

TEXTILE TOPICS

TEXTILE RESEARCH CENTER . TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY . LUBBOCK, TEXAS . USA

Volume XII, No. 6 February 1984

ROTOR SPINNING OF WOOL: PART III This month we are continuing our report on the production of yarn from 100% wool at rotor spinning. We began this in the December issue of Textile Topics and continued with Part II last month. We have been pleased with the response to these reports and a little surprised at the inquiries we have received.

In this issue we are presenting the results of spinning the same wool (mixed lot ML previously reported) at different rotor speeds and using two different rotor diameters. The yarn number selected for this was $N_{\rm e}$ 6, which was spun on 66 mm and 88 mm rotors. Spinning data are given in Tables X through XII. It will be seen that the speed for the 66 mm rotor ranged from 15,000 to 40,000 rpm; when utilizing the 88 mm rotor, speeds were from 15,000 to 30,000 rpm.

Spinning performance with the 88 mm rotor was found quite poor as shown in Table XI. At 15,000 rpm there were a surprising number of breaks. On servicing the spinning unit to investigate the cause of the failures, a noticeable quantity of greasy material was found on the fiber contact surfaces. Spinning was stopped at a maximum rotor speed of 25,000 rpm and the entire spinbox was cleaned. The results of spinning after the grease was removed are shown in Table XII. The yarns produced from the cleaned unit tended to be more regular and could be spun at higher rotor speeds than those produced from the greasy spinbox. The differences in yarn properties between the two degrees of cleanliness within the spinboxes are depicted in Graphs 9 through 12, together with comparable data from the samples produced using the 66 mm rotor.

It should be noted that a lower twist level (3.5 TM) was employed when using the 88 mm rotor in anticipation of more stable spinning with the larger diameter. However, the data presented suggest that satisfactory performances could be expected with either rotor in the speed range of 20,000 to 25,000 rpm for the 66 mm and 15,000 to 20,000 rpm for the 88 mm.

This research was conducted for the Natural Fibers & Food Protein Commission of Texas. We wish to express appreciation to that organization for permitting the publication of these results.

SCHOLARSHIPS AWARDED TEXTILE ENGINEERING STUDENTS The Department of Textile Engineering at Texas Tech University is pleased that several of its students have received scholarships this semester. These were awarded on the basis of a grade point average of 3.0 or higher, and were made available by the Textile Research and Scholarship Foundation, an organization of business leaders in Texas, all of whom have a considerable interest in cotton production and its use by the textile industry.

Scholarship recipients this semester are all residents of Texas. They

Sliver	70 gr/yd Finisher Drawframe									
Rotor-Spinning Machine Nominal Yarn Number (Ne) Rotor Type	Suessen Spintester, SACM Unit 6 66 mm									
Rotor Speed (rpm)	15K	20K	25K	30K	35K	40K				
Opening Roller Type Opening Roller Speed (rpm) Draft Twist Multiplier	Selector"Vee-Notched" 5,000 49.0 3.76									
Yarn Speed (yd/min)	46	60	75	91	106	121				
Navel Ambient Conditions Tension Draft	8GS 70°F/56% RH 1.00									
Test Duration (minutes)	37	28	23	19	16	14				
Skein Test:										
Actual Yarn Number (Ne)	6.04	5.97	5.95	5.85	5.92					
CV of Yarn Number (%)	1.3	1.8	3.1	2.5	1.2					
Count-Strength-Product	648	716	709	664	644					
CV of CSP (%)	0.6	1.4	1.8	1.7	1.3					
Single Yarn Tensile Test:			·							
Tenacity (g/tex)	4.55	4.89	4.77	4.44	4.32	4.10				
Mean Strength (g)	447	486	483	431	423	404				
CV of Strength (%)	8.5	7.4	8.1	10.0	9.0	9.8				
Elongation (%)	20.0	20.8	19.9	18.2	17.0	14.7				
Uster Evenness Test:					1					
Non-Uniformity (CV%)	15.11	15.49	15.66	15.82	16.39	17.66				
Thin Places/1,000 yds	10	22	30	56	56	184				
Thick Places/1,000 yds	48	48	34	58	124	198				
Neps/1,000 γds	4	2	6	2	8	16				
Hairs/100 yds	2570	2097	2108	2240	2187	2277				
Performance:			Ì	1						
Number of Breaks	1	0	1 0	l o	1	1 1				




TABLE XI — Rotor-Spinning Data (88 mm Rotor - Greasy Deposit)

Sliver	70 gr/yd Finisher Drawframe									
Rotor-Spinning Machine Nominal Yarn Number (N _e) Rotor Type	Suessen Spintester, SACM Unit 6 88 mm									
Rotor Speed (rpm)	15K	17.5K	20K	22.5K	25K	27.5K				
Opening Roller Type Opening Roller Speed (rpm) Draft Twist Multiplier (&)	Selector Roll — Notched 5,000 49.0 3.52									
Yarn Speed (yd/min)	45	53	60	68	75	83				
Navel Tension Draft	8GS 1.00									
Test Duration (minutes)	35	31	26	23	21	T				
Skein Test:										
Actual Yarn Number (Ne)	6.02	5.97	5.95	5.91	5.87	1				
CV of Yarn Number (%)	2.1	1.0	0.4	1.3	1.2					
Count-Strength-Product	647	646	609	563	529					
CV of CSP (%)	1.4	2.0	2.6	2.8	4.5					
Single Yarn Tensile Test:										
Tenacity (g/tex)	4.41	4.47	4.10	4.06	3.74					
Mean Strength (g)	429	470	403	406	368					
CV of Strength (%)	10.1	15.9	11.7	12.3	11:.8					
Elongation (%)	18.6	19.9	18.7	18.4 ⁻	17.2					
Uster Evenness Test:	* _*					1				
Non-Uniformity (CV%)	15.60	15.50	16.00	16.61	17.13	*****				
Thin Places/1,000 yds	32	24	66	54	116					
Thick Places/1,000 yds	54	54	60	68	124					
Neps/1,000 yds	6	8	2	4	18					
Hairs/100 yds	2877	2714	2849	2882	2892					
Performance:										
Number of Breaks	4	3	1	0	2					






TABLE XII - Rotor-Spinning Data (88 mm Rotor - After Cleaning)

Sliver	70 gr/yd Finisher Drawframe								
Rotor-Spinning Machine Nominal Yarn No. (N _e) Rotor Type	Suessen Spintester, SACM Unit 6 88 mm								
Rotor Speed (rpm)	15 K	17.5 K	20 K	22.5 K	25 K	27.5 K	30K		
Opening Roller Type Opening Roller Speed (rpm) Draft Twist Multiplier ($\alpha_{\rm e}$)	Selector Roll — Notched 5,000 49.0 3.52								
Yarn Speed (yd/min)	45	53	60	68	75	83	91		
Navel Ambient Conditions Tension Draft	8GS 70°F/55% RH 1.00								
Test Duration (minutes)	. 35	29	26	23	21	19	17		
Skein Test: Actual Yarn Number (Ne) CV of Yarn Number (%) Count-Strength-Product CV of CSP (%) Single Yarn Tensile Test:	5.93 1.2 634 1.9	5.90 0.4 624 1.9	5.96 0.8 643 2.6	5.84 2.0 575 2.4	5.89 1.0 551 2.1	5.84 0.9 530 2.0	5.79 2.1 508 3.1		
Tenacity (g/tex) Mean Strength (g) CV of Strength (%) Elongation (%) Uster Evenness Test:	4.46 449 8.2 19.6	4.27 413 7.9 18.6	4.35 426 6.6 19.4	3.93 382 7.7 18.4	3.88 389 9.3 17.4	3.76 375 7.5 17.1	(3.93) (382) (5.9) (15.9)		
Non-Uniformity (CV%) Thin Places/1,000 yds Thick Places/1,000 yds Neps/1,000 yds Hairs/100 yds Performance:	14.91 42 22 2 3374	15.64 38 58 6 2738	15.35 28 58 4 2690	16.13 72 112 8 2897	16.56 64 112 4 2802	17.03 126 146 6 2893	18.55 264 258 20 2753		
Number of Breaks	2	1	0	1	0	0	1		





are Lori Rene Alread, Seminole; Joe Don Long, Lubbock; Cecelia Martinez, El Paso; Mary Ann Owen, Tahoka; Keith D. Soechting, New Braunfels; Andrew L. Talbott, Lubbock; and Ann DiLeonardo, San Antonio.

VISITORS Visitors to the Textile Research Center during February included Roger Willis and R.W. Butler, Barber-Colman Company, Gastonia, NC; Ben Childress, Charles Hagood and M. Rudolph Painter, Alice Mfg. Co., Inc., Easley, SC; Robert E. Langilotti and Jim Harris, Hollytex Carpet Mills, Inc., Anadarko, OK; Charles H. Crowder and A. Jack Henderson, WestPoint Pepperell, West Point, GA; and W. A. Edwards, Jr., Avondale Mills, Sylacauga, AL.

Others were Helmut Deussen and Jerry White, American Schlafhorst, Charlotte, NC; Leslie R. Payne and Burke Combs, Dixie Yarns, Inc., Lupton City, TN; Ray W. Griffin, Joe Hickman, James L. Mahaffey and John R. Williams, Dixie Yarns, Inc., Chattanooga, TN; Barbara Shaeffer, Andy Melder and Larry Teague, Motion Control Inc., Dallas, TX; Michael Lewis and David Mauney, Hanes Knitwear, Winston-Salem, NC; Dan J. McCreight, Institute of Textile Technology, Charlottesville, VA; Bardee Underwood, Cotton Incorporated, Dallas, TX; Otto Beck and Wilton E. Carter, Jr., American Truetzschler, Inc., Charlotte, NC; Dan Pustejovsky, G&P Seed Co., Inc., Aquilla, TX; Harvin R. Smith, USDA-AMS, Cotton Div., Washington, DC.; Vinicio Cruz, La Internacional, Quito, Ecuador; and Mike Stevenson, University of New South Wales, Sydney,

Australia.