpC1 *in vivo*.

PSY Also Interacts with Other Clp Protease Subunits Involved in Substrate Selection

Substrate selection by the Clp protease occurs through the ClpC/D chaperones and adaptor proteins like ClpS (Clarke, 2012; Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015; Nishimura et al., 2015). To find out whether other Clp protease subunits involved in substrate selection were also physically associated with PSY, we examined the interactions of PSY with ClpC2, ClpD, and ClpS1. By Y2H assay, we found that ClpD, ClpC2, and ClpS1 all interacted with PSY (Figure 1B). These PSY-interacting subunits neither interacted with the empty vector control nor with the KAT1 negative control in the Y2H analysis (Figure 1B). To (Figure 1B). These results indicate direct interactions between PSY and these Clp protease subunits *in vivo*.

We also tested our systems with two proteins, PRLI-interacting factor L (pTAC17; AT1G80480) and ClpF (AT2G03390), which were identified as ClpS1 substrates from affinity enrichment and form a binary ClpF–ClpS1 adaptor complex (Nishimura et al., 2013, 2015). Interactions with ClpS1 were confirmed by BiFC in tobacco leaves with both proteins, supporting the suitability of BiFC to study Clp–substrate interactions (Figure 1B). However, both proteins showed strong autoactivation of reporter genes in yeasts, rendering their analysis by Y2H impossible (see Supplemental Figure 2B).

In addition, we tested the interaction between PSY and HSP70 (AT5G49910), a protein identified from the coIP experiments and known to be involved in folding of DXS (Pulido et al., 2013, 2016). PSY was also found to directly interact with HSP70 both in Y2H and in BiFC assays (Figure 1C).

PSY Protein Overaccumulates in *clpc1*, *clpr1-2*, and *clpp4*

The potential targets of Clp protease likely overaccumulate in various *clp* mutants (Nakagawara et al., 2007; Stanne et al., 2009; Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015). If PSY were a target of the chloroplast Clp protease, impairment of the Clp protease activity in the *clp* mutants would be expected to result in

Molecular Plant



Figure 2. PSY Protein and Transcript Levels in the *clp* Mutants. (A) Representative images of 3-week-old *clp* mutants grown on soil.

(B) Immunoblot analysis of PSY protein levels. Actin was used as loading control. Analysis was performed with 40 μ g of leaf protein extracts from 3-week-old plants. Protein sizes (kDa) are indicated.

(C) Quantification of PSY protein levels normalized with actin. Results are means \pm SD from quantification of three biological replicates.

(D) PSY mRNA levels determined by real-time RT–PCR. PSY transcripts were normalized to *actin* levels and are expressed relative to one selected WT sample. Results are means \pm SD from three biological replicates.

(E) PSY protein levels in *Arabidopsis* WT and unrelated chlorotic mutants (*rps5*, *xk1-1*, *toc33*, *glk1/2*, and *fd2*) as well as the *hsp70-2* mutant. Actin was used as loading control.

elevated levels of PSY protein. To explore this possibility, we obtained several *clp* mutants from *Arabidopsis* mutant collection (Pulido et al., 2016). As previously reported (Park and Rodermel, 2004; Sjögren et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2009; Nishimura et al., 2013), the *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* mutants displayed a pale-green phenotype with smaller leaves, whereas the *clpc2*, *clpd*, *clps1*, and *clpt1/t2* mutants had no visible phenotype compared with wild-type (WT) (Figure 2A). In addition, because *clpp4* knockout mutant is embryo-lethal and not viable (Kim et al., 2013), we generated *clpp4* antisense lines with reduced expression of *ClpP4* (Supplemental Figure 3B). The *clpp4* antisense lines showed a variegated chlorotic phenotype (Figure 2A) with small adult plants (Supplemental Figure 3A).

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

The PSY protein levels in 3-week-old leaves of these *clp* mutants and WT control were examined by western blot analysis. In comparison with WT, PSY protein levels were greatly enhanced in *clpc1*, *clpr1-2*, and *clpp4*, but remained similar in the other mutants (Figure 2B). Quantification of PSY protein levels showed approximately 10-fold increases in the *clpc1*, *clpr1-2*, and *clpp4* mutants compared with the WT control (Figure 2C).

PSY transcript levels were also measured using real-time RT-PCR. No significant differences were observed between WT and the *clp* mutant lines (Figure 2D). The result indicates that deficiency of the Clp subunits in *clpc1*, *clpp4*, and *clpr1-2* did not affect *PSY* transcription. The data also confirm that the observed increase of PSY protein levels in those *clp* mutants was not a consequence of enhanced gene expression, but occurred post-translationally.

To rule out that the PSY protein accumulation in *clpc1*, *clpr1-2*, and *clpp4* was a consequence of chloroplast defect, we examined PSY protein levels in some unrelated chlorotic mutants including *rps5* (Zhang et al., 2016), *xk-1* (Hemmerlin et al., 2006), *toc33* (Jarvis et al., 1998), *glk1/glk2* (Waters et al., 2008), and *fd2* (Voss et al., 2008). Increased PSY protein levels were not observed in these mutants (Figure 2E). The results indicate that the elevated PSY levels in the *clp* mutants were due to the loss of Clp activity but not chlorosis. In addition, since PSY was found to directly interact with HSP70-2 (Figure 1C), PSY protein level was also examined in *hsp70-2*. A slightly elevated PSY protein level was observed in the *hsp70-2* mutant in comparison with WT control (Figure 2E). The accumulation of PSY in *hsp70-2* suggests a possible role of HSP70 in the Clp-mediated PSY homeostasis.

PSY Protein Turnover Rate Is Reduced in clpc1

The Clp protease in plastids is responsible for degradation of misfolded or unwanted proteins (Kato and Sakamoto, 2010; van Wijk, 2015). Various stresses such as heat cause proteins to lose their native conformation and to form aggregated or misfolded polypeptides (Pulido et al., 2016). To examine whether the Clp protease was responsible for degradation of aggregated or misfolded PSY, we monitored PSY protein turnover rate following heat treatment in *Arabidopsis* leaves. Since loss of function of individual Clp complex subunits typically results in decreased proteolytic activity of the whole complex (Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015) and ClpC1 is the principal chaperone component of the chloroplast Clp protease (Zheng et al., 2002; Sjögren et al., 2014), the *clpc1* mutant was used for this study.

Three-week-old *Arabidopsis* plants of WT and *clpc1* grown at 23°C were transferred to 42°C and rosette leaves were collected at different time points for western blot analysis. As shown in Figure 3A and 3B, PSY protein level rapidly decreased in the WT control but remained relatively high in the *clpc1* mutant. At 45 min of treatment, PSY level was reduced to about 30% in the WT control but was maintained at around 70% in *clpc1*. The low PSY degradation rate in *clpc1* indicates a slow proteolytic removal of PSY protein, demonstrating that proper Clp protease activity was required for maintaining PSY homeostasis.

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR



Figure 3. PSY Protein Turnover Following Heat Treatment in *clpc1*.

(A) Immunoblot analysis of PSY turnover. The 3-week-old *Arabidopsis* WT and *clpc1* plants were transferred to 42°C. PSY protein levels in rosette leaves were determined at 0 min and after 15, 30, and 45 min of heat treatment. Ponceau S staining shows protein loading.

(B) Relative PSY protein levels. PSY band intensities were normalized and expressed relative to the levels detected prior to heat treatment. Data represent the means \pm SD from three biological replicates.

Carotenoid Pathway Activity Is Affected in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2*

To examine whether carotenoid biosynthesis and accumulation were affected by impairment of Clp protease activity in *Arabidopsis*, we examined pigment formation and carotenoid pathway activity in the *clp* mutants. Consistent with the mutant plant phenotypes (Figure 2A), chlorophyll and total carotenoid contents of several *clp* mutants were not significantly different from WT except *clpc1*, *clpp4*, and *clpr1-2*, in which the carotenoid contents were significantly reduced, especially in *clpp4* with white tissue (Figure 4A and 4B). Interestingly, the immediate product of PSY, phytoene, was found to accumulate in *clpd*, but was absent in WT and all the other *clp* mutants examined (Figure 4B). Constant ratios of carotenoids to chlorophylls were observed in most mutants, which mirrored the defined pigment stoichiometry in light-harvesting complex proteins (Figure 4C).

With the exception of *clpd*, phytoene usually does not accumulate in leaves and is promptly metabolized into downstream carotenoids. However, upon treatment with norflurazon (NFZ), an inhibitor of phytoene desaturase, phytoene accumulates and its level directly reflects PSY activity and, thus, carotenoid pathway activity (Rodríguez-Villalón et al., 2009; Lätari et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2015). Therefore, we measured the accumulation of phytoene in leaves of 3-week-old plants treated with NFZ by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (green leaves from *clpp4* were included). In comparison with the WT control, *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* showed significantly increased phytoene levels whereas the other *clp* mutants accumulated similar levels of



Figure 4. Pigment Levels and Pathway Activity in the *clp* Mutants.

(A) Chlorophyll levels in the clp mutants.

(B) Carotenoid levels in the *clp* mutants. Pigments were determined from rosette leaves of 3-week-old plants by HPLC.

(C) Carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio from (A) and (B).

(D) Carotenoid pathway activity was determined by illuminating 3-weekold leaves incubated with norflurazon for 4 h. Phytoene was quantified by HPLC and expressed relative to that determined in WT.

Bleached leaf areas of *clpp4* were used for (A), (B), and (C) while green leaves were used for (D) due to lack of materials. Results are means \pm SE from \geq 3 biological replicates. Significant difference, **P* < 0.05 in comparison with WT.

phytoene following NFZ treatment (Figure 4D). These results suggest that *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* exhibited increased PSY activity, and the accumulated phytoene in the *clpd* leaves was not caused by increased synthesis. We also measured the levels of the rest carotenoids and found similar

Molecular Plant

Molecular Plant

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR



Figure 5. In Vitro PSY Activity and Distribution in Chloroplasts of the clp Mutants.

(A) Western blot analysis of DXS, GGPS11, and PSY in chloroplast membrane and stroma fractions. RuBisCO large subunit (RbcL, stroma) and lightharvesting complex of PSII subunit (Lhcb, membranes) protein levels from Coomassie-stained gels were used for protein loading controls.

(B) Absolute PSY activity in WT and *clp* mutants. Chloroplast membranes were incubated with supplemental GGPP synthase. Reactions were started with DMAPP and [1-¹⁴C]IPP. The synthesized product [1-¹⁴C]phytoene was quantified after 15 min of reaction.

(C) Total and specific PSY activity normalized to WT. Specific activity was normalized to the corresponding PSY protein levels determined in the WT or mutants (A).

Results are means ± SD from three biological replicates. Significant difference, *P < 0.05 in comparison with WT.

carotenoid accumulation patterns both following NFZ treatment and without NFZ treatment in the *clp* mutants (Supplemental Figure 4).

Overaccumulated PSY in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* Is Partially Enzymatically Active

To corroborate the results from carotenoid pathway activity measurements, *in vitro* PSY activity of *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* along with *clpd* was examined. Active PSY is membrane associated and usually undetectable in the stromal fractions of chloroplasts in *Arabidopsis* (Welsch et al., 2000; Lätari et al., 2015), unlike in maize and tomato chromoplasts where soluble PSY1 is enzymatically active (Fraser et al., 1999, 2000; Shumskaya et al., 2012). Because other pathway enzymes compete for the substrate GGPP (e.g., chlorophyll biosynthesis), determination of PSY activity *in vitro* requires separation of stroma and membranes through plastid fractionation (Welsch et al., 2000; Ruiz-Sola et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2017).

The plastid fractionation for PSY activity assay also allowed determination of the enzyme protein distributions in chloroplast membrane and stromal fractions. While DXS was exclusively

found in the chloroplast stroma in these mutants, the PSY substrate-delivering enzyme GGPS was present in both stroma and membranes (Figure 5A). Unchanged GGPS protein levels with similar GGPS activities were observed in the membrane fractions (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure 5). In contrast, PSY protein was only detected in the membrane fractions (Figure 5A). Consistent with the observed PSY overaccumulation in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* and unchanged PSY levels in *clpc1* (Figure 2B), high levels of PSY protein were noted in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* in comparison with WT and *clpd* (Figure 5A).

In agreement with carotenoid pathway activity measurements in leaves, increased PSY activity was detected in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* while *clpd* along with *clpc2* and *clps1* exhibited PSY activity similar to that of WT (Figure 5B). Remarkably, the increases in PSY activity and PSY protein levels were not proportional in the *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* mutants. The specific enzyme activities (i.e., normalized to PSY protein levels) were 53% and 31% lower for *clpc1* and *clpr1-2*, respectively, than WT (Figure 5C). This indicates that proportions of the overaccumulated PSY were enzymatically inactive. Immunoblots of chloroplast subfractions confirmed that the inactive fractions of PSY remained membrane associated and were not dislocated into

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR



Figure 6. DXS and Other Carotenogenic Enzyme Protein Levels in the *clp* Mutants.

Immunoblot analysis of DXS and five additional carotenoid biosynthetic pathway enzymes. PDS, phytoene desaturase; ZDS, ζ -carotene desaturase; BCH, β -carotene hydroxylases; LUT5, carotenoid hydroxylase CYP97A3; ZEP, zeaxanthin epoxidase. Analyses were performed with 20 μ g of leaf protein extracts from 3-week-old plants of WT and the *clp* mutants. Membranes were stripped for reprobing two to three times. Actin signal from one representative blot is shown as loading control.

the stroma in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* (Figure 5A). Moreover, *in vitro* assays also confirmed that the phytoene accumulation in *clpd* (Figure 4B) was not caused by an increased PSY activity, as *clpd* had similar total and specific PSY activity when compared with WT (Figure 5B and 5C).

Defect in Clp Protease Activity Also Results in the Accumulation of Other Carotenogenic Enzyme Proteins

Recent reports show that *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* contain increased DXS protein levels (Pulido et al., 2013, 2016). We analyzed the protein levels of a number of other pathway enzymes along with DXS in 3-week-old leaves of the *clp* lines. Consistent with recent reports, DXS protein was found to overaccumulate in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2*. In addition, we observed DXS overaccumulation in the *clpp4* antisense line (Figure 6). Interestingly, five additional carotenogenic enzymes, phytoene desaturase (PDS), ζ -carotene desaturase (ZDS), β -carotene hydroxylases (BCH), carotenoid hydroxylase CYP97A3 (LUT5), and zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP), also overaccumulated in *clpc1*, *clpr1-2*, and *clpp4* (Figure 6). The results suggest that several proteins in the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway could be the targets of Clp proteolysis and that proper Clp protease activity is required for maintaining proteostasis of these carotenogenic enzymes.

OR Delays PSY Degradation

To investigate the post-translational control of PSY that maintains the balance between its turnover by Clp protease and proper function, we examined the role of OR on PSY protein stability. Previously, we have shown that OR physically interacts with PSY to positively regulate PSY protein level and enzyme activity (Zhou et al., 2015). To independently corroborate the effect of OR on PSY, we constitutively expressed *PSY-GFP* chimeric gene in WT and in *OR*-overexpression background, and selected lines expressing similar levels of PSY-GFP fusion protein (Supplemental Figure 6).

Molecular Plant

Three-week-old leaf samples were treated with the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX). The PSY fusion protein levels in the WT and *OR*-overexpression background were examined at 3, 6, and 9 h post treatment by western blot analysis. As shown in Figure 7A, PSY protein level declined in the *PSY-GFP/WT* leaves, but remained unchanged in the *PSY-GFP/OR* line at 3 h post CHX treatment. PSY fusion protein levels were much higher in the *PSY-GFP/OR* samples than in *PSY-GFP/WT* after CHX treatment at all time points (Figure 7B), showing that OR was able to stabilize PSY to greatly reduce PSY protein turnover rate.

OR Enhances PSY Activity in clpc1

OR is known to physically interact with PSY and possess holdase activity (Zhou et al., 2015; Park et al., 2016). To investigate whether OR could promote proper PSY folding to maintain enzymatic activity and counteract PSY degradation by the Clp protease, we introduced OR in a Clp-defective background by crossing *clpc1* with an *AtOR*-overexpression line (Yuan et al., 2015b; Zhou et al., 2015). The *clpc1* × *AtOR* F3 plants that were double homozygous for *clpc1* and the *OR* transgene were generated. Examination of the OR protein levels in the F3 plants revealed slightly lower OR abundance than in the *AtOR* line used for cross (Figure 7C). *In vivo* PSY activity was assessed by measuring phytoene accumulation following NFZ treatment in the 3-week-old leaf samples of *clpc1* × *AtOR* plants along with WT, *clpc1*, and the *AtOR* overexpressor.

Consistent with the result obtained above, more phytoene was observed in *clpc1* than in WT (Figure 7D). Similarly, more phytoene was detected in the *AtOR* overexpression line than WT as previously observed (Zhou et al., 2015). However, a higher level of phytoene accumulation was obtained in the NFZ-treated *clpc1* × *AtOR* line than the *AtOR* overexpressor or *clpc1* (Figure 7C). The results suggest that OR increased the enzymatically active proportion of PSY in *clpc1*, possibly through promoting PSY folding or preventing its misfolding/ aggregation.

DISCUSSION

PSY Is a Substrate of Clp Protease

PSY catalyzes the critical step in carotenogenesis and directs isoprenoid carbon flow into the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway (Ruiz-Sola and Rodríguez-Concepción, 2012; Nisar et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2017). While multiple levels of regulation govern PSY protein amounts and enzymatic activity, post-translational regulation of PSY including proteolysis of excessive or dysfunctional PSY protein is important in maintaining PSY proteostasis in plastids. In this study, we reveal that PSY was a substrate of the Clp protease and demonstrate a new post-translational control mechanism of PSY homeostasis.

Clp protease is a major protease system in plastids (Clarke, 2012; Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015). The levels of many chloroplast

Molecular Plant

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR





(A) Representative immunoblot analysis of PSY-GFP fusion protein. PSY-GFP was expressed in WT (35S:PSY-GFP/WT) and in a line constitutively overexpressing OR (35S:PSY-GFP/OR). PSY protein stability was monitored by immunoblotting using an anti-GFP antibody prior to (0 h) and at 3, 6, and 9 h following treatment with cycloheximide (CHX) to inhibit cytoplasmic protein translation. Ponceau S staining shows protein loading.

(B) Relative PSY protein levels. PSY band intensities were quantified and expressed relative to the levels detected prior to CHX treatment. Results are means ± SD from quantification of three biological replicates.

(C) Immunoblot analysis of OR protein levels. Actin level is shown as loading control.

(D) Phytoene accumulation in leaves of 3-week-old plants following treatment with norflurazon for 4 h. Phytoene in *clpc1*, *AtOR*-overexpressing line (*OR*), and double homozygous crossing (*clpc1* × *OR*) was quantified by HPLC and expressed relative to that determined in WT. Results are means \pm SD from three biological replicates. Phytoene amounts of all lines were significantly different from each other (Student's *t*-test, **P* < 0.05).

proteins are expected to be controlled by the Clp protease, but the identities of direct targets of Clp protease remain few. Comparative proteomic analyses of differentially expressed proteins between Arabidopsis clp mutants and WT identified some upregulated proteins as potential Clp substrates, although many may be due to secondary effects upon loss of the Clp activity (Nishimura et al., 2013; Nishimura and van Wiik, 2015). A recent study confirmed one of these, the first enzyme in 5-aminolevulinic acid biosynthesis glutamyl-tRNA reductase (GluTR), as a direct substrate of the Clp protease (Apitz et al., 2016). Here, we discovered that PSY directly interacted with the adaptor ClpS1 and chaperones ClpC/D (Figure 1). PSY protein levels were greatly increased following the reduction of Clp protease activity in clpc1, clpp4, and clpr1-2 (Figure 2). Moreover, the PSY protein degradation rate was reduced when Clp protease was not properly functioning (Figure 3). These data corroborate PSY as a target of the Clp protease, adding PSY to the substrate list of Clp protease.

Determination of the substrate selection and delivery mechanisms to proteolyze unwanted proteins in plastids remains a challenge (Nishimura and van Wijk, 2015; van Wijk, 2015). While the selective PSY recognition and delivery mechanisms are currently unclear, data obtained here suggest a number of possible pathways, one of which is via the ClpS1–ClpF and ClpC1 pathway (Nishimura et al., 2013, 2015). In bacteria, adapter ClpS selects and delivers substrates with an N-terminal

8 Molecular Plant ■ ■, 1–14, ■ ■ 2017 © The Author 2017.

degradation signal (an N-degron) for degradation by Clp protease. It is inconclusive whether the N-end rule generally applies to plastid protein proteolysis in plants (Apel et al., 2010; Rowland et al., 2015; Pulido et al., 2016). Here we found that PSY physically interacted with ClpS1. We further examined this interaction by Y2H and found that a 13-amino-acid stretch of PSY (PSY71–83) with a putative N-degron interacted with ClpS1 (Supplemental Figure 7). These results suggest a possible role for the ClpS1 adapter in recognizing and delivering PSY to Clp protease for degradation. However, as PSY protein did not overaccumulate in *clps1*, other adapter(s) or chaperones are likely more crucial and/or used to deliver PSY to the Clp protease.

A J-protein/Hsp70-dependent pathway for substrate recognition and delivery has been shown recently for DXS (Pulido et al., 2013, 2016). A J-protein adapter, J20, was found to specifically recognize the inactive forms of DXS and deliver them to Hsp70 chaperones either for proper folding via interaction with ClpB3 (a plastidial Hsp100 chaperone) or for unfolding by ClpC1 for degradation by the Clp protease. We observed a direct interaction between PSY and Hsp70 (Figure 1C), which agrees with the co-existence of PSY and chaperones in high molecular weight complexes in chloroplasts (Bonk et al., 1997). Moreover, we observed slightly elevated PSY protein levels in *hsp70-2* mutant (Figure 2E), suggesting the involvement of Hsp70 in PSY proteostasis. OR is known to directly interact with PSY

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

(Zhou et al., 2015). This raises the question as to whether OR has a J20-analogous function on PSY. However, OR and J20 are different in a number of ways (Pulido et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2015). In contrast to J20, OR is not a J-protein (Lu et al., 2006). While unfolded DXS protein overaccumulates in *j20*, PSY is almost absent in the *or* mutants. Moreover, *J20* overexpression results in reduced DXS levels while *OR* overexpression increases PSY abundance and activity. Furthermore, no direct interaction was observed between OR and Hsp70 (Supplemental Figure 8). Thus, it is currently unknown as to whether there is a J-protein that specifically recognizes PSY for a J-protein/Hsp70-dependent pathway.

Our data suggest that the ClpC1 direct pathway might play a key role in selecting and delivering PSY to the Clp core complex. ClpC is the principal chaperone of Clp protease with ClpC1 contributing greatly to substrate unfolding (Sjögren et al., 2014). The ClpC1 chaperone was recently proposed to be more essential in specifically recognizing and directing GluTR1 to the Clp core complex for GluTR1 turnover (Apitz et al., 2016). Here we found that the ClpC1 chaperone physically interacted with PSY and was required for PSY degradation by the Clp protease. Lack of ClpC1 resulted in PSY overaccumulation (Figure 2) and slow turnover (Figure 3), indicating the important role of ClpC1 for the Clp-mediated PSY degradation.

Previous studies suggest that the Clp protease controls protein levels of a number of other enzymes required for isoprenoid metabolism. The key enzymes DXS and DXR in the MEP pathway are augmented in the clp mutants (Flores-Pérez et al., 2008; Zybailov et al., 2009; Nishimura et al., 2013). Similarly, the MEP pathway enzyme hydroxymethylbutenyl-4-diphosphate synthase (HDS) overaccumulates in the clp mutants (Kim et al., 2009, 2013, 2015). Recently, Pulido et al. (2016) showed that the Clp protease plays a primary role in DXS proteolysis. We found that in addition to PSY, carotenogenic enzyme proteins PDS, ZDS, BCH, LUT5, and ZEP also overaccumulated in the clpc1, clpp4, and clpr1-2 mutants, adding them to the list of potential Clp protease targets. Clearly, a coordinated proteolytic control of both MEP and carotenoid biosynthesis pathways represents an important mechanism in modulating the steady state of carotenoids in cells.

Both Enzymatically Active and Inactive Forms of PSY Accumulate in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2*

Examination of the specific PSY enzyme activities (i.e., normalized to PSY protein levels) indicates a co-existence of both enzymatically active and inactive PSY forms in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* (Figure 5). A large proportion of the overaccumulated PSY protein in the *clp* mutants was enzymatically inactive, indicating the accumulation of aggregated or misfolded PSY forms. Previous reports show that PSY is present both as membraneassociated active and stromal non-active forms (Schledz et al., 1996; Welsch et al., 2000; Lätari et al., 2015). The translocation of stromal, inactive into membrane-localized, active PSY was observed in de-etiolating seedlings (Welsch et al., 2000). This probably reflects a developmentally regulated solubilization of PSY, allowing its reactivation through membrane association during chloroplast formation. Similarly, a partial stromal relocalization of an inactive PSY population may result from surplus abundance of PSY through overexpression (Lätari et al., 2015). In both *clpc1* and *clpr1-2*, PSY quantitatively accumulated in the membrane fractions; thus, inactive PSY populations were not dislocated into the stroma. Apparently, inactive PSY aggregates remain membrane associated.

A proportion of the overaccumulated PSY protein in the clp mutants was also enzymatically active, similarly as shown for DXS in clpc1 (Pulido et al., 2013, 2016). However, in contrast to DXS in *clpc1* where the increased DXS correlates with equivalently higher enzymatic activity (Pulido et al., 2013, 2016), only about half of the PSY in clpc1 was enzymatically active. High DXS activity in *clpc1* was explained by accumulation of chaperones to prevent DXS aggregation (Pulido et al., 2016). Indeed, we observed an increased level of Hsp70 in clpc1 and a few other clp mutants (Supplemental Figure 9), and had indications for a contribution of HSP70-2 to PSY proteostasis (Figures 1C and 2C). However, the major differences in the proteostatic mechanisms between PSY and DXS might be due to different localizations. While DXS is soluble in the stroma, PSY requires membrane association for activity (Welsch et al., 2000). Membrane integral or associated proteins are known to require a chaperone-assisted release into membranes upon plastid import (Falk and Sinning, 2010; Liang et al., 2016). Compared with soluble refolding processes applicable to DXS, proper folding control of membrane proteins poses a particular challenge to protein homeostasis (Liang et al., 2016). Thus, degradation rather than refolding of misfolded or aggregated PSY might essentially contribute to PSY proteostasis. Chaperones including OR may facilitate PSY folding to make a fraction of PSY active in clpc1.

Carotenoid Patterns in the clp Mutants

The overaccumulation of the crucial enzymes for both MEP and carotenoid biosynthetic pathways disagrees with the reduced pigment content observed in clpc1 and clpr1-2 (Figure 4B). Both DXS and PSY accumulated with higher total activities (Pulido et al., 2016; Figure 5). Moreover, downstream carotenogenic enzymes such as PDS and ZDS also accumulated in *clpc1* and *clpr1-2* (Figure 6). Desaturation intermediates such as phytoene and phytofluene were absent, which supports unrestricted pathway flow. Therefore, processes other than the synthesis of carotenoids are likely to account for the reduced carotenoid levels in these *clp* mutants. Clp protease subunits are known to differentially affect the homeostasis of many plastid proteins (Kim et al., 2009; Moreno et al., 2017). Defects in ClpC1 and ClpR1-2 affect chloroplast development (Sjögren et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2009). Since leaf carotenoid contents are determined also by sequestering structures in addition to biosynthesis, it is possible that the lower levels of carotenoid-binding proteins (light-harvesting complex proteins) as shown in the clpc1 mutant (Sjögren et al., 2004) restrain carotenoid accumulation, leading to reduced carotenoid levels in clpc1 and clpr1-2.

Surprisingly, in contrast to all other *clp* subunit mutants investigated in this work, leaves of *clpd* accumulated phytoene (Figure 4B). However, the accumulated phytoene was not due to increased PSY activity as *in vitro* PSY activity in *clpd* was similar to that in WT (Figure 5B). Active PDS requires

Molecular Plant

Molecular Plant



Figure 8. Model of Counterbalance of PSY Proteostasis by Clp Protease and OR.

Membrane-associated PSY requires interaction with the membraneintegral holdase OR in order to remain properly folded and enzymatically active. Misfolded PSY is recognized and degraded by the Clp protease system through direct protein–protein interactions. OR maintains the population of properly folded PSY proteins for carotenogenesis, while absence of OR results in PSY degradation. Deficiency in Clp activity reduces PSY proteolysis and results in the accumulation of PSY populations, which contain enzymatically inactive form as well as active form probably due to increased chaperone proteins to help folding or prevent aggregations.

plastoquinones as electron acceptors, which are reoxidized by the cytochrome- $b_6 f$ complex in the photosynthetic electron transport chain with a contribution of the plastid terminal oxidase (McDonald et al., 2011). Accordingly, mutants with impaired biosynthesis or reoxidation of plastoquinones accumulate phytoene in their leaves (Norris et al., 1995; Carol et al., 1999). Thus, it is possible that a defective ClpD impacted protein(s) associated with plastoquinone biosynthesis or regeneration to affect phytoene desaturation, resulting in the accumulation of phytoene in *clpd*.

OR and Clp Protease Counterbalance PSY Activity and Degradation

Our previous studies show that OR physically interacts with PSY to positively regulate PSY protein level and enzyme activity (Zhou et al., 2015; Chayut et al., 2017). OR appears to perform this function by maintaining PSY in a properly folded form and preventing PSY degradation by the Clp protease. This is supported by our experiments demonstrating an increased PSY protein stability in the *OR* overexpression background and enhanced PSY activity in the *clpc1* × *AtOR* line (Figure 7). It is also supported by the recent discovery that OR possesses holdase activity to prevent PSY misfolding and aggregation (Park et al., 2016). Moreover, PSY is barely present in the *ator ator-like* double mutant and in the melon fruits of *low* β mutant (Zhou et al., 2015; Chayut et al., 2017), which results from lack of OR to protect PSY, thus enhancing its degradation.

Based on the data obtained, we propose a model for Clp protease and OR in governing the balance between PSY turnover

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

and activity in plastids (Figure 8). OR as a membrane protein physically interacts with PSY to promote PSY membrane association in its active form for carotenogenesis. Inactive misfolded and/or aggregated forms of PSY are likely recognized by ClpC1, either directly or upon recognition and delivery by ClpS1, and unfolded prior to proteolysis by the core Clp protease components. Upon increased OR abundance in the AtOR-overexpressing tissues, PSY protein is maintained in an active, membrane-associated state and thus prevented from proteolysis, while absence of OR results in PSY degradation, a regulation that occurs solely post-translationally. The suggested regulatory mechanism shares similarities with the post-translational regulation of tetrapyrrole and thus chlorophyll biosynthesis, catalyzed by GluTR (Apitz et al., 2016). The similar posttranslational regulations of crucial enzymes for the synthesis of major photosynthetic pigments, GluTR for tetrapyrrole and PSY for carotenoid biosynthesis, might contribute to an efficient coordinated supply of stoichiometrically balanced amounts for accurate assembly of photosynthetic complexes in chloroplasts.

METHODS

Plant Materials

Arabidopsis thaliana WT (ecotype Columbia-0) and mutant lines as well as *N. benthamiana* plants used for transformation were grown in soil under 14 h light/10 h dark at 23°C. The T-DNA insertion mutant lines used here included *clps1*, *clpc1*, *clpc2*, *clpd*, *clpr1-2*, and *clpt1/t2* (Pulido et al., 2016). The *clpp4* antisense line was generated by introducing *ClpP4* antisense construct into *Arabidopsis* (ecotype Columbia-0). The *clpc1* was also crossed with an *AtOR* overexpressor (Yuan et al., 2015b; Zhou et al., 2015) to produce *clpc1* × *AtOR* homozygous line. The *PSY-GFP* transgenic lines in WT and *OR*-overexpressing backgrounds were produced by introducing *35S:PSY-GFP* construct into *Arabidopsis* WT and the *OR*-overexpressing transgenic plants. The *rps5*, *xk-1*, *glk1/glk2*, *toc33*, *fd2*, and *hsp70-2* mutants were either ordered from ABRC or obtained from collaborators.

Co-immunoprecipitation

CoIP was conducted with quadruplicate biological replicates as described previously (Zhou et al., 2015). In brief, proteins were extracted from *Arabidopsis* plants expressing 35S:*PSY-GFP* or 35S: *GFP*, mixed with magnetic beads conjugated to anti-GFP antibodies (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA), and incubated on ice for 30 min. Protein complexes containing PSY-GFP and GFP were purified in μ columns by washing four times with extraction buffer and eluting with 2× SDS loading buffer.

Proteomics Analysis

The immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved on SDS–PAGE gels and then excised into 10 bands that were subjected to subsequent in-gel digestion as detailed previously (Yang et al., 2007). The digests were analyzed using a nanoACQUITY UPLC system coupled with a Synapt HDMSTM (Waters) mass spectrometer equipped with a NanoLockSpray source (Wang et al., 2013). All of the raw data were output as PKL files by the ProteinLynx Global Server 2.4 (PLGS, Waters). Subsequent database searches were carried out by Mascot Daemon 2.3 (Matrix Science, Boston, MA) against *Arabidopsis* databases (Araport11_latest, https://www.araport.org/). The search parameters used for the Mascot analysis were: one missed cleavage site by trypsin allowed with fixed carbamidomethyl modification of cysteine; and variable of oxidation on methionine and deamidation of Asn and Gln residues. The peptide and fragment mass tolerance values were 15 ppm and 0.1 Da, respectively. To reduce the probability of false identification, only peptides with

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

significance scores at the 99% confidence interval were counted as identified (Wang et al., 2013).

Yeast Two-Hybrid Assay

The split ubiquitin system was used as described previously (Zhou et al., 2015). The cDNA sequences of *ClpC1* (At5g50920), *ClpC2* (At3g48870), *ClpD* (At5g51070), and *ClpS1* (At1g68660) along with plastidial Hsp70-2 (At5g49910) without the sequences encoding their transit peptides were cloned to make Nub plasmids. The cDNA sequence of an *Arabidopsis* K⁺ channel subunit *KAT* (At5g46240; Obrdlik et al., 2004) used as negative control was cloned into the Cub-expressing vector. The PSY-Cub and OR-Cub vectors were from the previous study (Zhou et al., 2015). Plasmids were transformed into yeast strain THY.AP4 (Nub) or THY.AP5 (Cub) and mated with each other. Interactions were examined by placing yeast strains with a series of dilutions on selection medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, adenine, and histidine (–LWAH) with 300 μ M methionine supplementation after 2 days of growth at 29°C.

Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation Assay

The *ClpC1*, *ClpD*, and *ClpS1* as well as *HSP70-2*, *TAC17* (At1g80480), and *ClpF* (At2g03390) coding regions without stop codons were individually cloned into pSPYNE173 vector (Waadt et al., 2008) between the appropriate restriction enzyme sites, then transferred into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. *Agrobacterium* cells carrying the *PSY* and individual *Clp* constructs were infiltrated into 4-week-old *N*. *benthamiana* leaves as previously described (Zhou et al., 2015). Two days after infiltration, YFP fluorescence was detected using a Leica TCS SP5 laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Exon, PA, USA) with excitation wavelength at 488 nm and emission filter at 520 nm. Chloroplasts were excited with the blue argon laser (488 nm), and emitted light was collected at 680–700 nm.

Immunoblotting Analysis

Total proteins were extracted from *Arabidopsis* leaves using the phenol method as described by Wang et al. (2013). Proteins were resolved on SDS–PAGE gels, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (B85), and blocked with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 5% milk for 1 hr at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with antibodies against GGPS11 (Eurogentec, Belgium), PSY (Abmart, Shanghai, China), DXS (Philippe Hugueney, INRA, France), PDS (Al-Babili et al., 1996), ZDS (Eurogentec, Belgium), BCH (Abmart, Shanghai, China), ZEP (Agrisera), OR (Lu et al., 2006), or actin (Sigma) in TBS containing 1% milk for 2 h. The ECL reagent (GE Healthcare, München, Germany) was used as the detection system. The relative protein levels were quantified using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012).

Phytoene Synthase Degradation and Stability Assays

To examine the effect of Clp protease on PSY degradation, we transferred 3-week-old *Arabidopsis* plants of WT and *clpc1* grown at 23°C to 42°C for heat-shock treatment, and collected rosette leaves at different times after treatment. To measure the PSY stability, we treated 3-week-old rosette leaf discs of PSY-GFP lines in the *Arabidopsis* WT and *OR* overexpressor backgrounds with 100 μ M cycloheximide (CHX) for various times. Total proteins from treated samples were extracted and analyzed by immunoblotting (Zhou et al., 2015). Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method. The PSY protein was immunoblotted with anti-PSY antibody for PSY degradation assay and with anti-GFP for stability assay.

Chloroplast Isolation and In Vitro Enzyme Activity Assays

In vitro PSY activity assay was carried out with isolated chloroplast membranes as described by Zhou et al. (2015). In brief, chloroplasts were isolated from leaves of 3-week old plants and lysed as described by van Wijk et al. (2007). Protein amounts were determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). Plastid membranes (100 μ g protein) were incubated in a reaction mixture containing [¹⁴C]isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP, 50 mCi mmol⁻¹; American Radiolabeled Chemicals), dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP), and 10 μg of purified *Arabidopsis* GGPS11. Radioactive labeled products were analyzed and quantified as described by Welsch et al. (2000). [¹⁴C]GGPP and [¹⁴C]phytoene synthesis occurred linearly within the first 45 min after substrate addition. PSY activities in different samples were determined after 15 min of incubation. Stromal proteins were concentrated by ultrafiltration (Microcon, MWCO 3 kDa, Millipore).

RNA Extraction, Reverse Transcription, and Real-Time qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from *Arabidopsis* leaves using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) after RQ1 DNase treatment. qRT–PCR was performed using SYBR master mix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) with genespecific primers (Supplemental Table 3) as described by Zhou et al. (2011a). The relative expression of selected genes was normalized to an *Arabidopsis actin* gene.

Pigment Analysis

Total carotenoid and chlorophyll extraction and HPLC analysis of carotenoids were performed as described by Welsch et al. (2008). Chlorophylls were extracted with 80% acetone and determined as previously described (Zhou et al., 2011b). Norflurazon (NFZ) treatments were carried out according to Zhou et al. (2015). Leaves from 3-week old plants were detached, immediately transferred onto 70 mM NFZ, and incubated for 2 h in the dark. Leaves were transferred onto 10 mM NFZ and further incubated for 4 h with 100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Leaves were incubated with the adaxial surface facing the air. Leaves were harvested immediately, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and lyophilized before carotenoid extraction.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information is available at Molecular Plant Online.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R.W., X.Z., H.Y., D.Á., T.S., D.S., and Y.Y. performed the experiments and data analysis. R.W., X.Z., H.Y., and L.L. designed the research. T.W.T. guided the proteomics experiments and data analysis. G.S., H.Z., and M.R.-C. provided research agents. M.R.-C. assisted in data analysis and interpretation. R.W., X.Z., and L.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Klaas van Wijk (Cornell University) for his critical comments and helpful suggestions to improve this paper. We thank Dr. Hongbin Zhang for performing the BiFC experiments between ClpS1 and TAC/ClpF, Ms. Caroline Rodriguez, Sarah Melesse (Cornell University), and Carmen Schubert (University of Freiburg) for technical assistance. We thank Dr. Julian Koschmieder (University of Freiburg) for supplying antibodies against PDS and ZDS, Dr. Philippe Hugueney (Université de Strasbourg, France) for anti-DXS antibodies, and Dr. Roberto Bassi (Università di Verona, Italy) for antibodies against LUT5. This work was supported by Agriculture and Food Research Initiative competitive award no. 2016-67013-24612 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture and by the HarvestPlus research consortium (2014H6320.FRE). The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: August 9, 2017 Revised: October 1, 2017 Accepted: November 10, 2017 Published: November 16, 2017

REFERENCES

Al-Babili, S., von Lintig, J., Haubruck, H., and Beyer, P. (1996). A novel, soluble form of phytoene desaturase from Narcissus pseudonarcissus

Molecular Plant

Molecular Plant

chromoplasts is Hsp70-complexed and competent for flavinylation, membrane association and enzymatic activation. Plant J. 9:601–612.

- Alvarez, D., Voß, B., Maass, D., Wüst, F., Schaub, P., Beyer, P., and Welsch, R. (2016). Carotenogenesis is regulated by 5'UTR-mediated translation of phytoene synthase splice variants. Plant Physiol. 172:2314–2326.
- Apel, W., Schulze, W.X., and Bock, R. (2010). Identification of protein stability determinants in chloroplasts. Plant J. **63**:636–650.
- Apitz, J., Nishimura, K., Schmied, J., Wolf, A., Hedtke, B., van Wijk, K.J., and Grimm, B. (2016). Posttranslational control of ALA synthesis includes GluTR degradation by Clp protease and stabilization by GluTR-binding protein. Plant Physiol. 170:2040–2051.
- Bonk, M., Hoffmann, B., Von Lintig, J., Schledz, M., Al-Babili, S., Hobeika, E., Kleinig, H., and Beyer, P. (1997). Chloroplast import of four carotenoid biosynthetic enzymes in vitro reveals differential fates prior to membrane binding and oligomeric assembly. Eur. J. Biochem. 247:942–950.
- Carol, P., Stevenson, D., Bisanz, C., Breitenbach, J., Sandmann, G., Mache, R., Coupland, G., and Kuntz, M. (1999). Mutations in the *Arabidopsis* gene *IMMUTANS* cause a variegated phenotype by inactivating a chloroplast terminal oxidase associated with phytoene desaturation. Plant Cell **11**:57–68.
- Chayut, N., Yuan, H., Ohali, S., Meir, A., Sa'ar, U., Tzuri, G., Zheng, Y., Mazourek, M., Gepstein, S., Zhou, X., et al. (2017). Distinct mechanisms of the ORANGE protein in controlling carotenoid flux. Plant Physiol. 173:376–389.
- **Clarke, A.K.** (2012). The chloroplast ATP-dependent Clp protease in vascular plants—new dimensions and future challenges. Physiol. Plant **145**:235–244.
- Desimone, M., Weiß-Wichert, C., Wagner, E., Altenfeld, U., and Johanningmeier, U. (1997). Immunochemical studies on the clpprotease in chloroplasts: evidence for the formation of a ClpC/P complex. Bot. Acta 110:234–239.
- Falk, S., and Sinning, I. (2010). cpSRP43 is a novel chaperone specific for light-harvesting chlorophyll a,b-binding proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 285:21655–21661.
- Fraser, P.D., Kiano, J.W., Truesdale, M.R., Schuch, W., and Bramley, P.M. (1999). Phytoene synthase-2 enzyme activity in tomato does not contribute to carotenoid synthesis in ripening fruit. Plant Mol. Biol. 40:687–698.
- Fraser, P.D., Schuch, W., and Bramley, P.M. (2000). Phytoene synthase from tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) chloroplasts—partial purification and biochemical properties. Planta 211:361–369.
- Flores-Pérez, U., Sauret-Güeto, S., Gas, E., Jarvis, P., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2008). A mutant impaired in the production of plastome-encoded proteins uncovers a mechanism for the homeostasis of isoprenoid biosynthetic enzymes in *Arabidopsis* plastids. Plant Cell 20:1303–1315.
- Hemmerlin, A., Tritsch, D., Hartmann, M., Pacaud, K., Hoeffler, J.F., van Dorsselaer, A., Rohmer, M., and Bach, T.J. (2006). A cytosolic *Arabidopsis* D-xylulose kinase catalyzes the phosphorylation of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose into a precursor of the plastidial isoprenoid pathway. Plant Physiol. **142**:441–457.
- Hirschberg, J. (1999). Production of high-value compounds: carotenoids and vitamin E. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 10:186–191.
- Jarvis, P., Chen, L.J., Li, H., Peto, C.A., Fankhauser, C., and Chory, J. (1998). An Arabidopsis mutant defective in the plastid general protein import apparatus. Science 282:100–103.
- Kachanovsky, D.E., Filler, S., Isaacson, T., and Hirschberg, J. (2012). Epistasis in tomato color mutations involves regulation of *phytoene synthase 1* expression by *cis*-carotenoids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109:19021–19026.
- **12** Molecular Plant ■, 1–14, ■ 2017 © The Author 2017.

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

- Kato, Y., and Sakamoto, W. (2010). New insights into the types and function of proteases in plastids. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 280:185–218.
- Kim, J., Rudella, A., Ramirez Rodriguez, V., Zybailov, B., Olinares, P.D., and van Wijk, K.J. (2009). Subunits of the plastid ClpPR protease complex have differential contributions to embryogenesis, plastid biogenesis, and plant development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 21:1669–1692.
- Kim, J., Olinares, P.D., Oh, S.H., Ghisaura, S., Poliakov, A., Ponnala, L., and van Wijk, K.J. (2013). Modified Clp protease complex in the ClpP3 null mutant and consequences for chloroplast development and function in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. **162**:157–179.
- Kim, J., Kimber, M.S., Nishimura, K., Friso, G., Schultz, L., Ponnala, L., and van Wijk, K.J. (2015). Structures, functions, and interactions of ClpT1 and ClpT2 in the Clp protease system of *Arabidopsis* chloroplasts. Plant Cell 27:1477–1496.
- Koussevitzky, S., Stanne, T.M., Peto, C.A., Giap, T., Sjögren, L.L., Zhao, Y., Clarke, A.K., and Chory, J. (2007). An Arabidopsis thaliana virescent mutant reveals a role for ClpR1 in plastid development. Plant Mol. Biol. 63:85–96.
- Liang, F.C., Kroon, G., McAvoy, C.Z., Chi, C., Wright, P.E., and Shan, S.O. (2016). Conformational dynamics of a membrane protein chaperone enables spatially regulated substrate capture and release. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113:E1615–E1624.
- Lu, S., Van Eck, J., Zhou, X., Lopez, A.B., O'Halloran, D.M., Cosman, K.M., Conlin, B.J., Paolillo, D.J., Garvin, D.F., Vrebalov, J., et al. (2006). The cauliflower or gene encodes a DnaJ cysteine-rich domain-containing protein that mediates high levels of beta-carotene accumulation. Plant Cell 18:3594–3605.
- Luo, Z., Zhang, J., Li, J., Yang, C., Wang, T., Ouyang, B., Li, H., Giovannoni, J., and Ye, Z. (2013). A STAY-GREEN protein SISGR1 regulates lycopene and β-carotene accumulation by interacting directly with SIPSY1 during ripening processes in tomato. New Phytol. **198**:442–452.
- Lätari, K., Wüst, F., Hübner, M., Schaub, P., Beisel, K.G., Matsubara, S., Beyer, P., and Welsch, R. (2015). Tissue-specific apocarotenoid glycosylation contributes to carotenoid homeostasis in *Arabidopsis* leaves. Plant Physiol. 168:1550–1562.
- McDonald, A.E., Ivanov, A.G., Bode, R., Maxwell, D.P., Rodermel, S.R., and Hüner, N.P. (2011). Flexibility in photosynthetic electron transport: the physiological role of plastoquinol terminal oxidase (PTOX). Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1807:954–967.
- **Majeran, W., Wollman, F.A., and Vallon, O.** (2000). Evidence for a role of ClpP in the degradation of the chloroplast cytochrome $b_{6}f$ complex. Plant Cell **12**:137–150.
- Martel, C., Vrebalov, J., Tafelmeyer, P., and Giovannoni, J.J. (2011). The tomato MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR interacts with promoters involved in numerous ripening processes in a COLORLESS NONRIPENING-dependent manner. Plant Physiol. 157:1568–1579.
- Moreno, J.C., Tiller, N., Diez, M., Karcher, D., Tillich, M., Schöttler, M.A., and Bock, R. (2017). Generation and characterization of a collection of knock-down lines for the chloroplast Clp protease complex in tobacco. J. Exp. Bot. 68:2199–2218.
- Nakagawara, E., Sakuraba, Y., Yamasato, A., Tanaka, R., and Tanaka,
 A. (2007). Clp protease controls chlorophyll b synthesis by regulating the level of chlorophyllide a oxygenase. Plant J. 49:800–809.
- Nisar, N., Li, L., Lu, S., Khin, N.C., and Pogson, B.J. (2015). Carotenoid metabolism in plants. Mol. Plant 8:68–82.
- Nishimura, K., and van Wijk, K.J. (2015). Organization, function and substrates of the essential Clp protease system in plastids. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1847**:915–930.

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

Molecular Plant

- Nishimura, K., Apitz, J., Friso, G., Kim, J., Ponnala, L., Grimm, B., and van Wijk, K.J. (2015). Discovery of a unique Clp component, ClpF, in chloroplasts: a proposed binary ClpF-ClpS1 adaptor complex functions in substrate recognition and delivery. Plant Cell 27:2677– 2691.
- Nishimura, K., Asakura, Y., Friso, G., Kim, J., Oh, S.H., Rutschow, H., Ponnala, L., and van Wijk, K.J. (2013). ClpS1 is a conserved substrate selector for the chloroplast Clp protease system in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 25:2276–2301.
- Norris, S.R., Barrette, T.R., and DellaPenna, D. (1995). Genetic dissection of carotenoid synthesis in *Arabidopsis* defines plastoquinone as an essential component of phytoene desaturation. Plant Cell **7**:2139– 2149.
- Obrdlik, P., El-Bakkoury, M., Hamacher, T., Cappellaro, C., Vilarino, C., Fleischer, C., Ellerbrok, H., Kamuzinzi, R., Ledent, V., Blaudez, D., et al. (2004). K⁺ channel interactions detected by a genetic system optimized for systematic studies of membrane protein interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101:12242–12247.
- Olinares, P.D., Kim, J., and van Wijk, K.J. (2011). The Clp protease system; a central component of the chloroplast protease network. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1807**:999–1011.
- Park, S., and Rodermel, S.R. (2004). Mutations in ClpC2/Hsp100 suppress the requirement for FtsH in thylakoid membrane biogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101:12765–12770.
- Park, S., Kim, H.S., Jung, Y.J., Kim, S.H., Ji, C.Y., Wang, Z., Jeong, J.C., Lee, H.S., Lee, S.Y., and Kwak, S.S. (2016). Orange protein has a role in phytoene synthase stabilization in sweet potato. Sci. Rep. 6:33563.
- Peltier, J.B., Ripoll, D.R., Friso, G., Rudella, A., Cai, Y., Ytterberg, J., Giacomelli, L., Pillardy, J., and van Wijk, K.J. (2004). Clp protease complexes from photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic plastids and mitochondria of plants, their predicted three-dimensional structures, and functional implications. J. Biol. Chem. 279:4768–4781.
- Pulido, P., Toledo-Ortiz, G., Phillips, M.A., Wright, L.P., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2013). Arabidopsis J-protein J20 delivers the first enzyme of the plastidial isoprenoid pathway to protein quality control. Plant Cell 25:4183–4194.
- Pulido, P., Llamas, E., Llorente, B., Ventura, S., Wright, L.P., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2016). Specific Hsp100 chaperones determine the fate of the first enzyme of the plastidial isoprenoid pathway for either refolding or degradation by the stromal Clp protease in *Arabidopsis*. PLoS Genet. 12:e1005824.
- Rodríguez-Villalón, A., Gas, E., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2009). Phytoene synthase activity controls the biosynthesis of carotenoids and the supply of their metabolic precursors in dark-grown *Arabidopsis* seedlings. Plant J. 60:424–435.
- Rowland, E., Kim, J., Bhuiyan, N.H., and van Wijk, K.J. (2015). The Arabidopsis chloroplast stromal N-terminome: complexities of amino-terminal protein maturation and stability. Plant Physiol. 169:1881–1896.
- Ruiz-Sola, M., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2012). Carotenoid biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*: a colorful pathway. Arabidopsis Book 10:e0158.
- Ruiz-Sola, M., Coman, D., Beck, G., Barja, M.V., Colinas, M., Graf, A., Welsch, R., Rütimann, P., Bühlmann, P., Bigler, L., et al. (2016). *Arabidopsis* GERANYLGERANYL DIPHOSPHATE SYNTHASE 11 is a hub isozyme required for the production of most photosynthesisrelated isoprenoids. New Phytol. 209:252–264.
- Schledz, M., al-Babili, S., von Lintig, J., Haubruck, H., Rabbani, S., Kleinig, H., and Beyer, P. (1996). Phytoene synthase from Narcissus pseudonarcissus: functional expression, galactolipid requirement,

topological distribution in chromoplasts and induction during flowering. Plant J. **10**:781–792.

- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9:671–675.
- Shumskaya, M., Bradbury, L.M.T., Monaco, R.R., and Wurtzel, E.T. (2012). Plastid localization of the key carotenoid enzyme phytoene synthase is altered by isozyme, allelic variation, and activity. Plant Cell 24:3725–3741.
- Sjögren, L.L., MacDonald, T.M., Sutinen, S., and Clarke, A.K. (2004). Inactivation of the *clpC1* gene encoding a chloroplast Hsp100 molecular chaperone causes growth retardation, leaf chlorosis, lower photosynthetic activity, and a specific reduction in photosystem content. Plant Physiol. **136**:4114–4126.
- Sjögren, L.L., Tanabe, N., Lymperopoulos, P., Khan, N.Z., Rodermel, S.R., Aronsson, H., and Clarke, A.K. (2014). Quantitative analysis of the chloroplast molecular chaperone ClpC/Hsp93 in *Arabidopsis* reveals new insights into its localization, interaction with the Clp proteolytic core, and functional importance. J. Biol. Chem. 289:11318–11330.
- Stanne, T.M., Sjögren, L.L., Koussevitzky, S., and Clarke, A.K. (2009). Identification of new protein substrates for the chloroplast ATPdependent Clp protease supports its constitutive role in *Arabidopsis*. Biochem. J. 417:257–268.
- Sun, T., Yuan, H., Cao, H., Yazdani, M., Tadmor, Y., and Li, L. (2017). Carotenoid metabolism in plants: the role of plastids. Mol. Plant https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.09.010.
- Tapken, W., Kim, J., Nishimura, K., van Wijk, K.J., and Pilon, M. (2015). The Clp protease system is required for copper ion-dependent turnover of the PAA2/HMA8 copper transporter in chloroplasts. New Phytol. 205:511–517.
- Toledo-Ortiz, G., Huq, E., and Rodríguez-Concepción, M. (2010). Direct regulation of phytoene synthase gene expression and carotenoid biosynthesis by phytochrome-interacting factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107:11626–11631.
- Toledo-Ortiz, G., Johansson, H., Lee, K.P., Bou-Torrent, J., Stewart, K., Steel, G., Rodríguez-Concepción, M., and Halliday, K.J. (2014). The HY5-PIF regulatory module coordinates light and temperature control of photosynthetic gene transcription. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004416.
- van Wijk, K.J. (2015). Protein maturation and proteolysis in plant plastids, mitochondria, and peroxisomes. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 66:75–111.
- van Wijk, K.J., Peltier, J.B., and Giacomelli, L. (2007). Isolation of chloroplast proteins from *Arabidopsis thaliana* for proteome analysis. Methods Mol. Biol. **355**:43–48.
- Voss, I., Koelmann, M., Wojtera, J., Holtgrefe, S., Kitzmann, C., Backhausen, J.E., and Scheibe, R. (2008). Knockout of major leaf ferredoxin reveals new redox-regulatory adaptations in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Physiol. Plant **133**:584–598.
- Waadt, R., Schmidt, L.K., Lohse, M., Hashimoto, K., Bock, R., and Kudla, J. (2008). Multicolor bimolecular fluorescence complementation reveals simultaneous formation of alternative CBL/CIPK complexes in planta. Plant J. 56:505–516.
- Wang, Y.Q., Yang, Y., Fei, Z., Yuan, H., Fish, T., Thannhauser, T.W., Mazourek, M., Kochian, L.V., Wang, X., and Li, L. (2013). Proteomic analysis of chromoplasts from six crop species reveals insights into chromoplast function and development. J. Exp. Bot. 64:949–961.
- Waters, M.T., Moylan, E.C., and Langdale, J.A. (2008). GLK transcription factors regulate chloroplast development in a cellautonomous manner. Plant J. 56:432–444.
- Welsch, R., Beyer, P., Hugueney, P., Kleinig, H., and von Lintig, J. (2000). Regulation and activation of phytoene synthase, a key

Molecular Plant

enzyme in carotenoid biosynthesis, during photomorphogenesis. Planta **211**:846–854.

- Welsch, R., Wüst, F., Bär, C., Al-Babili, S., and Beyer, P. (2008). A third phytoene synthase is devoted to abiotic stress-induced abscisic acid formation in rice and defines functional diversification of phytoene synthase genes. Plant Physiol. **147**:367–380.
- Wurtzel, E.T., Cuttriss, A., and Vallabhaneni, R. (2012). Maize provitamin a carotenoids, current resources, and future metabolic engineering challenges. Front. Plant Sci. **3**:29.
- Yang, Y., Zhang, S., Howe, K., Wilson, D.B., Moser, F., Irwin, D., and Thannhauser, T.W. (2007). A comparison of nLC-ESI-MS/MS and nLC-MALDI-MS/MS for GeLC-based protein identification and iTRAQ-based shotgun quantitative proteomics. J. Biomol. Tech. 18:226–237.
- Yuan, H., Zhang, J., Nageswaran, D., and Li, L. (2015a). Carotenoid metabolism and regulation in horticultural crops. Hortic. Res. 2:15036.
- Yuan, H., Owsiany, K., Sheeja, T.E., Zhou, X., Rodriguez, C., Li, Y., Welsch, R., Chayut, N., Yang, Y., Thannhauser, T.W., et al. (2015b). A single amino acid substitution in an ORANGE protein promotes carotenoid overaccumulation in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Physiol. 169:421–431.
- Zhang, J., Yuan, H., Yang, Y., Fish, T., Lyi, S.M., Thannhauser, T.W., Zhang, L., and Li, L. (2016). Plastid ribosomal protein S5 is involved in photosynthesis, plant development, and cold stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. J. Exp. Bot. **67**:2731–2744.

Post-translational Regulation of PSY by Clp and OR

- Zheng, B., Halperin, T., Hruskova-Heidingsfeldova, O., Adam, Z., and Clarke, A.K. (2002). Characterization of Chloroplast Clp proteins in *Arabidopsis*: localization, tissue specificity and stress responses. Physiol. Plant **114**:92–101.
- Zhou, X., McQuinn, R., Fei, Z., Wolters, A.A., Van Eck, J., Brown, C., Giovannoni, J.J., and Li, L. (2011a). Regulatory control of high levels of carotenoid accumulation in potato tubers. Plant Cell Environ. 34:1020–1030.
- Zhou, X., Fei, Z., Thannhauser, T.W., and Li, L. (2011b). Transcriptome analysis of ectopic chloroplast development in green curd cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *botrytis*). BMC Plant Biol. 11:169.
- Zhou, F., Wang, C.-Y., Gutensohn, M., Jiang, L., Zhang, P., Zhang, D., Dudareva, N., and Lu, S. (2017). A recruiting protein of geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase controls metabolic flux toward chlorophyll biosynthesis in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.USA 114:6866–6871.
- Zhou, X., Welsch, R., Yang, Y., Álvarez, D., Riediger, M., Yuan, H., Fish, T., Liu, J., Thannhauser, T.W., and Li, L. (2015). *Arabidopsis* OR proteins are the major posttranscriptional regulators of phytoene synthase in controlling carotenoid biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112:3558–3563.
- Zybailov, B., Friso, G., Kim, J., Rudella, A., Rodríguez, V.R., Asakura, Y., Sun, Q., and van Wijk, K.J. (2009). Large scale comparative proteomics of a chloroplast Clp protease mutant reveals folding stress, altered protein homeostasis, and feedback regulation of metabolism. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 8:1789–1810.