

PART I CODE OF STUDENT CONDUCT

SECTION A: STUDENT CONDUCT MISSION AND POLICIES

The *Code of Student Conduct* outlines behavioral standards developed by the University community for students and student organizations and the related procedures for addressing misconduct. Students should be aware that the student conduct process is not a criminal or civil court proceeding. Students and student organizations are responsible for actions that constitute misconduct and violate the *Code of Student Conduct*. Any student or student organization found responsible for misconduct may be subject to conduct sanctions.

The University provides a fair and equitable student conduct process, utilizing a thorough, neutral, and impartial investigation, from which is generated a prompt resolution.

The *Code of Student Conduct* and related processes educate students about their rights and responsibilities while promoting holistic development, self-worth, and mutual respect for all members of the University community. Freedom of discussion, inquiry and expression is also fostered by an environment in which the privileges of citizenship are protected and the obligations of citizenship are understood.

The Office of Student Conduct is committed to an educational and developmental process that balances the interests of individual students with the interests of the University community. No student will be found in violation of University policy without sufficient information and evidence showing that it is more likely than not that a policy violation occurred and any sanctions will be proportionate to the severity of the violation and to the cumulative conduct history of the student.

The student conduct process at the University exists to protect the interests of the community and to challenge those whose behavior is not in accordance with our policies. Sanctions are intended to challenge students' moral and ethical decision-making and to help them bring their behavior into accord with our community expectations and values. When a student is unable to conform their behavior to community expectations, the student conduct process may determine that the student should no longer share in the privilege of participating in this community.

1. Disciplinary Authority

The authority to enact and enforce regulations of the University is vested in the Board of Regents. The responsibility for enforcing the regulations and imposing penalties is delegated to the President of the University and any University officials the President designates. The Office of the Vice Provost, Undergraduate Education and Student Affairs is the principal agency for the administration of student conduct. The Office of the Dean of Students, the Office of Student Conduct, and University Student Housing shall implement the student discipline procedures. All references to the officials listed above shall be interpreted to include persons designated to act on their behalf.

The Dean of Students, Managing Director of the Office of Student Conduct and the Title IX Administrator, herein after referred to as the Managing Director or designee, will assume responsibility for the investigation of an allegation of misconduct to determine if the complaint has merit.

a. Investigator

An Investigator is a trained University staff member whose role is to conduct a thorough, reliable, and impartial investigation and compile the information that they gathered into an Investigation Report. In most cases heard by a Hearing Panel, the Investigator will present the information and evidence obtained through the investigation to the Panel, which will make a determination of responsible or not responsible and assign a sanction. In non-Title IX cases heard through the Administrative Hearing process, or completed via an Informal Conference, the Investigator may issue allegations, may render findings and may issue sanctions. In Sanction Only Hearings for non-Title IX cases, the Investigator will issue allegations and the finding and may issue the sanction(s) but may at times only issue the allegations and the finding.

Investigators are assigned to cases by the Dean of Students, the Managing Director or designee, or Title IX Administrator. Investigators may be staff members in the Office of Student Conduct, or trained staff in other departments such as the Office for Student Rights & Resolution, the Office of the Dean of Students, Center for Campus Life, and University Student Housing. The investigator may assist the Resource Person or Committee Chairperson during the hearing.

b. Administrative Hearing Officer

An Administrative Hearing Officer is a trained University staff member whose role is to make a decision of responsibility and assign sanctions, as appropriate in an Administrative Hearing. In non-Title IX cases, the Administrative Hearing Officer may be the Investigator who completed the Investigation/Investigation Report, or an Administrative Hearing Officer assigned by the Managing Director or designee.

c. Hearing Panel

The Hearing Panel will conduct disciplinary Panel Hearings. The Panel will be composed of full-time faculty members, full-time students, full-time staff, and Administrative Hearing officers.

1. Hearing Panel Appointments

The Managing Director or designee will be responsible for assembling and training the Hearing Panel annually. Students, faculty and staff may apply to serve to serve on the Hearing Panel pool by contacting the Office of Student Conduct. The Hearing Panel will consist of students, faculty, and staff members, according to the following guidelines:

a. Student Recommendations

The President of the Student Government Association, Graduate Student Advisory Council, and the President of the Residence Halls Association, and Associate Academic Deans are invited to make recommendations for student members to serve on the Hearing Panel. Upon recommendation, student members will be invited to participate in an application process, prior to appointment. Upon completion of the application process, selected student members will be appointed by the Managing Director or designee. To be eligible for appointment, undergraduate students must be considered full-time students, be in academic good standing and have completed 12 hours of Texas Tech University academic credit with a cumulative GPA of at least 2.5, be in good standing with the Office of Student Conduct throughout the semester(S) in which they serve. Good standing is defined as having no record of misconduct during the semester(s) in which a student wishes to serve on the Panel. Graduate students must be in

academic good standing and have completed 6 hours of Texas Tech University academic credit with a cumulative GPA of at least 3.0, and be in good standing with the Office of Student Conduct throughout the semester in which they serve. Good standing is defined as having no record of misconduct during the semester(s) in which a student wishes to serve on the Panel. A serious history of misconduct could disqualify a student for service. Students must submit a letter of recommendation from a faculty member or administrator from within the University community.

b. Faculty Recommendations

The President of the Faculty Senate and Associate Academic Deans are invited to make recommendations for faculty members to serve on the Hearing Panel. Upon recommendation, faculty members will be invited to participate in an application process, prior to appointment. Upon completion of the application process, faculty members will be appointed by the Managing Director or designee.

c. Staff Appointments

The President of the Staff Senate is invited to make recommendations for staff members to serve on the Hearing Panel. Upon recommendation, staff members will be invited to participate in an application process, prior to appointment. Upon completion of the application process, staff members will be appointed by the Managing Director or designee, in consultation with the Dean of Students. Staff who served as Investigators will not serve as a Resource Person or Panel Member in a Hearing.

d. Administrative Hearing Officer Appointments

An Administrative Hearing Officer may be appointed as member of the Hearing Panel by the Managing Director or designee and are selected from the group of trained University staff members designated as Administrative Hearing Officers. Administrative Hearing Officers who served as Investigators in the case may not serve on the Hearing Panel as a voting participant or as the non-voting Resource Person, and will participate only as the Investigator in the Panel Hearing.

2. Hearing Panel Terms

Each member will be appointed for a single one-year term by the Managing Director or designee. Members may be re-appointed for consecutive one-year terms, but must complete the Hearing Panel training each year.

3. Hearing Panel Composition

For each Panel Hearing, a Panel of three (3) members will be chosen from the available pool by the Managing Director or designee. The Hearing Panel will usually be comprised of one student, one faculty member, and one staff member or Administrative Hearing Officer. Availability may determine a different composition for the Panel. In cases involving Part I, section B.1 (Academic Misconduct), the Hearing Panel will be comprised only of students and faculty. In cases involving Part I, section B.2 (Actions against Members of the University Community and Others), or other sensitive issues, the Managing Director or designee will appoint three Hearing Panel members for the Panel. A Hearing Panel Chair may be selected in order to assist the Resource Person in conducting an orderly hearing. A Hearing Panel Chair may not be a student.

4. Resource Person

The Managing Director or designee appoints a Resource Person in each Panel Hearing.

The Resource Person is a non-voting participant and assures that University/College procedures are followed throughout the Panel Hearing. The Resource Person is responsible for composing the Panel's decision, assisting the Panel in composing the rationale, assisting the Panel in drafting the decision letter, providing clarification on policy and procedure and providing clarification on appropriate sanctions, if assigned.

5. Removal of a Hearing Panel Member

The Managing Director or designee may remove a member from this Panel when, in his/her judgment, the member has failed or refused to effectively serve and perform the duties and functions of the Panel. The Hearing Panel member may recuse themselves on a case by case basis if there is a conflict of interest. Additionally, the Complainant or Respondent may request the removal of a Panel Member whose ability to be impartial is in question. The Managing Director or designee will review and approve or deny student requests for removal of a Panel Member.

6. Panel Hearings

The Office of Student Conduct will establish meeting dates and times during which cases will be heard and will provide for scheduling special meetings as needed. Panel Hearings will be conducted by a subgroup of the Hearing Panel Members.

7. Panel Hearing Deliberation

When deliberating a case, the Hearing Panel will meet in closed session with only voting Panel members present.

8. Additional Hearing Panel Members

The Managing Director for the Office of Student Conduct or designee may appoint additional members of the Hearing Panel to expedite the orderly disposition of cases and/or to aid in the administration of disciplinary action within the University. The additional member(s) of the Hearing Panel will complete the same training, have the same duties and Responsibilities, and the same authority as the original Hearing Panel member.

9. Hearing Panel Orientation & Training

Prior to serving in a Hearing, members of the Hearing Panel will be required to participate in an orientation and training program facilitated by the Office of Student Conduct. Members are encouraged to attend additional trainings throughout their service on the Hearing Panel.

d. The *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee

The *Code of Student Conduct* is reviewed every year by the *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee in conjunction with the Office of Student Conduct, University Student Housing, Dean of Students, and the Vice Provost for Student Affairs. The *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee will conduct an annual review of the *Code of Student Conduct* and make recommendations to the Vice Provost for Student Affairs regarding omissions, clarifications, constructive changes and other matters relevant to the proper interpretation and operation of the *Code of Student Conduct*. The Vice Provost for Student Affairs will then present the *Code of Student Conduct* for review and consideration by the Board of Regents.

1. Committee Appointment

The *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee members are appointed by the Managing Director or designee who will invite recommendations by the President of the Faculty Senate, President of the Staff Senate, President of the Student Government

Association, President of the Graduate Student Assembly, and the President of the Residence Halls Association.

2. Committee Composition

The *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee will include members from the following classes of Texas Tech University community members:

- a. Full-time faculty;
- b. Full-time staff;
- c. Full-time undergraduate student(s);
- d. Full-time graduate student(s).

3. Committee Removals

The Managing Director or designee may remove a member from this committee when, in their judgment, the member has failed or refused to serve and perform the duties and functions of this committee.

4. Committee Meetings

The Office of Student Conduct will establish meeting dates and times during which the *Code of Student Conduct* will be reviewed and will provide for scheduling special meetings as needed.

5. Committee Quorum

A quorum for the committee is five (5) members.

6. Additional Committee Members

The Managing Director or designee may appoint additional members of the *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee to expedite the review process of the code. The additional members of the *Code of Student Conduct* Review Committee.

2. **Jurisdiction**

Students at the University are provided an electronic copy of the *Code of Student Conduct* annually in the form of a link on the Student Handbook website. Students are responsible for having read and abiding by the provisions of the *Code of Student Conduct*.

The University community has developed standards of behavior pertaining to students and to student organizations. Students and student organizations are subject to conduct action according to the provisions of the *Code of Student Conduct*. The University respects the rights and responsibilities of students and will consider each violation of University policy and each violation of federal, state and/or local law on a “case-by-case” basis and will further attempt to initially use educational options and subsequent intervention and/or prevention options to assist students.

The *Code of Student Conduct* and the student conduct process applies to the conduct of individual students, both undergraduate and graduate, including law students and all student organizations. For the purposes of student conduct, the University considers an individual to be a student when an offer of admission has been extended and thereafter as long as the student has a continuing educational interest in the University.

The *Code of Student Conduct* applies to behaviors that take place on University premises, at University-sponsored activities and events, and may apply to off-campus behavior when the Dean of Students or Managing Director or designee determine that the off-campus conduct affects a substantial University interest, such as situations where a student’s conduct may

present a danger or threat to the health or safety of him/herself or others; situations that infringe on the rights of other students; situations causing significant disruption to the educational community or detrimental to the educational mission of the University.

Proceedings under the *Code of Student Conduct* may be carried out prior to, independent of, concurrent with or following civil or criminal proceedings. Misconduct which may constitute a violation of federal, state, local laws, and/or Texas Tech University policy will be considered a violation of this policy, and will be investigated and adjudicated through the University conduct system and standard of proof. A lack of conviction in any criminal proceeding will not, in and of itself, serve as evidence in a University conduct proceeding.

Determinations made and/or sanctions imposed as a result of University disciplinary proceedings will not be subject to change because criminal charges arising out of the same facts that resulted in the violation of University rules were dismissed, reduced, or resolved in favor of or against a criminal law defendant.

The University retains conduct jurisdiction over students who choose to take a leave of absence, withdraw or have graduated for any misconduct that occurred prior to the leave, withdrawal or graduation. If sanctioned, a hold may be placed on the student's ability to reenroll, obtain official transcripts, and/or graduate. All sanctions must be satisfied prior to reenrollment eligibility. In the event of serious misconduct committed while still enrolled, but reported after the accused student has graduated, the University may invoke these procedures and should the former student be found responsible, the University may revoke that student's degree. The University reserves the right to address and adjudicate behavior of previously enrolled students when there is a continued University interest.

The *Code of Student Conduct* may be applied to behavior conducted online, via email, or other electronic medium. Students should also be aware that online postings such as blogs, web postings, chats and social networking sites are in the public sphere and are not private. These postings can subject a student to allegations of conduct violations if evidence of policy violations is posted online. The University does not regularly search for this information, but may take action when such information is brought to the attention of University officials.

The *Code of Student Conduct* applies to guests of community members whose hosts may be held accountable for the misconduct of their guests. The *Code* may also be applied to resident non-students, campers and high school bridge/extension/partner/dual-credit and continuing education program students, or by contractual agreement. Visitors to and guests of the University may seek resolution of violations of the *Code of Student Conduct* committed against them by members of University community.

3. **Notice**

Notice is deemed to have been properly provided when written notification is sent to the student's official assigned Texas Tech University email address, delivered via Certified Mail to the student's last known address, or personally delivered to the student. University email is the University's primary means of communication with students. Students are responsible for all communication delivered to their University email address. Students will be given a reasonable amount of time to respond to requests to meet with University officials. Pre-Scheduled meetings are scheduled around a student's published academic schedule and include the opportunity to

reschedule in the event of unavoidable conflicts. Should a student wish to reschedule an appointment, they should do so in a timely manner. The University will make all reasonable efforts to accommodate student schedule conflicts, but will not permit unreasonable delays in the Conduct Process. After proper notice has been given to the student, the Investigator or designee may proceed with the conduct process. Should a student fail to comply with the requests of an Investigator or designee, the Office of Student Conduct may issue a 'Failure to Comply' *Code of Student Conduct* allegation to the student. Students are advised to keep their most current local address, permanent address, and local telephone number updated in the student records system at www.raiderlink.ttu.edu/.

Student organizations are provided notice through the organization's spokesperson via the notification procedures described above. In most cases, the organization's spokesperson is the President of the organization. However, organizations may appoint an alternate spokesperson to formally represent the organization. A student organization spokesperson must be a currently enrolled Texas Tech University student who is a member of the responding organization. The spokesperson may not be an alumni advisor, chapter advisor, faculty/staff advisor, national or international headquarters volunteer or staff member, or coach. Student organizations may only appoint one spokesperson for each case and must inform the University in the event that the appointed spokesperson is not the President of the organization.

4. **Timelines**

It is recommended that reports of alleged violations of the *Code of Student Conduct* should be received by the Office of Student Conduct and/or the Office for Student Rights & Resolution within ten (10) University working days of the alleged incident to initiate conduct procedures. There is no time limit on reporting violations; however, the longer someone waits to report an offense, the more difficult it becomes to obtain information and evidence regarding the incidents.

The Office of Student Conduct and/or the Office for Student Rights & Resolution will make every effort to complete the process as quickly as possible. Timelines may vary depending on the availability of individuals participating in the process, availability of evidence, delays for concurrent criminal investigations, breaks between academic semesters, and other delays.

5. **Standards of Evidence**

The proceedings are not restricted by the rules of evidence governing criminal and civil proceedings. The standard of proof used in *Code of Student Conduct* proceedings is the preponderance of evidence, or more likely than not.

6. **Reporting Allegations of Misconduct**

To file allegation(s) of misconduct against student(s) or student organization(s), individuals should complete an online [incident report form](#). The written allegation should describe the action or behavior in question. Individuals may also file a report in person at the Office of Student Conduct, located in suite 211 of the Student Wellness Building. Staff are also available in the Office of the Dean of Students to take initial reports of allegations and assist with conduct processes. The Office of Student Conduct also regularly reviews reports submitted from Texas Tech University faculty and staff, University Student Housing, and the Texas Tech Police Department.

To submit a concern regarding a student organization or to file an allegation of misconduct against a student organization or its members, individuals (faculty, staff, students, organization members, parents, community members or other parties) may complete an online form. Individuals may also file a report in person with the Center for Campus Life, 201 Student Union. Staff are also available in the Office of the Dean of Students and Office of Student Conduct to discuss reports of misconduct against student organizations.

Student organization leaders, members, and/or advisors are encouraged to self-report organization or member behavior, including future events that may be considered violations of TTU policy.

To file allegations of misconduct against a student or student organization that involves gender or sex based harassment, the individual(s) should complete an online incident report form. The written allegation should describe the action or behavior in question. Individuals may also file a report in person at the Office for Student Rights & Resolution Student Union Building Room 232E.

If after an initial report has been made a student experiences a subsequent concern or continued incident(s) of alleged misconduct, a student may file an additional report pursuant to the procedures in this section (Part I, section A.6).

7. Confidentiality

Texas Tech University is committed to ensuring confidentiality during all stages of the student conduct process. If students are unsure whether they want to involve family or friends, and are not yet certain whether they want to report to the police or the University, there are resources available, both on and off campus, that offer confidential assistance and support. Information about the TTU Student Counseling Center can be found at www.depts.ttu.edu/scc/.

The University is committed to facilitating an environment that supports students reporting incidents of misconduct, and will always attempt to resolve a situation in accordance with a student's wishes. In most cases, the University will not initiate student conduct proceedings or take administrative action without consulting with the reporting student.

In some exceptional circumstances, where the incident in question presents a continuing threat to the campus community, the University may be required to investigate irrespective of the Complainant's desire to pursue allegations of student misconduct, and may be required to issue a "timely warning" to the campus community, as required by The Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act. Timely warnings do not include personally identifiable information of involved parties.

All reports of misconduct will be maintained with the highest possible level of confidentiality. Information provided by the student will only be shared with essential staff members and only as is necessary for the effective investigation and adjudication of the case. Where reports of misconduct involve other students, either as respondents or witnesses in the case, some information may need to be shared with those involved parties in order to complete a thorough investigation.

8. Anonymity

Texas Tech understands the sensitive nature of some incidents of alleged misconduct. Further, the University is mindful of a Complainants' desire, in some cases, to report an incident without disclosing their name or other identifying information. Texas Tech will always attempt to protect a student's anonymity if that is the student's request. Doing so, however, can oftentimes make it more difficult to thoroughly and effectively investigate an incident. The University will work with each student on a case-by-case basis to find the approach that best fits the student's wants and needs.

9. Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)

FERPA protects the privacy of student education records, including personally identifiable information derived from student conduct records. Generally, schools must have written permission from a student in order to release any information from a student's education record. FERPA allows schools to disclose student records, without consent, in situations including, but not limited to school officials with legitimate educational interest, other schools to which a student is transferring, to comply with a judicial order or lawfully issued subpoena, to parents when there is a health or safety emergency involving the student, to parents when the student has committed a disciplinary violation with respect to use or possession of alcohol or a controlled substance and the student is under 21 years of age at the time of the disclosure, to the complainant or subject of a crime of violence or a nonforcible sex offense concerning the final results of a disciplinary Hearing.

NOTE: Additional information on Student Records is available in The Student Handbook, Part II, Section P.

10. Student Organizations

Information gathered during an Investigation of student organization misconduct, as well as any conduct findings and decisions, may be shared with the student organization's Headquarters, sponsoring department, or organization as appropriate. This otherwise confidential information will not be shared with other students or the Greek community.

Student organization records do not affect the content of individual student records for members of those student organizations. A finding of responsibility for misconduct for a student organization does not indicate a finding of responsibility for individual students. Individual students may be subject to their own conduct processes separate from the student organization process.

All records concerning a student organization related to conduct processes will remain on file with the University for a minimum of seven (7) years from the date of the completion of the case via Informal Conference, any Hearing process, and/or conduct appeal processes.

11. Reporting Criminally

Some instances of student misconduct may also constitute a violation of state, federal, or local law. Students have the option to report misconduct to the University, to local law enforcement, or to both. Texas Tech administrators are happy to assist students in making a report to law enforcement, and will even accompany the student if they wish.

12. Amnesty

The University will provide educational options in lieu of conduct proceedings in certain situations. Examples of the amnesty provision include, but are not limited to:

- Victims of or witnesses to misconduct who were engaging in policy violations, such as underage drinking or drug use, at the time of the incident.
 - In investigations into matters of Actions Against Members of the University Community and Others, all involved parties may be reviewed under this amnesty provision.
- Students who offer assistance to others by calling medical personnel or law enforcement.
- Students who bring their own use, addiction, or dependency to alcohol, drugs, or other addictions to the attention of the University prior to any conduct incidents or reports.
- The university will not take any disciplinary action against a student who in good faith reports being the victim of, or witness to, an incident of sexual harassment, sexual assault, dating violence, or stalking, for a violation of the *Code of Student Conduct* occurring at or near the time of the incident, regardless of the location at which the incident occurred or the outcome of the disciplinary process regarding the incident.
- Students or Student Organizations who voluntarily and in good faith reports the incident before being contacted by the institution concerning the incident or otherwise being included in the institution's investigation of the incident.
- A student may not receive amnesty for reporting the student's own act of hazing of at least one or more others.
- A student may not receive amnesty if the student reports an act of hazing in bad faith or with malice.

Abuse of amnesty provisions can result in a violation of the *Code of Student Conduct*. Amnesty does not preclude students from being charged with allegations of misconduct related to Part II, section B.2 (Actions against Members of the University Community and Others). *The Code of Student Conduct* amnesty provisions do not influence criminal proceedings or charges. Amnesty does not preclude students from being required to meet with University staff and to participate in conditions such as counseling, alcohol assessments, and other requirements. The Office for Student Rights & Resolution may also assist with questions related to amnesty provisions, but the final determination regarding amnesty will be made by the Managing Director of the Office of Student Conduct or designee or the Title IX Administrator or designee in Title IX cases.

13. Withdrawal

A responding student facing an alleged violation of the *Code of Student Conduct* may have a hold placed on their transcript requiring them to contact the Managing Director or designee to request permission to withdraw from the University. While a student may be permitted to withdraw from the University, the hold will remain on the student's transcript until all allegations are resolved. The investigation into alleged conduct violations may continue regardless of the student's withdrawal or choice to participate in the Investigation. The University reserves the right to reinstate a student who withdraws at any point during the conduct process.

NOTE: *For information pertaining to withdrawing from a course involving Academic Integrity allegations, see Part II Community Policies Section Academic Integrity item d. Withdrawal and Assignment of Grades.*

SECTION B: MISCONDUCT

1. Academic Misconduct

Academic misconduct includes cheating, plagiarism, collusion, falsifying academic records, misrepresenting facts, violations of published professional ethics/standards, and any act or attempted act designed to give unfair academic advantage to oneself or another student. Additional information about academic misconduct is available in the Texas Tech University Community Policies section.

a. Cheating

1. Copying from another student's academic work, test, quiz, or other assignment
2. Receiving assistance from and/or seeking aid from another student or individual to complete academic work, test, quiz, or other assignment without authority.
3. The use or possession of materials or devices during academic work, test, quiz or other assignment which are not authorized by the person administering the academic work, test, quiz, or other assignment.
4. Possessing, using, buying, stealing, transporting, selling or soliciting in whole or in part items including, but not limited to, the contents of an unadministered test, test key, homework solution, or computer program/ software. Possession, at any time, of current or previous course materials without the instructor's permission.
5. Obtaining by any means, or coercing another person to obtain items including, but not limited to, an unadministered test, test key, homework solution or computer program/software, or information about an unadministered test, test key, homework solution or computer program.
6. Transmitting or receiving information about the contents of academic work, test, quiz, or other assignment with another individual who has completed or will complete the academic work, test, quiz, or other assignment without authority.
7. Substituting for another person, or permitting another person to substitute for oneself in order to take a course, take a test, quiz or other assignment or sign in/register attendance.
8. Taking, keeping, misplacing, damaging or altering the property of the University or of another, if the student knows or reasonably should know that an unfair academic advantage would be gained by such conduct.
9. Uploading, downloading, or accessing complete or incomplete academic work, test, quiz, or other assignment without the prior approval of the instructor.
10. Falsifying research data, laboratory reports, and/or other academic work offered for credit.
11. Failing to comply with instructions given by the person administering the academic work, test, quiz or other assignment.

b. Plagiarism/Self-Plagiarism

1. The representation of words, ideas, illustrations, structure, computer code, other expression or media of another as one's own and/or failing to properly cite direct, paraphrased or summarized materials.
2. The submission of the same academic work more than once without the prior permission of the instructor and/or failure to correctly cite previous work written by the same student.

c. Collusion