RSC Advances



PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 14403

I Shancita a Kolsoa K Miller a Drocton D. Silverstein b Joseph Kalmanb

Synthesis of metal iodates from an energetic salt†

I. Shancita,^a Kelsea K. Miller,^a Preston D. Silverstein,^b Joseph Kalman^b and Michelle L. Pantoya (1)***

lodine containing oxidizers are especially effective for neutralizing spore forming bacteria by generating iodine gas as a long-lived bactericide. Metal iodates have been shown to be strong oxidizers when combined with aluminum fuel particles for energy generating applications. One method to produce metal iodates in situ is by using metal oxides and an energetic salt: aluminum iodate hexahydrate (Al(H₂O)₆(IO₃)₃(HIO₃)₂), which is called AIH. In this study, the thermal stability and reactivity of AIH with metal oxides commonly used in energetic formulations was investigated. Three metal oxides: bismuth(III) oxide (Bi₂O₃), copper(II) oxide (CuO), and iron(III) oxide (Fe₂O₃) were investigated because of their different oxygen release properties. Each metal oxide powder was combined with AIH powder. Thermal stability and reactivity were characterized by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TG) and reactive properties calculated to supplement experimental observations. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was also used to identify the product species at various stages of heating corresponding to exothermic activity. Results show that AIH decomposition is entirely endothermic but, with the addition of metal oxide powder to AIH, exothermic reactions transform metal oxides into more stable metal iodates. This analysis provides an understanding of the compatibility of AIH with metal oxides and contributes to the development of novel energetic composites that have the advantages of both thermal and biocidal mechanisms for spore neutralization.

Received 10th March 2020 Accepted 30th March 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra02250k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Aluminium nanoparticles (nAl) are passivated by a thin amorphous aluminium oxide (Al $_2$ O $_3$) shell 4–5 nm thick. Smith $et~al.^1$ recently transformed the Al $_2$ O $_3$ shell on nAl particles into (Al(H $_2$ O) $_6$ (IO $_3$) $_3$ (HIO $_3$) $_2$), which is called aluminium iodate hexahydrate (AIH) using a wet chemistry approach. Gottfried $et~al.^2$ showed that AIH coated nAl particles have the potential to react at time scales relevant to a detonation event. The potential for AIH coated Al particles to produce fast energy release rates has motivated further investigation of this over-oxidized energetic salt: AIH.

A wet chemistry approach was used to synthesize AIH on the surface of nAl particles by immersing nAl particles in an iodic acid (HIO $_3$) solution. The ensuing reaction between the Al $_2$ O $_3$ shell and HIO $_3$ solution formed AIH through a proposed polarization reaction mechanism. The AIH coated nAl particles are stable under standard atmospheric conditions and can be combined with other reactants with the potential of producing high energy release rates during Al oxidation owing to the low

oxygen release temperature of AIH (i.e., \sim 150 °C (ref. 2)) that

Pure AIH powder was also synthesized from aluminium hydroxide (Al(OH)₃) immersed in an iodic acid (HIO₃) solution and the wet chemistry synthesis is described in detail in Kalman et al.4 The crystal structure for AIH includes Al surrounded by a six-member hydration ring, $[Al(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$. The IO_3^- in the solution bonds with outer hydration ring, [Al(H2O)6]3+ to balance the charge and form Al(H₂O)₆(IO₃)₃(HIO₃)₂. Gottfried et al.2 showed the molecular structure has an oxygen balance of at least 17.7%.2 The AIH powder was used by Kalman et al.4 as an oxidizer when combined with nAl powder. Even as a discretely separate oxidizer (as opposed to a passivation shell), AIH exhibited exceptionally fast energy propagation rates (i.e., >1000 m s⁻¹) when combined with nAl.⁴ The over oxidized nature of AIH coupled with low oxygen release temperature and high gas generation properties (i.e., products include water vapour, oxygen, and iodine gas) all contribute towards its favourable energetic performance.

Not only does AIH enhance the energy release rate of metal fuel particles, but AIH also contains a high concentration of iodine which is an important biocidal agent for neutralizing spore forming bacteria such as bacillus anthracis.⁵ In fact, three metal iodates: Fe(IO₃)₃, Cu(IO₃)₂, and Bi(IO₃)₃ were combined with Al fuel particles and all three formulations exhibited orders of magnitude higher energy release behaviour compared to

more readily exposes the Al core for oxidation compared to an Al₂O₃ passivation.

Pure AIH powder was also synthesized from aluminium

^aDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA. E-mail: michelle.pantoya@ttu.edu

^bMechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department, California State University, Long Beach, CA 90840, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: XRD spectra data for each mixture examined. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra02250k

their metal oxide counterpart.⁶ These three metal iodates were shown to be strong oxidizers with aluminium (Al) particles and react to yield very exothermic and violent reactions.⁷ Therefore, forming metal iodates *in situ* reaction with AIH would also provide multiple strong oxidizers in the reaction zone. Creating *in situ* metal iodates during reaction using AIH and metal oxides could be an effective approach to designing reactant formula-

tions that decompose to allow multiple pathways for oxygen to

react with the fuel and release molecular iodine.

The objective of this study was to use AIH to synthesize metal iodates that are strong oxidizers for energetic material applications but also release iodine gas upon reaction thereby enabling technologies that have biocidal applications. This thermal and chemical analysis was a fundamental first step toward understanding the reaction dynamics associated with AIH relative to energetic formulations. The objective was accomplished through differential scanning calorimetry, thermogravimetric analysis, and powder X-ray diffraction studies that were supplemented with analytical calculations that provided insight to the synthetic pathways observed

Experimental

Synthesis of AIH


experimentally.

RSC Advances

Pure Al(H₂O)₆(IO₃)₃(HIO₃)₂ powder was synthesized via wet chemistry using bayerite, α -Al(OH)₃, as the precursor and is described by Kalman et al.4 but summarized here. Commercial iodine pentoxide (I2O5) powder (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO) was dissolved in water using a magnetic stirrer to form an iodic acid (HIO3) solution. The α -Al(OH)3, supplied by Sigma Aldrich, was added to the HIO3 solution and mixed at an elevated temperature until the solution was clear. Acetonitrile (ACN) was then added when α-Al(OH)₃ appeared to have completely dissolved and the solution was cooled to room temperature. The ACN facilitates Al(H₂O)₆(IO₃)₃(HIO₃)₂ crystal growth large enough for filtration from the solution. The rinsed and collected crystals were confirmed 100% Al(H2O)6(IO3)3(-HIO₃)₂ purity by PXRD analysis shown in Fig. 1a. Particle size was analysed using an APE Aerosizer particle size analyser (TSI Incorporated), and was measured to have a specific surface area of 0.14 m² g⁻¹. The surface morphology of AIH powder (Al(H₂-O₆(IO₃)₃(HIO₃)₂) is seen via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images shown in Fig. 1b, and crystals have hexagonal pyramidal geometries.

The metal oxide powders have spherical morphology with average particle diameters of 90–210 nm for Bi_2O_3 , <50 nm for CuO, and <50 nm for Fe_2O_3 and all powders were provided by Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). These precursor metal oxides were analysed with PXRD (described below) to show that CuO is 100% pure, whereas Fe_2O_3 and Bi_2O_3 include other phases: Fe_2O_3 is 73.9 wt% Fe_2O_3 , 14.1 wt% Fe_3O_4 , and 12 wt% amorphous material; and, Bi_2O_3 is 94.7 wt% α - Bi_2O_3 (monoclinic) and 5.3 wt% $Bi_2(CO_3)O_2$.

All powders were prepared in batches of 50 mg, with 25 mg of AIH and 25 mg of metal oxide powder. The mass percentage of AIH and metal oxide was the controlled variable and remained






Fig. 1 (a) Diffraction pattern from PXRD measurement indicating pure AIH powder (the red lines indicate the AIH reference overlaps the measured peaks). Data was collected from $0-90^{\circ}~2\theta$ with parallel beam geometry in continuous $\theta-2\theta$ mode with a collection time of 2° min⁻¹ and a step size of 0.02° . (b) SEM image depicting hexagonal pyramidal geometries of pure AIH crystals. Note 20 μ m scale bar for reference. Image was taken with a Hitachi S-4300 high resolution field emission SEM at an accelerating voltage of 6 kV.

constant, and the molar percentages varied according to Table 1. The powders were mixed using isopropanol as the process control agent (PCA). The slurry was mixed in a 100 ml beaker with a magnetic stirrer for 5 min at 400 rpm, then poured into a PyrexTM plate and placed in a fume hood to evaporate the PCA so that the solid powder mixture could be reclaimed.

Material characterization

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were performed using a NETZSCH STA 449 F3 Jupiter simultaneous thermal analyser (STA). In each experiment, approximately 5–7 mg of mixture was loaded in an alumina crucible and heated from 30 to 800 °C at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ in a nitrogen environment.

Powder XRD was performed by a Rigaku MiniFlex II powder diffractometer to measure the product species from the DSC

Table 1 Molar percentages of the mixtures

Mixtures	Mole percentage (%)		
AIH + CuO	AIH	CuO	
	7.3	92.7	
$AIH + Fe_2O_3$	AIH	Fe_2O_3	
	13.63	86.37	
$AIH + Bi_2O_3$	AIH	Bi_2O_3	
	31.54	68.46	

and TGA experiments as well as the pure reactant materials using Bragg-Brentano geometry. The X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained by scanning a 2θ range of 3-70° in continuous mode with a step size of 0.02° and a scan time of 1.0 min per degree, unless otherwise indicated as in Fig. 1a. The X-ray source was Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$) with an anode voltage of 30 kV and a current of 15 mA. The diffraction intensities were recorded with a D/teX Ultra position sensitive detector. The samples were prepared as a powder mount on a zero-background holder and the diffraction patterns were processed with the software JADE v9.1. The amorphous content was determined by including an amorphous hump (pseudo-Voigt) into the Rietveld calculation.

Results

In Fig. 2a heat flow curves are shown as a function of temperature for the individual materials with the corresponding mass loss curves shown in Fig. 2b. For CuO, there is no observable exothermic or endothermic reaction, whereas there is one exothermic reaction for Fe₂O₃ that corresponds to a decomposition process that releases oxygen from Fe₂O₃ to Fe₃O₄ (ref. 8) with onset temperature at 323 °C in Fig. 2a and we observed a slight gradual mass loss of 3 wt% with onset temperature at 312 °C in Fig. 2b. For Bi₂O₃ in Fig. 2a, the endotherm with onset temperature at 736 °C is rapid phase transition from α-Bi₂O₃ to δ-Bi₂O₃ which is a metastable phase of Bi₂O₃.9 There is one




Fig. 2 (a) Heat flow as a function of temperature for CuO (black), Fe₂O₃ (red), Bi₂O₃ (blue), and AIH (green) at 10°C min⁻¹ heating rate in nitrogen environment. Note the scaling for heat flow is indicated on the graphic and onset temperature and enthalpy are also indicated. (b) Corresponding mass loss percentage curves with onset temperature and mass loss percentages indicated.

small exothermic reaction with onset temperature at 344 °C which corresponds to the presence of both mixed α -and β -phase of Bi₂O₃,9 which is confirmed by the PXRD results of 96 wt% of α -Bi₂O₃ and 4 wt% of β -Bi₂O₃. There is a corresponding mass loss of 2 wt% with onset temperature at 400 °C from Fig. 2b.

Reaction 1 (R1) shows condensed phase decomposition stages for AIH and provided so that the mass loss trend in Fig. 2b can be analysed further.

$$\begin{split} & \left[\text{Al}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6 \right] (\text{IO}_3)_3 (\text{HIO}_3)_2 \xrightarrow{-125~^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{Al}(\text{IO}_3)_3 (\text{HIO}_3)_2 \\ & \xrightarrow{198~^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{Al}(\text{IO}_3)_3 + (\text{I}_2\text{O}_5) \xrightarrow{-368~^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{Al}(\text{IO}_3)_3 \xrightarrow{-518~^{\circ}\text{C}} \frac{1}{2} \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \end{split}$$

Fig. 2b shows 92% mass loss from AIH decomposition overall which corresponds well with the predicted mass loss from (R1) of 95%. The good correlation suggests that the mechanism described by (R1) is likely the condensed phase decomposition stages observed experimentally. The deviations from (R1) may be caused by ancillary reactions that are an artefact of hydration, gas generation, and mass loss including iodine and oxygen gas.

Fig. 2b shows AIH begins to decompose by dehydration of hydroxyls surrounding Al, and transition of HIO3 to HI3O8 both with onset temperature at 125 °C as indicated by the first endotherm and described in (R1). The second endotherm with onset temperature at 198 °C is dehydration of HI₃O₈ to I₂O₅.




Fig. 3 (a) Heat flow as a function of temperature for AIH + CuO (black), AIH + Fe₂O₃ (red) and AIH + Bi₂O₃ (blue) in a nitrogen environment. Onset temperatures and enthalpies are indicated. (b) Corresponding mass loss percentage curves with onset temperatures and mass loss percentages indicated.

Table 2 Product species from AIH + CuO annealed at indicated temperatures

	Materials (wt%)			
	AIH + CuO			
Phase ID	Annealed 360 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	Annealed 800 °C		
CuO	46.4	24.3		
$Cu(IO_3)_2$	18.5	_		
$Al(IO_3)_3$	29.7	_		
Amorphous	5.4	75.7		

Then, I_2O_5 starts to decompose at 368 °C and AIH becomes pure anhydrous aluminium iodate (Al(IO₃)₃) (see (R1)). The final endotherm indicates decomposition of Al(IO₃)₃ to Al₂O₃ that started at 518 °C.⁴ It is noted that a similar decomposition mechanism for AIH is described by Kalman *et al.*⁴

In Fig. 3a the heat flow curves for AIH + CuO, AIH + Fe_2O_3 and AIH + Bi_2O_3 as a function of temperature are shown. For all mixtures, endothermic phase change and exothermic reactions are observed. The corresponding mass loss curves are shown in Fig. 3b. The first mass loss for all mixtures corresponds to AIH dehydration and transformation of HIO $_3$ to HI $_3O_8$ similar to Fig. 2b. Total mass loss corresponds to 43 wt%, 47 wt% and 41 wt% for AIH + CuO, AIH + Fe_2O_3 and AIH + Bi_2O_3 , respectively.

In Fig. 3a for AIH + CuO, one exothermic reaction is observed with onset temperature at 272 °C and specific enthalpy of 5.8 J g⁻¹ and is complete by 360 °C. The onset temperature for this exothermic reaction is much lower than the oxygen release temperature of CuO at 527 °C according to one study¹0 and between 700–900 °C in another¹¹ suggesting condensed phase CuO participates in the exothermic reaction. Table 2 shows the PXRD analysis that identifies the wt% of product species from annealed reactants at 360 °C, *i.e.*, at the completion of the exothermic peak shown in Fig. 3a and at a higher temperature (800 °C). Table 2 indicates the exothermic reaction may be formation of copper iodate (Cu(IO₃)₂). The PXRD patterns of the product species for AIH + CuO annealed at 360 °C and 800 °C are shown in ESI Fig. S1.†

Table 3 Product species from AIH + Fe_2O_3 annealed at indicated temperatures

	Materials (wt%) AIH + Fe ₂ O ₃			
Phase ID	Annealed 360 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	Annealed 800 °C		
Fe_2O_3	_	15.7		
Fe ₃ O ₄	38.1	_		
Fe(IO ₃) ₃	27.9	_		
$Al(IO_3)_3$	15.6	_		
Amorphous	18.4	84.3		

Table 4 Product species from AIH + Bi_2O_3 annealed at indicated temperatures

	Materials (wt%)			
	$AIH + Bi_2O_3$			
Phase ID	Annealed 420 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	Annealed 800 °C		
β -Bi ₂ O ₃	19.4	_		
BiO(IO ₃)	70.4	_		
$Al(IO_3)_3$	10.2	_		
Al_2O_3		18.1		
Others		81.9		

For AIH + Fe₂O₃, the exothermic reaction in Fig. 3a has an onset temperature of 285 °C, specific enthalpy of 2.2 J g^{-1} , and is complete at 360 °C. The onset temperature is lower than the oxygen release temperature of Fe₂O₃ at 827 °C according to one study, 10 but there is a possibility of decomposition of Fe₂O₃ to Fe₃O₄ due to oxygen release when heated between 128-481 °C,8 which indicates that the exothermic reaction could occur at the lower oxygen release temperature for Fe₂O₃ conversion to Fe₃O₄. Also, Fe₂O₃ + AIH shows additionally 5.4% mass loss at 360 °C shown in Fig. 3b which could coincide with oxygen loss from the reaction. The second exothermic reaction with onset temperature at 562 °C of specific enthalpy of 8.3 J g⁻¹ may correspond to further conversion of Fe₂O₃ to amorphous Fe₃O₄ that has been reported to occur exothermically at elevated temperatures. 12 Table 3 establishes the presence of Fe₃O₄ in AIH + Fe₂O₃ that was heated to 360 °C and formation of iron iodate (Fe(IO₃)₃) as well as Al(IO₃)₃. Fig. S2 in ESI† shows the PXRD patterns of the product species for AIH + Fe₂O₃ annealed at 360 °C and 800 °C.

For AIH + $\mathrm{Bi_2O_3}$, the exothermic reaction is observed at a higher onset temperature than the other reactions (at 378 °C), with a specific enthalpy of 4.1 J g⁻¹ and is completed by 420 °C. The onset of reaction is at much lower temperatures (*i.e.*, 378 °C) than its oxygen release temperature (*i.e.*, 1347 °C) and in the condensed phase like the $\mathrm{Bi_2O_3}$ reaction with $\mathrm{Al.^{9,13}}$ Table 4 shows the product species after the first exothermic reaction includes bismuth oxide iodate ($\mathrm{BiO(IO_3)}$) and $\mathrm{Al(IO_3)_3}$. Fig. S3 in ESI† shows the PXRD patterns of the product species for AIH + $\mathrm{Bi_2O_3}$ annealed at 420 °C and 800 °C.







Fig. 4 Photographs of self-sustained reactions of aluminium fuel powder combined with the binary oxidizer combinations indicated. Note the copper oxide mixture produces green colour flames, bismuth oxide mixture shows particles ejected from the flame, and the iron oxide mixture produces bright white flames. Photographs were taken from a cell-phone camera.

While the binary combinations of AIH and metal oxides are too fuel lean to ignite and react, adding aluminium (Al) fuel powder to each of these binary oxidizer mixtures created self-sustained reactions. Fig. 4 shows photographs of mixtures of Al, AIH, metal-oxide (e.g., CuO, Bi₂O₃, or Fe₂O₃), combined with a silicone-based binder. Mixture were prepared to demonstrate the potential for the binary oxidizers to produce exothermic and self-sustained reactions. The images are qualitative representations of reactivity.

Discussion

Fig. 2 and 3 show decomposition of AIH begins at 119 °C due to dehydration of AIH and decomposition of HIO $_3$ to HI $_3$ O $_8$. Fig. 3a shows exothermic reactions occur for all mixtures and start between 272–378 °C, after the initial stages of AIH decomposition. Tables 2–4 show that at 360 °C, Cu(IO $_3$) $_2$, Fe(IO $_3$) $_3$, and Al(IO $_3$) $_3$ are the main products for respective reactions and at the higher temperature of 420 °C, BiO(IO $_3$) forms as well as Al(IO $_3$) $_3$. From molar ratios in Table 1 and the results in Tables 2–4 neglecting amorphous content, global reactions for the first annealing temperature can be described in (R2)–(R4).

$$\begin{split} &12.72\text{CuO} + \text{Al}(\text{IO}_3)_3 + (\text{I}_2\text{O}_5) \\ &+ 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{360\,^{\circ}\text{C}} 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Al}(\text{IO}_3)_3 + \text{Cu}(\text{IO}_3)_2 + 11.72\text{CuO} \end{split} \tag{R2}$$

$$\begin{split} &6Fe_{2}O_{3}+Al(IO_{3})_{3}+(I_{2}O_{5})\\ &+7H_{2}O\xrightarrow{360~^{\circ}C} 7H_{2}O+Al(IO_{3})_{3}+0.67Fe(IO_{3})_{3}+3.76Fe_{3}O_{4} \end{split}$$
 (R3)

$$\begin{split} 2Bi_2O_3 + Al(IO_3)_3 + & (I_2O_5) \\ + & 7H_2O \xrightarrow{420\,^{\circ}C} 7H_2O + Al(IO_3)_3 + 2BiO(IO_3) + Bi_2O_3 \ \ \text{(R4)} \end{split}$$

The weight percent of product species predicted from (R2)–(R4) are compared to the actual weight percent of product species measured from Tables 2–4 and a summary of the results are shown in Table 5.

The predicted and measured product concentrations are similar for CuO + AIH but there is greater disparity in the comparison for Fe_2O_3 + AIH and Bi_2O_3 + AIH reactions. For Fe_2O_3 + AIH, less Fe_3O_4 and $Al(IO_3)_3$ is formed than predicted but Fe_3O_4 has been reported in an amorphous phase¹⁴ and may

Table 6 Predicted and measured weight percentage of metal oxide reacted in each reaction

Mixtures	Predicted wt% of metal oxide reacted	Actual wt% of metal oxide reacted
AIH + CuO	CuO	CuO
	2	7.2
$AIH + Fe_2O_3$	Fe_2O_3	Fe_2O_3
	4	23.8
$AIH + Bi_2O_3$	$\mathrm{Bi}_2\mathrm{O}_3$	$\mathrm{Bi}_2\mathrm{O}_3$
	48	61.2

account for the amorphous concentration indicated in Table 5. The deviation between predicted and measured oxygen concentrations may be an artefact of the difficulties in tracing the abundant oxygen reactions that are a part of every molecule involved in the reaction and most of the possible ancillary reactions also involve a transfer of oxygen. Also, the higher temperature of the ${\rm Bi}_2{\rm O}_3$ + AIH exothermic reaction may facilitate dissociation reactions that include iodine and oxygen gas generation that may escape the reaction zone and reduce the ${\rm Al}({\rm IO}_3)_3$ concentration thereby increasing the ${\rm BiO}({\rm IO}_3)$ concentration.

Table 6 shows the predicted (*i.e.*, from (R2)–(R4)) and measured concentrations (*i.e.*, from Tables 2–4) of metal oxides that reacted with the iodate species from AIH. The comparison reveals that the measured concentration of metal oxides that reacted is much higher for each reaction than the predicted concentration. The greater consumption of metal oxides especially seen for the Fe_2O_3 + AIH and Bi_2O_3 + AIH reactions may contribute to the correspondingly higher production of metal iodate species measured in Table 5 compared with predicted concentrations, indicating that the kinetics forming metal iodates are more favoured than (R3) and (R4) predict.

According to (R2)–(R4), the most likely contribution to exothermic energy comes from the following reactions shown in (R5)–(R7) that form metal iodates by consuming iodine pentoxide.

$$12.72\text{CuO} + \text{I}_2\text{O}_5 \rightarrow \text{Cu}(\text{IO}_3)_2 + 11.72\text{CuO}$$
 (R5)

$$6Fe_2O_3 + I_2O_5 \rightarrow 0.67Fe(IO_3)_3 + 3.76Fe_3O_4$$
 (R6)

$$2Bi_2O_3 + I_2O_5 \rightarrow 2BIO(IO_3) + Bi_2O_3$$
 (R7)

Table 5 Predicted and measured wt% of product species for AIH + metal oxides annealed at 360 or 420 °C as indicated in (R2)–(R4). Amorphous is Am

Mix	Predicted v	vt% of product speci	es from (R2)–(R4)	Measured v	wt% of product spe	cies from powder X	RD analysis
AIH + CuO	CuO	$Cu(IO_3)_2$	$Al(IO_3)_3$	CuO	$Cu(IO_3)_2$	$Al(IO_3)_3$	Am
	49	22	29	46	19	30	5
$AIH + Fe_2O_3$	Fe_3O_4	$Fe(IO_3)_3$	$Al(IO_3)_3$	Fe_3O_4	$Fe(IO_3)_3$	$Al(IO_3)_3$	Am
	48	22	30	38	28	16	18
$AIH + Bi_2O_3$	Bi_2O_3	$BiO(IO_3)$	$Al(IO_3)_3$	Bi_2O_3		$BiO(IO_3)$	Al(IO ₃) ₃
	26	44	30	19		70	10

$$2Cu(IO_3)_2 \rightarrow 2CuO + 2I_2 + 2O_2$$
 (R8)

$$4\text{Fe}(\text{IO}_3)_3 \to 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{I}_2 + 15\text{O}_2$$
 (R9)

$$4BiO(IO_3) \rightarrow 2Bi_2O_3 + 2I_2 + 5O_2$$
 (R10)

$$4Al(IO_3)_3 \rightarrow 2Al_2O_3 + 6I_2 + 15O_2$$
 (R11)

The following decomposition of formed metal iodates is highly endothermic and occurs at higher temperatures (*i.e.*, 400-600 °C) as shown in Fig. 3a and can be described by reaction (R8)–(R10) with the decomposition of Al(IO₃)₃ shown in (R11).

Heats of formation for the metal iodates are not experimentally reported, thus theoretical estimates were adopted.¹⁵ The data used for the heat of reaction and decomposition calculations are summarized in Table 7.

The enthalpy of reaction calculation for (R5) is -14.2 J g^{-1} (Table 7) and the best agreement to the experimentally measured value of -5.8 J g^{-1} . The enthalpy of reaction for (R7) is more exothermic than the experimental data. However, for reaction (R6), by considering different crystallographic space groups for formation enthalpy, there are both endothermic and exothermic reaction enthalpies ranging from -22.7 to 237.1 J g⁻¹ (Table 7) which are not consistent with our experimental data. The discrepancies between calculated and measured values may be attributed to multiple reasons. For example, the amorphous content is not accounted for in the calculations which was 5 and 18%, by weight for the CuO and Fe₂O₃ cases, respectively and iron oxides have been observed to be amorphous at similar conditions.14 Also, the data from ref. 15 are estimates and reported values for the enthalpy of formation for I₂O₅ vary by orders of magnitude in the literature.¹⁷ These differences and variations in formation enthalpy based upon different crystallographic space groups will influence the overall reaction enthalpy. For example, using iron oxide P4₁2₁2 (tetragonal) and Cmcm (orthorhombic) results in reaction

Table 7 Enthalpy of formation, reaction, and decomposition of metal oxides and iodates. Reference for each value is noted as a superscript

Species	Enthalpy of formation (kJ mol ⁻¹)	Enthalpy of reaction (J g ⁻¹)	Enthalpy of decomposition (J g ⁻¹)
CuO	-183.7 ¹⁵	(R5): -14.2	
Fe ₂ O ₃	-866.45^{15}	(R6): 237.1	_
Fe ₂ O ₃	$-810.5^{\ 15}$	(R6): -22.7	
Fe ₃ O ₄	-1242.71 15	(-)-	
Bi_2O_3	-786.85 15	(R7):	_
		-109.85	
Al_2O_3	-1660.5 15		
I_2O_5	-372.45^{15}		
$Cu(IO_3)_2$	-724.23^{15}	_	(R8): 1458.64
$Fe(IO_3)_3$	-1106.3 15	_	(R9): 1368.8
BiO(IO ₃)	$-844.27^{\ 15}$	_	(R10): 903.86
$Al(IO_3)_3$	-1651.91 15	_	(R11): 1659.01
I_2	62.4^{16}	_	-
O_2	0 16	_	_

enthalpy ranging from $237.1\,\mathrm{J\,g^{-1}}$ to $-22.4\,\mathrm{J\,g^{-1}}$ for (R6). Similar differences may be present for CuO. Many metal iodate enthalpies of formation have not been measured or reported in the literature. In summary, all three reactions (R5)–(R7) can produce exothermic behaviour that agree with the experimental data (Fig. 3a) and are attributed to metal iodate formation.

Following the exothermic reactions in Fig. 3a, endotherms are observed at higher temperatures (i.e., >400 °C) that correspond with the decomposition of metal iodates formed in (R5)-(R7)7 as well as Al(IO₃)₃. There is crystal phase transition for Bi(IO₃)₃ between 290-375 °C which could account for the BiO(IO₃) measured here and the decomposition of BiO(IO₃) begins at 400 °C,6,7 and is comparable to the measured temperature from Fig. 3a at 533 °C. The measured endotherms compare well with the calculated enthalpies from the decomposition reactions (Table 7) and are consistent with other⁷ reporting of metal iodate decomposition behaviour, confirming the formation and subsequent decomposition of the metal iodates. Similar calculations as described for the exothermic reactions were conducted for these endothermic reactions. The enthalpies of reaction calculated for (R8)-(R11) are shown in Table 7, and the calculated results are much more endothermic than the experimental values. Tables 2-4 show that the 800 °C annealed concentrations (i.e. after the endothermic reactions) are primarily amorphous which limits the comparison.

All decomposition stages of AIH are endothermic (see Fig. 2a). Overall, the presence of metal oxides affects the decomposition of AIH by exothermically reacting with iodine oxide species formed upon AIH decomposition at temperatures greater than 250 °C (see (R5)-(R7)). In another study by Kalman et al.,4 Al particles were added to AIH particles and two exothermic reactions were observed: the first was 100.7 J g⁻¹ with an onset of 274 $^{\circ}$ C while the second was 893.8 J g⁻¹ with an onset of 549 °C. Kalman et al.4 proposed the first exothermic reaction was attributed to reactions between Al₂O₃ and iodine oxide species resulting from AIH decomposition. The Al₂O₃ present in their mixture was an inherent part of the Al particles, encapsulating the nano-scale Al particles and passivating the pyrophoric Al core. The first exothermic reaction was called a pre-ignition reaction (PIR) and described as surface reactions between Al₂O₃ passivating Al particles with iodine oxide species resulting from AIH decomposition at temperatures > 250 °C. Therefore, Al₂O₃ may produce a similar exothermic reaction with iodine oxide species as described in (R5)-(R7) because a similar exothermic reaction was observed by Kalman et al.4

This analysis shows that AIH can be combined with metal oxides to synthesize *in situ* reaction metal iodates. The formation of metal iodates at these relatively low temperatures (<450 °C) would create multiple reaction pathways for oxidation reactions with metal fuel particles like Al, as demonstrated in Wang *et al.*⁷ Because metal iodates form at temperatures lower than the melting and ignition temperature of Al particles (*i.e.*, 660 °C), multiple metal iodates would provide immediate and direct interaction with Al particles to enable several kinetic routes for fuel particle oxidation. Future work will explore the potential of using metal oxides in mixtures of AIH and fuel particles.

Conclusions

Aluminium iodate hexahydrate Al(H2O)6(IO3)3(HIO3)2, named AIH, is entirely endothermic upon decomposition in DSC analysis. However, when AIH is combined with a metal oxide, AIH decomposition species are reactive with metal oxides. This study examined AIH combined with copper oxide (CuO), iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) and bismuth trioxide (Bi₂O₃) and their exothermic reaction with iodine oxide species decomposed from AIH produced the corresponding metal iodate. Experimental results confirm the formation of Cu(IO₃)₂, Fe(IO₃)₃, BiO(IO₃), and Al(IO₃)₃ at temperatures in the range of 360 °C to 420 °C and show that the metal iodate is more stable than the metal oxide. The exothermic activity is at lower temperatures than the metal oxide oxygen release temperatures suggesting multiphase reactions between gaseous decomposition species from AIH and condensed phase metal oxides. Thermochemical calculations confirm the exothermic formation of $Cu(IO_3)_2$, $Fe(IO_3)_3$, and BiO(IO₃) followed by their endothermic decomposition at higher temperatures (i.e., 400-600 °C). Similar results had previously been reported for Al₂O₃ reacting with AIH decomposition species. The results from this study provide additional information on reaction kinetics between AIH and metal oxides that could be useful for generating multiple reaction pathways for the formation of oxidizers previously shown to have tremendous biocidal potential.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful for support from the Army Research Office under award W911NF-17-1-0387 and our Program Manager, Dr Ralph Anthenien. We are also grateful to Dr Daniel Unruh from the Chemistry Department at TTU for PXRD analysis.

References

- 1 D. K. Smith, M. N. Bello, D. K. Unruh and M. L. Pantoya, Synthesis and reactive characterization of aluminum iodate hexahydrate crystals [Al(H₂O)₆](IO₃)₃(HIO₃)₂, *Combust. Flame*, 2017, **179**, 154–156.
- 2 J. L. Gottfried, D. K. Smith, C.-C. Wu and M. L. Pantoya, Improving the Explosive Performance of Aluminum Nanoparticles with Aluminum Iodate Hexahydrate (AIH), *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 8036.
- 3 D. K. Smith, D. K. Unruh and M. L. Pantoya, Replacing the Al_2O_3 Shell on Al Particles with an Oxidizing Salt, Aluminum Iodate Hexahydrate. Part II: Synthesis, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 23192–23199.
- 4 J. Kalman, D. K. Smith, K. K. Miller, S. K. Bhattacharia, K. R. Bratton and M. L. Pantoya, A strategy for increasing

- the energy release rate of aluminum by replacing the alumina passivation shell with aluminum iodate hexahydrate (AIH), *Combust. Flame*, 2019, **205**, 327–335.
- 5 B. R. Clark and M. L. Pantoya, The aluminium and iodine pentoxide reaction for the destruction of spore forming bacteria, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 12653–12657.
- 6 H. Wang, J. B. DeLisio, T. Wu, X. Wang and M. R. Zachariah, One-step solvent-free mechanochemical synthesis of metal iodate fine powders, *Powder Technol.*, 2018, 324, 62–68.
- 7 H. Wang, G. Jian, W. Zhou, J. DeLisio, V. Lee and M. Zachariah, Metal iodate-based energetic composites and their combustion and biocidal performance, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 17363–17370.
- 8 X. Cao, R. Prozorov, Y. Koltypin, G. Kataby, I. Felner and A. Gedanken, Synthesis of pure amorphous Fe₂O₃, *J. Mater. Res.*, 1997, **12**, 402–406.
- 9 Y. Zhu, P. An, M. Yu, A. Marcelli, Y. Liu, T. Hu and W. Xu, Structural phase transitions in ionic conductor Bi₂O₃ by temperature dependent XPD and XAS, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2016, 712(1), 012132.
- 10 G. Jian, S. Chowdhury, K. Sullivan and M. R. Zachariah, Nanothermite reactions: is gas phase oxygen generation from the oxygen carrier an essential prerequisite to ignition?, *Combust. Flame*, 2013, 160, 432–437.
- 11 I. Kazeminezhad and S. Mosivand, Phase transition of electrooxidized Fe_3O_4 to γ and α - Fe_2O_3 nanoparticles using sintering treatment, *Acta Phys. Pol.*, *A*, 2014, **125**, 1210–1214.
- 12 Y. L. Chueh, M. W. Lai, J. Q. Liang, L. J. Chou and Z. L. Wang, Systematic study of the growth of aligned arrays of α -Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ nanowires by a vapor–solid process, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2006, **16**, 2243–2251.
- 13 N. W. Piekiel, L. Zhou, K. T. Sullivan, S. Chowdhury, G. C. Egan and M. R. Zachariah, Initiation and reaction in Al/Bi₂O₃ nanothermites: evidence for the predominance of condensed phase chemistry, *Combust. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, 186, 1209–1224.
- 14 H. Cohen, A. Gedanken and Z. Zhong, One-step synthesis and characterization of ultrastable and amorphous Fe₃O₄ colloids capped with cysteine molecules, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 15429–15438.
- 15 A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner and G. Ceder, Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation, *APL Mater.*, 2013, 1, 011002.
- 16 M. Chase, C. Davies, J. Downey and D. Frurip, JANAF Thermochemical Tables, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 1998, 4, 1387–1717.
- 17 N. Kaltsoyannis and J. M. Plane, Quantum chemical calculations on a selection of iodine-containing species (IO, OIO, INO₃, (IO)₂, I₂O₃, I₂O₄ and I₂O₅) of importance in the atmosphere, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 1723–1733.