




Regarding Provost. Deans gave the provost an overall rating mean of 4.16 (± 0.08). The evaluations by the chairs and other mid-level administrators gave 4.19 (± 0.03). The general faculty scored the provost as 3.85 (± 0.01).

The chairs-level group gave the provost ratings of 4.0 or higher on 12 questions out of 16, while the deans-level group scored 11 questions at that high level and the faculty gave 4 questions out of 16 questions at that high level. For promoting research, scholarship and teaching the provost earned ratings well above 4.0 from all three populations.

The only issue of comparative weakness is “Has a clear strategic plan and allocates resources consistently with that plan” (3.80 by the deans, 3.97 by chairs and 3.73 by the general faculty).

Summative charts appear below for each evaluating group.





Question data for the Provost by evaluator:


Provost by Deans

Response 56%



Provost by Chairs and Assoc. /Asst. Deans

43% Response



Provost by Faculty

28% Response

