THE

PHILOSOPHICAL REVIEW

EDITED BY THE FACULTY OF

THE SAGE SCHOOL OF PHILOSOPHY

CORNELL UNIVERSITY

VOLUME XIV — 1905

(1114

AMS REPRINT COMPANY New York, N. Y. 10003

SUMMARIES OF ARTICLES.

[AMBREVIATIONS.—Am. J. Ps. = The American Journal of Psychology; Ar. de Ps. = Archives de Psychologie; Ar. f. G. Ph. = Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie; Ar. f. sys. Ph. = Archiv für systematische Philosophie; Br. J. Ps. = The British Journal of Psychology; Int. J. E. = International Journal of Ethics; J. of Ph., Psy., and Sci. Meth. = The Journal of Philosophy, Psychology, and Scientific Methods; J. de Psy. ... = Journal de Psychologie; Psych. Rev. = Psychological Review; Rev. de Mêt. = Revue de Métaphysique; Rev. Néo-Sc. = Revue Néo-Scolastique; Rev. Ph. = Revue Philosophique; Rev. de Ph. = Revue de Philosophie; R. d. Fil. = Rivista di Filosofia e Scienze Afini: V. f. w. Ph. = Vierteljahrsschrift für voissenschaftliche Philosophie; Z. f. Ph. u. ph. Kr. = Zeitschrift für Psychologie und Physiologie der Sinnesorgane. — Other titles are self-explanatory.]

LOGIC AND METAPHYSICS.

What Pragmatism Is. C. S. Peirce. The Monist, XV, 2, pp. 161-181.

The writer refers the original conception of his doctrine to the habits of mind acquired during a long period of experimental scientific work. The term he borrowed from Kant's praymatisch. It has been so widely adopted, with so many more or less important variations, that he now puts forward his own view under the name of pragmaticism as one phase of the larger doctrine. The preliminary requirement of pragmatism is to 'dismiss all make-believes.' One must start from the beliefs and doubts which one actually entertains; dismissing as make-believe all notion of metaphysical truth and falsity. Belief is a habit of mind, mostly unconscious and entirely self-satisfied. Doubt is the privation of such a habit. Man is able to exert a measure of self-control over his actions, and by a process of self-preparation can impart to action a fixed character best indicated as absence of self-reproach. In time the repetition of this self-preparation tends to eliminate self-reproach entirely. Applied to knowledge, this leads to a state of fixed belief or perfect knowledge. The doctrine of pragmaticism was first expressed by the author in the Popular Science Monthly, for January, 1878 as follows: "Consider what effects that might conceivably have practical bearings you conceive the object of your gonception to have. Then your conception of those effects is the whole of your conception of the object." This doctrine dismisses almost every proposition of ontological metaphysics as meaningless or absurd, and establishes philosophy among the observational sciences. It does not confine the prag-. matist to individual cases, for experiment is always in the interest of future ? conduct and hence must be generalized. Thought, controlled by a rational experimental logic, tends to the fixation of opinions which do not depend on accidental circumstances and which are independent of what anyone

may think of them. These propositions are both real and physically efficient in shaping conduct. The pragmatist's summum bonum is, therefore, not action, but a process of evolution whereby the existent comes more and more to embody propositions of this real character. In terms of formal logic, the essence of pragmatism may be expressed as a proposition parallel to Aristotle's dictum de omni. We call a predication affirmative (be it universal or particular) when, and only when, there is nothing among the sensational effects that belong universally to the predicate which will not be (universally or particularly, according as the affirmative predication is universal or particularly said to belong to the subject. Pragmatism is closely allied to Hegelian absolute idealism, being a genuine triadic movement, but dissents from Hegel's undue emphasis on the third stage.

GEORGE H. SABINE.

La primauté logique des jugements conditionnels. ADRIEN NAVILLE. Rev. Ph., XXX, 4, pp. 337-345.

Universal judgments are of two classes: empirical or 'closed,' dealing with a small group limed in space and time, and absolute or 'open,' dealing with a griff not thus limited, e. e., the more general truths of science. 'Open' universality properly belongs only to conditional judgments. The true scientific universal claims only possibility, and refers alike to past, present, and future; all this is clearly implied in the conditional form. The categorical judgment, on the other hand, claims a character of present actuality and permanent and eternal reality. But for neither the so-called elements of chemistry nor the laws of their combination. heither the law of gravitation nor the impenetrability of matter, neither atoms and electrons nor energy and its transformations, to say nothing of psychic life, does the scientist claim the ontologically fixed and eternally unalterable character implied in the categorical statement. The only categorical universals for science seem to be certain very general postulates as to the nature of space and time: to throw these into the conditional form would be to leave science and enter metaphysics. Modern science is abstract, and is content to affirm conditionally the possibility of certain necessary relations; categorical judgments belong properly only to history. F. D. MITCHELL.

Truth and Imagination in Religion. RALPH BARTON PERRY. Int. J. E. XV, 1, pp. 64-82.

The content of the religious experience is belief in a favorable or unfavorable attitude toward self-of one's residual environment. But it is not a mere belief, for it means to be true. Religious truth is practical, not scientific, and is thus independent of any particular scientific statement. The term God denotes, not a fixed conception whose existence may be affirmed or denied, but my practical faith; it contains an idea of my own interests, an idea of the disposition of the universe toward them, and some plan for