In the first two fields, please provide comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the application’s ability to address each of the CALUE Undergraduate Scholar Project Funding review criterion individually. Additional explanations for each criterion are included to assist you in determining those strengths and weaknesses.

You are permitted to consider other relevant criteria when assessing the application, but it must be explicitly stated. Furthermore, please explain the relevance of the additional criteria to the field of study on which you choose to base your assessment.

Finally, CALUE asks that you write a brief summary statement explaining your overall rating of the application. The statement should express your thoughts on how well the application meets both criteria and provide other constructive feedback.

PLEASE NOTE: Your comments will be returned to the applicant (omitting personal identification information) so please be constructive in your delivery.

Review Criteria:

1. **What is the impact of undergraduate student’s contributions to the project?**
   Based on the information presented on the application, how much of an additional impact on the overall project will be provided by funding this researcher? Additionally, is sufficient explanation provided to support the capacity for reportable results to be produced in a timeframe that aligns with the 2017 TTU Undergraduate Research Conference abstract submission deadline (early-to-mid January 2017)?

2. **What cognitive, intellectual, and/or experiential growth opportunities will the student gain from participation in the project?**
   For example, will the researcher gain experience using specialized lab equipment or implementing discipline specific theories and practices that will prepare them for future educational, professional, or research opportunities?
Please evaluate the application based on the two review criteria:

1. What is the impact of the undergraduate student’s contributions to the project?

2. What cognitive, intellectual, and/or experiential growth opportunities will the student gain from participation in the project?
3. Please assign an overall numerical rating of the application: ______ pts.
   (1= do not fund; 5= potential to resubmit (with revisions) during the next funding cycle; 10= Highly recommended for funding)

4. Please write a brief summary statement explaining your overall rating of the application and how well you feel the application meets both criteria.

5. Conflict Of Interests
   If you have an affiliation or financial connection with the faculty mentor or researcher submitting this application that might be construed as creating a conflict of interest, please describe those affiliations or interests below. Regardless of any such affiliations or interests, unless you believe you cannot be objective, CALUE would like to have your review. If you do not list any affiliations CALUE shall assume that you have no conflicting interests.