Application Evaluation Rubric

| Evaluation Criteria | Excellent (5 pts) | Adequate (3 pts) | Needs Improvement (1 pt) |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Objectives | Application's objectives are well-defined and articulation. Reflection on what is needed to successfully implement the OER is evident. | The application's objectives are adequately defined. Reflection on the project's needs to successfully implement OER is present but not extensive. | The application's objectives are listed but not clear or otherwise lacking. |
| Impact | Application clearly and convincingly articulates how using OER will have a significant impact on their class. | The application makes a convincing argument that using OER will have some impact on their class but lacks detail. | The application's argument that OER could have an impact on their class is not strong. |
| Plan for timeline | Application's timeline for development and implementation of the OER is feasible, well-documented, and includes plans to implement the resource in the next academic year. | The application's timeline for development and implementation of OER is feasible, but sparsely documented or unable to be fulfilled in the next academic year. | The application's timeline is confusing, not feasible, or otherwise needs improvement to convey the proposal's intent. |
| Plan for assessment | Application clearly and distinctly argues for the effectiveness of their chosen OER and states how its impact on their course goals will be assessed. | The application states how assessment of OER and student outcomes will be achieved, but some detail or clarity is missing. | The application's plan for assessment lacks clarity, purpose, or one of its major components (i.e., it contains a proposal for assessment of materials but no plan for assessing class outcomes). |
| Cost savings | The combination of previous textbook costs, student enrollment, and frequency this course is taught means that the implementation of OER could create extensive cost savings for students. | The combination of previous textbook costs, student enrollment, and frequency this course is taught means that the implementation of an OER could create some cost savings for students. | N/A |
| Feasibility | The application provides a compelling rationale for the type of OER chosen and the proposed budget. | The proposal provides a compelling rationale for the proposed budget and for the type of OER chosen, but the justification is not clearly articulated. | The application lacks clarity and/or rationale for their OER choice and proposed budget. |
| Accessibility | Application clearly demonstrates a commitment to ensuring that the OER content chosen or created are fully accessible to all students regardless of need. | The application addresses the need to ensure that the OER content chosen or created are fully accessible to all students but lacks clarity on how this will be accomplished. | The application minimally addresses the need to ensure that the OER content chosen or created are fully accessible to all students and does not outline how this will be accomplished. |