

AMERICAN
Journal of Mathematics
PURE AND APPLIED.

EDITOR IN CHIEF,
J. J. SYLVESTER, LL. D., F. R. S., *Corr. Mem. Inst. of France.*

ASSOCIATE EDITOR IN CHARGE,
WILLIAM E. STORY, PH. D., (*Leipsic.*)

WITH THE CO-OPERATION OF
BENJAMIN PEIRCE, LL. D., F. R. S., SIMON NEWCOMB, LL. D., F. R. S.,
PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICS IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY, CORR. MEM. INST. OF FRANCE,
SUPERINTENDENT OF THE AMERICAN EPHEMERIS,
In Mechanics, In Astronomy,

AND
H. A. ROWLAND, C. E.,
In Physics.

PUBLISHED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY.

Πάντα γὰρ μὲν τὰ γινωσκόμενα ἀριστὸν ἔχοντι.—*Philolaos.*

Volume I.

BALTIMORE:

1878.

Reprinted with the permission of The Johns Hopkins Press

Johnson Reprint Corporation

Kraus Reprint Corporation

P 60111

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

ESPOSIZIONE DEL METODO DEI MINIMI QUADRATI.

di PER ANNIBALE FERRERO, *Tenente Colonnello di Stato Maggiore, ec. Firenze, 1876.*

BY CHARLES S. PEIRCE, *New York.*

RECENT discussions in this country, of the literature of the method of Least Squares, have passed by without mention the views of the accomplished chief of the geodetical division of the Italian Survey, as set forth in the work above cited, which was first published, in part, in 1871. The subject is here, for the first time, in my opinion, set upon its true and simple basis; at all events the view here taken is far more worthy of attention than most of the proposed proofs of the method.

Lieut. Col. Ferrero begins by considering the principles of the arithmetical mean. A quantity having been directly observed, a number of times, independently, and under like circumstances, the value which might be inferred from the observations is, in the first place, a symmetrical function of the observed quantities; for, if the observations are independent, the order of their occurrences is of no consequence, and the circumstances under which they are taken, differ in no assignable respect, except that of being taken at different times. In the second place, the value inferred must be such a function of the values observed, that when the latter are all equal, the former reduces to this common value. The author calls functions having these two properties, (1st, that of being symmetrical with respect to all the variables, and 2d, that of reducing to the common value of the variables when these are all equal,) *means*. There is a whole class of functions of this sort, such as the arithmetic mean, the geometrical mean, the arithmetic-geometrical mean of Gauss, the quadratic mean,* and many others instanced in the text. It is shown, without difficulty, that these means are continuous functions, and that their value is intermediate between the extreme values of the different variables, when the latter do not differ greatly.

Let $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''$, etc. denote the values given by the observations. Let n denote the number of the observations; let p denote the arithmetical mean;

* This seems the appropriate name for $\sqrt{\frac{[x^2]}{n}}$.

and let x', x'', x''' , etc. denote the excess of the observed values over the arithmetical mean. Then write

$$V = f(o', o'', o''', \text{etc.})$$

for any mean of the observations. Develop this function according to the powers of x', x'', x''' , etc. We have

$$V = f(p + x', p + x'', p + x''', \text{etc.}) \\ = f(p, p, p, \text{etc.}) + \frac{dV}{dp} (x' + x'' + x''' + \text{etc.}) + \Delta;$$

where Δ denotes the terms of higher orders.

Since

$$x' + x'' + x''' + \text{etc.} = 0,$$

and

$$f(p, p, p, \text{etc.}) = p,$$

this reduces to

$$V = p + \Delta.$$

In considering the value of Δ , we may limit ourselves to terms of the second order. As the partial differentials of any species and order, relative to o', o'', o''' , etc. all become equal when x', x'', x''' , etc. vanish, we may write

$$\frac{d^2 V}{d{o'}^2} = \frac{d^2 V}{d{o''}^2} = \frac{d^2 V}{d{o'''}^2} = \text{etc.} = \beta$$

$$\frac{d^2 V}{d{o'} d{o''}} = \frac{d^2 V}{d{o''} d{o'''}} = \text{etc.} = \gamma$$

then

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \beta (x'^2 + x''^2 + x'''^2 + \text{etc.}) + \gamma (x'x'' + x'x''' + \text{etc.}).$$

But the square of $[x] = 0$, gives

$$\Sigma x x' = -\frac{1}{2} [x^2],$$

so that

$$\Delta = \frac{\beta - \gamma}{2} [x^2] = k \frac{[x^2]}{n},$$

where k is a quantity which does not increase indefinitely with n . When the observations are good, $\frac{[x^2]}{n}$ is not large, and, therefore, in such a case no mean will differ very much from the arithmetical mean. The least squares method, being the simplest to deal with, may therefore be used without great disadvantage. Such is, according to Colonel Ferrero, the utmost defence of the principle which can be made to cover all the cases in which it is usual to employ the method; and all further defence of it is more or less limited to special application.

In very many cases, however, it is easy to see that either in regard to the quantity directly observed, or in regard to some function of it, the zero of the scale of measurement, and the unit of the same scale, are both arbitrary. For instance, in photometric observations, this is true of the logarithm of the light. In such cases, considering such function to be the observed quantity, we have there two principles, first proposed, in connection with a really superfluous third one, by Schiaparelli.

1st. The mean to be adopted must be such that if each observed value is multiplied by any constant, the result is increased in the same ratio.

2d. The mean to be adopted must be one which is increased by a constant c , when each observed value is increased by the same constant.

Our author's treatment of these principles is exceedingly neat. Using the same notation as above, write

$$V = p + A_2 + A_3 + \dots + A_n + \dots$$

where A_n is the sum of the terms of the order n in x', x'', x''' , etc. The general term A_n is, therefore, of the form $A_n = \alpha \Sigma x^n + \beta \Sigma x^{n-1} x'' + \gamma \Sigma x^{n-2} x''^2 + \dots + \zeta \Sigma x' x'' x''' \dots$ where Σ expresses the symmetrical sum of similar terms. In the general term $r + s + t + \dots = n$. Since ζ is evidently a function of p , we may put $\zeta = \phi(p)$, and it remains to find the form of this function. Multiplying every o by c , p is changed to cp , x to cx , and the general term $\zeta \Sigma x' x'' x''' \dots$ etc. $= \phi(p) \Sigma x' x'' x''' \dots$ etc. is changed to $\phi(cp) c^n \Sigma x' x'' x''' \dots$ etc. Since, therefore, V is changed to cV , we have $\phi(cp) c^n = \phi(p) c$. Putting $p = 1$, $\phi(c) = \frac{\phi(1)}{c^{n-1}}$. Denoting this numerator by ξ_1 , the general term becomes

$$A_n = \frac{1}{p^{n-1}} [\alpha_1 \Sigma x^n + \dots + \xi_1 \Sigma x' x'' x''' \dots + \dots],$$

where α, ξ , etc., are numerical coefficients independent of p . From this circumstance it follows that the quantity in square brackets, which may be called A'_n , does not change when the same constant quantity k is added to all the observed quantities o', o'', o''' , etc.; for such an addition only increases p by this same constant, and leaves x', x'', x''' , etc., unchanged. Thus the mean in question, which may now be written

$$V = p + \frac{A'_2}{p} + \frac{A'_3}{p^2} + \text{etc.},$$

becomes, in consequence of such an addition,

$$V_k = p + k + \frac{A'_2}{p+k} + \frac{A'_3}{(p+k)^2} + \text{etc.}$$

But by principle No. 2, it becomes,

$$V_k = p + k + \frac{A'_2}{p} + \frac{A'_3}{p^2} = \text{etc.}$$

So that, $A'_2 = A'_3 = \text{etc.} = 0$, and we have

$$V = p,$$

or the arithmetical mean is the only one which conforms to the conditions.

Another still more special case, is that contemplated by the demonstrations of Laplace, Poisson, Hagen, Crofton, etc. It is treated by the author, but need not be considered in this notice.

It may be of interest to see how Colonel Ferrero is able, without the least squares expressly upon the theory of probabilities, to derive the formula for finding mean error. Using always the same notation, he terms

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{[x^2]}{n}}$$

the mean residual of the observations.

Suppose, then, that there be an indefinitely great series of series of observations of the same quantity, each lesser series consisting of n observations and each having the same mean residual. Then, there being an indefinite number of such series, the mean of their mean results may be taken as the true value, by definition. For the ultimate result of indefinitely continued observation is all that we aim at in sciences of observation. Then the number of the lesser series being q , the result will be

$$V = \frac{[p]}{q}$$

Adopt the notation

$$\delta = p - V \quad \delta_1 = p_1 - V \quad \delta_2 = p_2 - V, \text{ etc.},$$

then $\delta, \delta_1, \delta_2$, etc., are the true errors of p, p_1, p_2 , etc. Let $y, y', y'',$ etc. be the true errors of the first series of observations, y_1, y'_1, y''_1 , etc. those of the second series, and so for the others. We have, then, $y = o - V = o - p + \delta$, etc.

Squaring and summing for the nq values of y , we have

$$\Sigma y^2 = \Sigma x^2 + \Sigma \delta^2 + 2\Sigma x\Sigma \delta$$

or, since

$$\Sigma x = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma \delta = 0,$$

$$\Sigma y^2 = \Sigma x^2 + \Sigma \delta^2.$$

Now if η be the quadratic mean of the error of p , we have $\Sigma \delta^2 = nq\eta^2$

$$\Sigma y^2 = nqm^2 + nq\eta^2,$$

or the mean error μ of an observation is given by

$$\mu^2 = \frac{\Sigma y^2}{nq} = m^2 + \eta^2.$$

But it is easily shown (from the equality of positive and negative errors) that

$$\eta^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{n}$$

whence

$$\mu = \sqrt{\frac{[x^2]}{n-1}}.$$

With regard to the mode of passing from the principle of the arithmetical mean to the general method of least squares, the best way seems to be first to prove that the solution of the equations

$$a_1x = n_1$$

$$a_2x = n_2$$

etc.,

is $x = \frac{[an]}{[a^2]}$. This is easy, after the rule for the error of a mean is established.

Then, having given the equations

$$a_1x + b_1y + c_1z + \text{etc.} = n_1$$

$$a_2x + b_2y + c_2z + \text{etc.} = n_2;$$

first, consider these as similar to the equations just given; thus,

$$a_1x = n_1 - b_1y - c_1z - \text{etc.},$$

$$a_2x = n_2 - b_2y - c_2z - \text{etc.},$$

etc.,

whence we obtain the first normal equation,

$$x = \frac{[an_1] - [ab]y - [ac]z - \text{etc.}}{[a^2]}$$

and the others in a similar way.

The treatise of Colonel Ferrero may be recommended to those desirous of having a thorough practical acquaintance with the method; as decidedly the best and clearest on the subject.