



Operating Policy and Procedure

OP 32.02: Faculty Non-Reappointment, Dismissal, and Tenure Revocation

DATE: May 2, 2025

PURPOSE: The purpose of this Operating Policy/Procedure (OP) is to outline and ensure understanding of procedures concerning the non-reappointment and dismissal of faculty or tenure revocation of tenured faculty.

REVIEW: This OP will be reviewed every two years after publication by the Vice Provost for Faculty Success with substantive revisions presented to the Provost and Senior Vice President (PSVP). Any change in this OP must be conducted in accordance with section 5 herein.

POLICY/PROCEDURE

1. Involuntary Separation of Employment

There are four categories of involuntary separation of employment for faculty:

- a. Revocation of tenure, which is termination of a tenured faculty member's employment through traditional due-process procedures;
- b. Non-reappointment, which is the cessation of a non-tenured faculty member's employment at the end of the stated appointment period;
- c. Dismissal, which is termination for cause of the employment of a faculty member who does not hold tenure or continuing appointment before the expiration of the stated appointment period; and
- d. Summary Dismissal, which is termination for Serious Misconduct of a faculty member who holds tenure or continuing appointment following an expedited process outlined below.

2. Tenure Advisory Committee

- a. The Tenure Advisory Committee may consider matters referred to it by members of the university community pertaining to tenure or academic freedom. The committee reports to the President. If the President does not approve a recommendation of the committee, the committee shall be informed in writing of the reasons for disapproval within 10 business days. This timeline may be extended by the President to a total of 30 business days (maximum), as deemed necessary, by informing the committee of the reason for the delay.
- b. The Tenure Advisory Committee shall consist of nine full-time tenured faculty, none of whom has served in any administrative post at or above the level of chair of a department (including interim, but not acting) during the preceding three years, and two ex officio, non-voting members who are the PSVP (or a designee appointed by the PSVP) and a dean

selected by the Provost's Council. The faculty members will be elected at large by the voting faculty* (with nominations solicited and voting tallied by the Faculty Senate) for staggered terms of three years, with three membership positions terminating August 31 of each year. No more than two faculty members elected from any college or school shall serve on the committee at the same time. No elected faculty member will be eligible for reelection to the committee until a period of one year has elapsed from the termination date of a prior term unless he or she was elected to serve less than two years of an unexpired term of a previous member. The dean member shall serve for three years but shall not be eligible to serve consecutive terms. The committee shall determine its own rules of procedure.

3. The procedures for employment separation described in this OP do not negate the right of the President to suspend a faculty member from some or all duties when the President reasonably believes that the allegations, if true, create a likelihood of harm for persons or the university. The suspension shall be with pay until such time as the suspended faculty member has been accorded the procedural rights appropriate to their appointment type, as described in this section.

4. Tenure Revocation Policy and Procedures

a. Policy

Revocation of tenure, except by resignation, retirement, or under extraordinary circumstances because of demonstrable bona fide financial exigency, will be only for adequate cause shown with the burden of proof on the university.

Adequate cause for revocation of tenure must be directly and substantially related to the performance and/or fitness of faculty members in their professional duties and public trust to perform such duties as teachers and scholars.

As provided by [Texas Education Code, Section 51.942](#), some examples of adequate cause for revocation of tenure may include a determination, consistent with the procedures specified below, that the faculty member has engaged in any of the following:

- (1) Continuous or repeated exhibition and assessment of professional incompetence;
- (2) Continuous or repeated failure to perform expected duties or meet professional responsibilities of the faculty member's position;
- (3) Failure to successfully complete any documented and required post-tenure review professional development program;
- (4) Engagement in conduct involving moral turpitude that adversely affects the institution or the faculty member's performance of duties or meeting of responsibilities;
- (5) Engagement in egregious conduct in violation of laws or University System or institution policies substantially related to the performance of the faculty member's duties;

* The voting faculty consists of all tenured or tenure-track faculty on full-time appointments who have completed a residence of one year at this university.

- (6) Conviction of a crime affecting the fitness of the faculty member to engage in teaching, research, service, outreach, or administration;
- (7) Continuous or repeated engagement in unprofessional conduct that significantly and adversely affects the institution or substantially impedes the faculty member's performance of duties or meeting of responsibilities;
- (8) Intentional or knowing falsification of the faculty member's academic credentials; or
- (9) Violation of an applicable state or federal law that would prohibit the university from continuing to employ the faculty member.

b. Procedure

The tenure revocation review procedures outlined in subsections (1) through (6) below apply to tenured faculty members. Reasons for a charge of tenure revocation may be brought by the faculty member's chairperson or area coordinator through the dean to the PSVP, or from the dean to the PSVP. If formal charges are to be filed, they will be filed by the President. The faculty member will be advised, in writing by the Office of the PSVP, of the proposed revocation of tenure and the basis/bases for it within 10 business days of the President's decision to file formal charges.

If a faculty member wishes to challenge the grounds for tenure revocation, the faculty member may do so utilizing the procedures specified below. The issue will be determined by a procedure that affords protection to the rights of the individual and to the interest of the university. In cases where the respondent faculty member admits their conduct constitutes adequate cause, or does not choose to have a hearing, he/she may offer in writing their resignation, giving notice of resignation as early as possible to obviate serious inconvenience to the university, and so that department objectives and student needs are met.

- (1) Before the filing of tenure revocation charges, every reasonable effort shall be made to mediate and conciliate differences between the faculty member and the university. The chairperson of the Tenure Advisory Committee (or another member designated by the committee) shall make a rigorous attempt at confidential, equitable, and expeditious mediation.

If such attempted mediation has failed, and after the mediator has made a written report to the President and copied that report to the faculty member, a formal investigation shall be undertaken. Together, a member of the Tenure Advisory Committee who has been appointed by the chairperson of that committee and the PSVP (or their representative) shall conduct a thorough, confidential, expeditious review, which shall be concluded within 30 business days, if possible. This review and the recommendations of the investigating team shall be considered by the President in determining whether to proceed with formal charges to dismiss the faculty member for cause.

In all cases of formal charges, the faculty member will be informed of the charges in writing by the Office of the PSVP, which, on reasonable notice, will be considered by a Hearing Panel convened by the President within 30 business days, if possible. In the event that a delay of an additional 30 days (maximum) is needed, the faculty member will be informed of the reason for the delay. The Hearing Panel will be made up of five

members chosen by the Tenure Advisory Committee from a Tenure Hearing Committee, which is formed of twenty tenured faculty members, none of whom has served in any administrative post at or above the level of department chair (including interim, but not acting) during the preceding three years. The faculty members will be elected at large by the voting faculty (with nominations solicited and voting tallied by the Faculty Senate) for staggered terms of 2 years with 10 membership positions terminating August 31 of each year. No elected faculty member will be eligible for reelection to the committee until a period of one year has elapsed from the termination date of a prior term unless they were elected to serve less than two years of an unexpired term of a previous member. No more than four faculty members elected from any college or school shall serve on the committee at the same time. The Faculty Senate President may appoint members to fill vacancies between annual election cycles. Members of the Tenure Advisory Committee shall not be eligible for concurrent service on the Tenure Advisory Committee and the Hearing Committee.

- (2) The Hearing Panel shall be selected in this fashion:
 - (a) The Tenure Advisory Committee shall order the names of the members of the Tenure Hearing Committee by lot, assigning to them numbers one through twenty;
 - (b) Hearing Committee members deeming themselves biased shall remove themselves from the case;
 - (c) Either party in the dispute may strike no more than three names from those remaining on the list; and
 - (d) The Tenure Advisory Committee shall designate the five with the lowest numbers remaining on the list to constitute the Hearing Panel.
- (3) The Hearing Panel will select a chairperson from its membership and may, if it chooses, request appropriate legal counsel from a member of the Law School faculty or, if none is available, from a law firm of its choosing, provided that costs are affordable, but not from the Office of General Counsel.[†] The legal counsel will advise the Hearing Panel but will not vote.
- (4) The Hearing Panel may also consult with the General Counsel of the university on technical or procedural questions not directly bearing on the merits of the case, if the panel considers such consultation appropriate and helpful. The hearing will be private and confidential unless the faculty member elects to have a public hearing. The Hearing Panel shall determine procedures to be implemented in the hearing that shall afford both parties due process.

The faculty member shall have the right to appear in person with legal counsel, retained by the individual. During the hearing, legal counsel may advise and consult with the faculty member, but only the faculty member shall have the right to address the panel and confront and cross-examine witnesses.

The faculty member shall have the right to testify, but may not be required to do so, and may introduce on their behalf all evidence and material, written or oral, that he/she considers to be relevant or material to the case. Neither the Texas Rules of

[†] The State of Texas requires that employment of outside counsel must be approved by the State Attorney General.

Civil Procedure nor the Texas Rules of Evidence shall apply to the hearing. The university shall also have the right to legal counsel from the Office of General Counsel in the preparation and presentation of charges and will have the same rights in the hearing as those accorded to the faculty member. An audio recording of the proceedings shall be made and delivered to the President for submission to the Board of Regents, and a copy of this audio recording shall be made available to the faculty member. The record will be transcribed only on the request of either the faculty member or the President at the expense of the requesting party.

- (5) The Hearing Panel, by a majority of its total membership, shall make written findings of fact on each charge and make specific recommendations with regard to each of the charges and the charges as a whole. The panel, by a majority of its total membership, may make supplementary suggestions it deems proper concerning disposition of the case. If minority findings, recommendations, or suggestions are made, they shall be similarly treated. The chairperson of the Hearing Panel shall deliver the findings, recommendations, and suggestions to the President, who shall transmit them along with their recommendations to the faculty member and to the Chancellor, and then to the Board of Regents 30 days or more in advance of the date scheduled for formulation of the next Board of Regents meeting agenda.
- (6) The Board of Regents, by a majority of its total membership, shall approve, reject, or amend the findings, recommendations, and suggestions to the Hearing Panel based on the record. Any amendment or change of such findings, recommendation, or suggestions, and the reasons, therefore, will be stated in writing and communicated to the President who will transmit them to the Hearing Panel, which will then study any additional matters presented to it and, within 45 days, submit its recommendations to the President. If the Board of Regents then overrules the recommendations of the Hearing Panel, it will state its reasons for its actions in writing to the President, who will transmit the decision to the Hearing Panel. The President shall also notify the faculty member in writing of the Board's decision within 30 business days, and this communication shall include the findings and recommendations of the Hearing Panel, as well as those of the Board. The decision of the Board of Regents shall be final.

5. Summary Dismissal Policy and Procedures

- a. A faculty member holding tenure or continuing appointment may be subject to the summary dismissal process if the reason for dismissal is a finding of Serious Misconduct that has been substantiated by an investigation that provides the faculty member due process rights and the opportunity to respond to the allegations and has been conducted by the System and/or its component institutions, or federal, state, or local agencies with applicable jurisdiction over the subject matter (TTU [System Regulation 07.07](#); TTU Operating Policy 32.02). For purposes of a summary dismissal proceeding, Serious Misconduct includes a finding of any of the following:
 - (1) Sexual misconduct, as defined by TTU [System Regulation 07.06](#) and TTU Operating Policies [10.20, Conflict of Interest and Commitment Policy](#), and [40.03, Sexual Misconduct](#);
 - (2) Violence or threat of violence in the workplace (means a written, verbal, electronic, or behavioral message that, either explicitly or implicitly, communicates the intent to inflict, or cause to be inflicted, physical harm to persons or property). The

determination of violence or threat of violence in the workplace will be made by the Chief of the Texas Tech Police Department in accordance with threat assessment standards; or

- (3) Conviction of a crime substantially affecting the fitness of the faculty member to engage in teaching, research, service, outreach, or administration.
- b. Upon a finding of Serious Misconduct, a faculty member holding tenure or continuing appointment may be subject to the summary dismissal process. A charge of summary dismissal may only be brought by the faculty member's dean following the dean's consultation with the Provost.
- c. A faculty member subject to the summary dismissal process may be suspended with or without pay during the summary dismissal proceeding until the conclusion of the process set forth below.
- d. A faculty member subject to the summary dismissal process will be provided with:
 - (1) Written notice of the charge of summary dismissal to include the finding(s) against the faculty member (including description of evidence), plus copies of non-confidential or non-privileged investigative reports, with appropriate redactions, that are available to the institution at the time of written notice;
 - (2) Read-only access to their TTU e-mail account for the duration of the summary dismissal proceedings; and
 - (3) An opportunity for the faculty member to contest the charge of summary dismissal in a hearing ("Hearing") overseen by a university-designated Administrative Panel.

The finding of Serious Misconduct is not contestable via the summary dismissal process; rather the charge of the Administrative Panel is to determine whether the finding of Serious Misconduct justifies summary dismissal. Nevertheless, the faculty member is entitled to respond to the charge of summary dismissal by presenting any evidence as to why the finding does not warrant summary dismissal.

A faculty member wishing to contest a charge of summary dismissal must provide notice of intent to contest within five working days of the date on which the notification of summary dismissal was issued. The contestation must be submitted to the Vice Provost for Faculty Success.

- e. The following procedures shall apply to the Hearing process should the faculty member contest the charge of summary dismissal:
 - (1) The Administrative Panel will consist of a total of five members, three of whom must be members of the Tenure Hearing Committee who are chosen by the procedure described in OP 32.02, section 4.b.(1), the President or tenured Vice President of the Faculty Senate, and a tenured vice provost appointed by the Provost.
 - (2) A non-voting Hearing Manager who is not employed by the university will be present during the Hearing to assist the Administrative Panel and to ensure that all participants adhere to the Hearing's parameters.

- (3) The Hearing will take place no earlier than **10 business days** after the faculty member's submitted intent to contest the charge of summary dismissal.
- (4) During the Hearing, the dean bringing the charge of summary dismissal shall present the rationale for summary dismissal, with the burden of proof on the university.
- (5) The dean may request that representatives from the office issuing the finding of Serious Misconduct present information to the Administrative Panel.
- (6) If there are state/federal requirements associated with the finding, those must be presented to the Administrative Panel.
- (7) The faculty member shall have the right to retain and appear in person with an advisor or legal counsel. An advisor or legal counsel may advise and consult with the faculty member, but only the faculty member shall have the right to address the Administrative Panel and other participants.
- (8) During the Hearing, the faculty member shall have the right to respond to information presented by the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal.
- (9) During the Hearing, the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal and the university shall have the right to respond to information presented by the faculty member subject to the summary dismissal process.
- (10) The faculty member subject to the summary dismissal process, the dean bringing the charge, and the university may request that witnesses attend and participate in the Hearing. The Administrative Panel has the authority to limit the number of witnesses participating in the Hearing and the amount of time allotted to witness participation.
- (11) Should the faculty member, the dean bringing the charge, or the university want to call witnesses who are not current or former employees of the university, or who are current students at the university, the faculty member, the dean, and the university must provide the Administrative Panel with the name(s) of the individual(s) to be called and the purpose for their participation in the Hearing. The Administrative Panel has the authority to determine whether to approve the participation of witnesses who are not current or former employees of the university or who are current students at the university.
- (12) The faculty member, the dean, and the university must route their questions for current students through the Administrative Panel.
- (13) Requests for witness participation or statements will be organized through the vice provost facilitating the process. The vice provost will contact the witnesses and will notify the Administrative Panel about witness requests.
- (14) Witnesses must not be compelled to attend or otherwise participate in the Hearing.
- (15) The Administrative Panel will render a decision of approve or reject on the contestation of the charge of summary dismissal by majority vote via secret ballot. The ballots will be counted by the Hearing Manager and witnessed by the Faculty Senate representative on the Administrative Panel.

- (16) Based on the outcome of the vote, the Administrative Panel will render a letter of determination within **10 business days** of the Hearing regarding whether the faculty member will be subject to summary dismissal.
- f. The following procedures apply if the Administrative Panel decides to reject the contestation and uphold the charge of summary dismissal:
- (1) The Administrative Panel's letter of determination will include the detailed basis for its decision and shall promptly be provided to the faculty member subject to the summary dismissal process, the dean issuing the charge, and the President.
 - (2) If a suspension without pay has not already been imposed, a decision by the Administrative Panel to reject the contestation and uphold the charge of summary dismissal will result in an immediate suspension without pay.
 - (3) The faculty member has the right to appeal the decision of the Administrative Panel.
 - (4) A faculty member wishing to appeal the Administrative Panel's decision will have **10 business days** from the date the Administrative Panel submitted its letter of determination to the President, the faculty member, and the dean to appeal the decision to the University President. If an appeal is filed, the President will render a final decision regarding the matter within **15 business days** after receipt of the appeal. The President will have the authority to consult with the faculty member, the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal, the Administrative Panel, and/or the Office of General Counsel in rendering the decision. The President's decision will be communicated via a letter submitted to the faculty member, the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal, and the Administrative Panel, along with an explanation in the event the President denies the appeal. The President's decision shall be final.
 - (5) If no appeal is filed, the President will formally accept the decision of the Administrative Panel via a letter of notification submitted to the faculty member, the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal, and the Administrative Panel.
- g. The following procedures apply if the Administrative Panel decides to uphold the contestation and reject the charge of summary dismissal:
- (1) The Administrative Panel's letter of determination will include the detailed basis for its decision;
 - (2) The Administrative Panel's letter of determination shall promptly be provided to the faculty member subject to the summary dismissal process, the dean issuing the charge, and the President; and
 - (3) The President will render a final decision regarding the matter within **15 business days** after receipt of the Administrative Panel's letter of determination. The President will have the authority to consult with the faculty member, the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal, the Administrative Panel, and/or the Office of General Counsel in rendering the decision. The President's decision will be communicated via a letter submitted to the faculty member, the dean issuing the charge of summary dismissal, and the Administrative Panel, including a written explanation in the event that the

President does not accept the Administrative Panel's determination. The President's decision shall be final.

- h. A faculty member's receipt of any notification required by this section shall be the day on which an email was sent to the faculty member's preferred email address.

6. Non-Reappointment and Dismissal of Non-Tenured Faculty Members Policy and Procedures

The following procedures on non-reappointment and dismissal for cause apply to untenured tenure-track faculty members, and to non-tenure-track faculty members who have served more than six full years and been granted continuing appointment status.

The university is not required to give an untenured tenure-track faculty member a reason for a decision of non-reappointment, though such notification may be given except in cases where it would harm the university. However, each faculty member is entitled to see all of their personnel file and, at their expense, to obtain a copy of the information contained therein.

- a. If an untenured tenure-track faculty member alleges that a decision not to reappoint him/her is:
 - (1) Caused by considerations that violate academic freedom;
 - (2) For constitutionally impermissible reasons; or
 - (3) Significantly noncompliant with the university's established standards or procedures; then

The allegation of improper rationale for non-reappointment, as set forth above, shall be given preliminary consideration by a faculty committee. The Tenure Advisory Committee is responsible for appointing the faculty committee from within or outside its own membership and for its functioning.

If the faculty committee concludes that there is probable cause for the faculty member's allegation, the Tenure Advisory Committee shall notify the PSVP and form the Hearing Panel, and the matter shall be heard in accordance with the following procedures. The faculty member shall be responsible for stating the specific grounds on which the allegations were based, and the burden of proof will rest upon the faculty member. If the Hearing Panel concludes there is no probable cause for the faculty member's allegation, the process will end.

- b. The Hearing Panel shall be selected in this fashion:
 - (1) The Tenure Advisory Committee shall order the names of the members of the Tenure Hearing Committee by lot, assigning to them numbers one through twenty;
 - (2) Hearing Committee members deeming themselves biased shall remove themselves from the case;
 - (3) Either party in the dispute may strike no more than three names from those remaining on the list; and
 - (4) The Tenure Advisory Committee shall designate the five members with the lowest numbers remaining on the list to constitute the Hearing Panel.

- c. The Hearing Panel will select a chairperson from its membership and may, if it chooses, request appropriate legal counsel from a member of the Law School faculty or, if none is available, from a law firm of its choosing, provided that costs are affordable, but not from the Office of General Counsel.[‡] The legal counsel will advise the Hearing Panel but will not vote.

The Hearing Panel may also consult with the General Counsel of the university on technical or procedural questions not directly bearing on the merits of the case if the Hearing Panel considers such consultation appropriate and helpful.

- d. The hearing will be private and confidential unless the faculty member elects to have a public hearing. The Hearing Panel shall determine procedures to be implemented in the hearing, which shall afford both parties due process.

In every such hearing, the faculty member shall have the right to appear in person with legal counsel, retained by the individual, and to confront and cross-examine witnesses. The faculty member shall have the right to testify, but may not be required to do so, and may introduce on their behalf all evidence and material, written or oral, that he/she considers to be relevant or material to the case. Neither the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Texas Rules of Evidence shall apply to the hearing.

The university shall also have the right to legal counsel from the Office of General Counsel in the preparation and presentation of charges and will have the same rights in the hearing as those accorded to the faculty member. An audio recording of the proceedings shall be made and delivered to the President, and a copy of this audio recording shall be made available to the respondent. The record will be transcribed only on the request of either the faculty member or the President at the expense of the requesting party.

- e. The Hearing Panel, by a majority of its total membership, shall make written findings of fact on each charge and make specific recommendations with regard to each of the charges and the charges as a whole. The Hearing Panel, by a majority of its total membership, may make supplementary suggestions it deems proper concerning disposition of the case. If minority findings, recommendations, or suggestions are made, they shall be similarly treated. The chairperson of the Hearing Panel shall deliver the findings, recommendations, and suggestions to the President, who shall approve, reject, or amend them based on the record and then transmit them, along with their recommendations, to the faculty member and to the Hearing Panel. The decision of the President will be final.

7. Timelines for Notice of Non-Reappointment

These notification timelines apply only to any notice of non-reappointment that is issued to untenured tenure-track faculty. They do not apply to dismissals issued to non-tenure-track faculty.

- a. Full-time faculty members in their first year with the university whose duties commence with the first semester of the academic year must be notified by the following March 1 if they are not to be reappointed.
- b. Full-time faculty members in their first year with the university whose duties commence after November 15 must be notified by the following April 15 if they are not to be reappointed.

[‡] The State of Texas requires that employment of outside counsel must be approved by the State Attorney General.

- c. Full-time faculty members who are in their second year with the university and who are not to be reappointed shall be notified by December 15 of the academic year in which the appointment is to terminate.
- d. Full-time faculty members with more than two years with the university will be notified of non-reappointment by issuance of a terminal contract for one academic year.
- e. Full-time faculty members who hold a position by appointment for a fixed time period shall receive notice of non-reappointment in accordance with the terms of the appointment or in accordance with sections a, b, c, or d above.

8. Policy Implementation and Revision

This policy is to be implemented immediately upon approval by the Board of Regents. All tenured and tenure-track faculty members are subject to this policy's applicable provisions and procedures. Faculty members who have attained tenure under prior policies at Texas Tech University are governed by the tenure revocation policies in effect when they were awarded tenure, but [Texas Education Code, Section 51.942](#), requires all faculty, regardless of their tenure status, year of appointment, or year of tenure attainment, be subject to Summary Dismissal following a determination of Serious Misconduct. This policy shall not be applied in derogation of any faculty member's contract rights as set forth in the faculty member's letter of appointment, nor shall it be used to restrain faculty members in their exercise of academic freedom or constitutional rights.

Revisions to this policy may be proposed to the Board of Regents by the President upon concurrence of the Chancellor. The Tenure Advisory Committee, the Faculty Senate, or other academic groups may submit proposals to the PSVP. Such proposals, regardless of their source, shall be reviewed and approved by the Tenure Advisory Committee and the Faculty Senate.

Following this review, the Faculty Senate shall present approved proposals to the faculty for consideration. In this process, the voting faculty[§] shall be polled for approval or disapproval of the proposals. If approved by a majority of those voting, the proposals shall be forwarded by the PSVP to the President for their review and then, if the President approves, to the Chancellor, and then to the Board of Regents for its consideration. Under the statutory authority of the State of Texas, the Board of Regents has the sole authority to revise this policy.

Texas Tech University will be responsible for providing its policies and procedures regarding tenure for annual filing as required by [Texas Education Code, Section 51.942\(g\)](#).

[§] The voting faculty consists of all tenured or tenure-track faculty on full-time appointments who have completed a residence of one year at this university.