

AMERICAN

Journal of Mathematics.

J. J. SYLVESTER, Editor.  
THOMAS CRAIG, Ph.D., Assistant Editor.

PUBLISHED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE  
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY.

Πραγμάτων ἔλεγχος οὐ βλεπομένων.

VOLUME VI.

BALTIMORE: PRESS OF ISAAC FRIEDENWALD.

AGENTS:

B. WESTERMANN & Co., New York.  
D. VAN NOSTRAND, New York.  
E. STEIGER & Co., New York.  
FERREE & Co., Philadelphia.  
CUSHINGS & BAILEY, Baltimore.  
A. WILLIAMS & Co., Boston.

TRÜBNER & Co., London.  
GAUTHIER-VILLARS, Paris.  
A. ASHER & Co., Berlin.  
MAYER & MÜLLER, Berlin.  
ULRICO HOEPLI, Milan.  
KARL J. TRÜBNER, Strassburg.

1884.  
LIBRARY  
TEXAS TECHNOLOGICAL COLLEGE  
LUBBOCK, TEXAS

If  $\phi u$  denotes any elliptic function of the  $r^{\text{th}}$  degree with the periods  $2\omega$ ,  $2\omega'$ , and if  $\sigma u$  has the same pair of periods, then we can always determine the  $2r+1$  quantities  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r; v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r, C$  so that

$$\phi(u) = C \cdot \frac{\sigma(u-u_1)\sigma(u-u_2)\dots\sigma(u-u_r)}{\sigma(u-v_1)\sigma(u-v_2)\dots\sigma(u-v_r)},$$

which proposition is capable of inversion. An analogous theorem in regard to  $\wp u$  is, if

$$u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$$

denote  $n+1$  independent variables, then the function

$$\phi(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \wp u_0 & \wp' u_0 & \dots & \wp^{(n-1)} u_0 \\ 1 & \wp u_1 & \wp' u_1 & \dots & \wp^{(n-1)} u_1 \\ 1 & \wp u_2 & \wp' u_2 & \dots & \wp^{(n-1)} u_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \wp u_n & \wp' u_n & \dots & \wp^{(n-1)} u_n \end{vmatrix}$$

is an elliptic function of the degree  $n+1$  of any one of the arguments  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n$ . In general "every unique elliptic function  $\phi(u)$  is expressible as a rational function of  $\wp u$  and the first derivative  $\wp' u$  with the same pair of periods  $2\omega, 2\omega'$  as  $\phi(u)$ ; and in like manner  $\wp u$  and  $\wp' u$  are expressible as rational functions of  $\phi u$  and  $\phi' u$ ".

With the function  $\sigma u$  are closely connected the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 u &= \frac{\sigma^{-\eta u} \sigma(\omega + u)}{\sigma \omega} = \frac{e^{\eta u} \sigma(\omega - u)}{\sigma \omega} \\ \sigma_2 u &= \frac{\sigma^{-\eta' u} \sigma(\omega' + u)}{\sigma \omega'} = \frac{e^{\eta' u} \sigma(\omega' - u)}{\sigma \omega'} \\ \sigma_3 u &= \frac{\sigma^{-\eta'' u} \sigma(\omega'' + u)}{\sigma \omega''} = \frac{e^{\eta'' u} \sigma(\omega'' - u)}{\sigma \omega''} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega, \omega'$  are the half periods, and  $\omega + \omega' = \omega''$ ,  $\frac{\sigma' \omega}{\sigma \omega} = \eta$ ,  $\frac{\sigma' \omega'}{\sigma \omega'} = \eta'$ ,  $\eta + \eta' = \eta''$ .

By inserting in the "pocket edition" for  $v$  the values respectively  $\omega, \omega', \omega''$ , we have  $\wp u - e_1 = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 u}{\sigma u}\right)^2$ ,  $\wp u - e_2 = \left(\frac{\sigma_2 u}{\sigma u}\right)^2$ ,  $\wp u - e_3 = \left(\frac{\sigma_3 u}{\sigma u}\right)^2$ ,

whereby the following relations are established for the differences of the roots. Remembering that  $\wp \omega = e_1$ ,  $\wp \omega' = e_2$ ,  $\wp \omega'' = e_3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{e_1 - e_2} &= \frac{\sigma_2 \omega}{\sigma \omega}, & \sqrt{e_2 - e_3} &= \frac{\sigma_3 \omega'}{\sigma \omega'}, & \sqrt{e_1 - e_3} &= \frac{\sigma_3 \omega}{\sigma \omega} \\ \sqrt{e_2 - e_1} &= \frac{\sigma_1 \omega'}{\sigma \omega'}, & \sqrt{e_3 - e_2} &= \frac{\sigma_2 \omega'}{\sigma \omega'}, & \sqrt{e_3 - e_1} &= \frac{\sigma_1 \omega}{\sigma \omega} \end{aligned}$$

where we assume  $e_1 > e_2 > e_3$ . If now we assume  $R\left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right) > 0$ , that is, the real component of the complex  $\frac{\omega'}{\omega\sqrt{-1}} > 0$ , so that in the geometrical representation

the point  $\omega'$  lies "above" the right line joining  $u=0$  and  $u=\omega$ , then

$$\sqrt{e_3 - e_2} = -i\sqrt{e_2 - e_3}; \quad \sqrt{e_3 - e_1} = -i\sqrt{e_1 - e_3}; \quad \sqrt{e_2 - e_1} = -i\sqrt{e_1 - e_2}.$$

If now we denote for convenience by  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  the indices 1, 2, 3, and write

$$\frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_\lambda u} = \xi_{\lambda\lambda}, \quad \frac{\sigma_\mu u}{\sigma_\mu u} = \xi_{\mu\mu}, \quad \frac{\sigma_\nu u}{\sigma_\nu u} = \xi_{\nu\nu}, \text{ etc.}$$

remembering that

$$\wp' u = -2 \frac{\sigma_\lambda u \cdot \sigma_\mu u \cdot \sigma_\nu u}{\sigma u \cdot \sigma u \cdot \sigma u},$$

we easily obtain

$$\frac{d\xi_{\lambda\lambda}}{du} = \xi_{\mu\lambda} \xi_{\nu\lambda}, \quad \frac{d\xi_{\mu\mu}}{du} = -(e_\mu - e_\nu) \xi_{\lambda\mu} \xi_{\nu\mu}, \quad \frac{d\xi_{\nu\nu}}{du} = -\xi_{\mu\nu} \xi_{\lambda\nu},$$

$$\text{whence } \left(\frac{d\xi_{\lambda\lambda}}{du}\right)^2 = (1 - (e_\mu - e_\lambda) \xi_{\nu\lambda}^2)(1 - (e_\nu - e_\lambda) \xi_{\nu\lambda}^2),$$

$$\left(\frac{d\xi_{\mu\mu}}{du}\right)^2 = (1 - \xi_{\mu\nu}^2)(e_\mu - e_\lambda + (e_\lambda - e_\nu) \xi_{\mu\nu}^2),$$

$$\left(\frac{d\xi_{\nu\nu}}{du}\right)^2 = (\xi_{\lambda\mu}^2 + e_\lambda - e_\mu)(\xi_{\lambda\mu}^2 + e_\lambda - e_\nu),$$

and the four functions

$$\frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_\lambda u}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_\mu - e_\lambda}} \frac{\sigma_\mu u}{\sigma_\mu u}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_\nu - e_\lambda}} \frac{\sigma_\nu u}{\sigma_\nu u}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_\mu - e_\lambda} \sqrt{e_\nu - e_\lambda}} \frac{\sigma_\lambda u}{\sigma_\lambda u}$$

satisfy the same differential equation

$$\left(\frac{d\xi}{du}\right)^2 = (1 - (e_\mu - e_\lambda) \xi^2)(1 - (e_\nu - e_\lambda) \xi^2).$$

But the English reader will desire to know in what connection the system of Weierstrass stands to the more widely known systems of Jacobi and Legendre.

If we define the  $k$  of Jacobi by the equation

$$k^2 = \frac{e_2 - e_3}{e_1 - e_3},$$

then the following relations are established between the sigma-quotients and Jacobi's functions. We give only three as specimens, replacing the  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  by 1, 2, 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma u}{\sigma_3 u} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_1 - e_3}} \operatorname{sn}(\sqrt{e_1 - e_3} \cdot u, k) \\ \frac{\sigma_1 u}{\sigma_3 u} &= \operatorname{cn}(\sqrt{e_1 - e_3} \cdot u, k) \\ \frac{\sigma_2 u}{\sigma_3 u} &= \operatorname{dn}(\sqrt{e_1 - e_3} \cdot u, k). \end{aligned}$$

Not all the sigma-quotients are so nearly identical with Jacobi's functions, but in all cases the argument  $u$  appears multiplied with the same factor  $\sqrt{e_1 - e_3}$  which is the largest of the three root-differences.

In the defining equation  $k^2 = \frac{e_2 - e_3}{e_1 - e_3}$  and the corresponding one  $k'^2 = \frac{e_1 - e_2}{e_1 - e_3}$  both of these quantities if real must be greater than zero and less than unity.

They will be real if the points in the plane representing  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  lie in the same straight line, when mod.  $e_2$  must be intermediate between mod.  $e_1$  and mod.  $e_3$  in magnitude. Then if we understand by  $K$  and  $K'$  the simplest values of the integrals

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{1-k^2 x^2}}; \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2} \sqrt{1-k'^2 x^2}}$$

respectively, taking those values of the radicals whose real components are positive, we shall have

$$\omega_1 \sqrt{e_1 - e_3} = K, \quad \omega_2 \sqrt{e_1 - e_3} = iK',$$

$$\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2,$$

and  $2\omega_1, 2\omega_2$  are the primitive pair of periods for the before mentioned  $\wp u$ , so that as above

$$\wp \omega_1 = e_1, \quad \wp \omega_2 = e_2, \quad \wp \omega_3 = e_3.$$

It ought to be mentioned that  $\zeta_1 u, \zeta_2 u, \zeta_3 u$  can also be defined in the same simple manner as  $\zeta u$  by means of infinite products. If we write

$$w_1 = (2\mu + 1)\omega + 2\mu'\omega', \quad w_2 = (2\mu + 1)\omega + (2\mu' + 1)\omega'$$

$$w_3 = 2\mu\omega + (2\mu' + 1)\omega', \quad [\mu, \mu' = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \infty]$$

then in general, for  $\lambda = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$\zeta_\lambda u = e^{-i\epsilon_\lambda u^2} \prod_{\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{u}{w_\lambda}\right) e^{\frac{u}{w_\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{u^2}{w_\lambda^2}}.$$

Finally to show the relation in which the sigma functions stand to the  $\mathfrak{S}$ -functions of Jacobi, we find

$$\zeta u = \frac{2\omega}{\pi} e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{2h^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin u\pi - 2h^{\frac{3}{2}} \sin 3u\pi + 2h^{\frac{5}{2}} \sin 5u\pi - \dots}{2h^{\frac{1}{2}} - 3 \cdot 2 \cdot h^{\frac{3}{2}} + 5 \cdot 2h^{\frac{5}{2}} - \dots} = 2\omega e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{S}_0(u)}{\mathfrak{S}_0(o)}$$

$$\zeta_1 u = e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{2h^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos u\pi + 2h^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos 3u\pi + 2h^{\frac{5}{2}} \cos 5u\pi + \dots}{2h^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2h^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2h^{\frac{5}{2}} + \dots} = e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{S}_1(u)}{\mathfrak{S}_1(o)}$$

$$\zeta_2 u = e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{1 + 2h \cos 2u\pi + 2h^2 \cos 4u\pi + 2h^3 \cos 6u\pi + \dots}{1 + 2h + 2h^2 + 2h^3 + \dots} = e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{S}_2(u)}{\mathfrak{S}_2(o)}$$

$$\zeta_3 u = e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{1 - 2h \cos 2u\pi + 2h^2 \cos 4u\pi - 2h^3 \cos 6u\pi + \dots}{1 - 2h + 2h^2 - 2h^3 + \dots} = e^{\frac{2\eta u^2}{\omega^2}} \cdot \frac{\mathfrak{S}_3(u)}{\mathfrak{S}_3(o)}$$

where  $h = e^{\frac{2\eta}{\omega}}$ ,  $v = \frac{u}{2\omega}$ ,  $\eta = \frac{\zeta'\omega}{\zeta\omega}$ .

The functions  $\mathfrak{S}_0(v), \mathfrak{S}_1(v), \mathfrak{S}_2(v), \mathfrak{S}_3(v)$  as here employed coincide respectively with Jacobi's  $\mathfrak{S}_1(xq), \mathfrak{S}_2(xq), \mathfrak{S}_3(xq), \mathfrak{S}(xq)$ , if we write  $v\pi = x$  and  $h = q$ .

But anything more than a slight account of Weierstrass' system, showing in particular its main points of contact with Jacobi's, would be beyond the intention of this paper. It is to be hoped that Weierstrass' ideas in the function theory will soon find that widespread recognition which they undoubtedly merit. In a future paper I hope to exhibit the system in greater detail, in particular the formulæ of transformation, showing their analogies to the formulæ of Jacobi.

## On Quadruple Theta-Functions.

By THOMAS CRAIG, Johns Hopkins University.

### PART II.

In the following I employ the notation used by Schottky in his "*Abriss einer Theorie der Abelschen Functionen von drei Variabeln.*" On page 18, Schottky gives the fundamental theorem bearing upon his particular notation; it is as follows: "*Es ist möglich, ein System primitiver Indices*

$$1, 2, 3, \dots, 2\rho + 1$$

*und einen ausgezeichneten  $\epsilon$  so zu wählen, dass  $\epsilon a$  ein grader Index ist, wenn die Anzahl der primitiven Indices, aus denen  $a$  zusammengesetzt ist  $\equiv \rho$  oder  $\rho + 1 \pmod{4}$  ist, dagegen ein ungrader, wenn diese Anzahl  $\equiv \rho + 2$  oder  $\rho - 1 \pmod{4}$  ist."*

For  $\rho = 4$  the "primitive indices" are nine in number, and they may in general be denoted by the letters

$$k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t.$$

All of the characteristics of the quadruple theta-functions, with the exception of (0), may be represented by certain combinations of these letters, viz. by taking them one at a time, two at a time, three at a time and four at a time. We have thus:

	Number of cases.
The index (0) . . . . .	1
The primitive indices taking one at a time . . . . .	9
" " " two " . . . . .	36
" " " three " . . . . .	84
" " " four " . . . . .	126
	256

The even functions, 136 in number, are given by the first, second and fifth of these cases, and the odd functions, 120 in number, by the third and fourth cases. That is, the even functions will have the suffixes  $o, k$  and  $klmn$ , and the odd functions will have the suffixes  $kl$  and  $klm$ . In the numbers of the *Annales de*

*l'École Normale* for June, July and August, 1883, M. Brunel has investigated the relations similar to the Göpel and Kummer relations for the double theta-functions which exist in the case of the triple theta-functions. I propose in what follows to employ Brunel's method in working out the corresponding relations connecting the quadruple functions. Brunel starts out from certain relations given by Schottky in the *Nachtrag* to the above mentioned book "*Ueber die hyperelliptischen Functionen dreier Variablen*," and uses a method which is fundamentally the same as that employed by Brioschi in his paper already referred to in Part I of this article,\* but the manner in which he develops it is simpler than would be possible had he employed, without alteration, the method indicated by Brioschi.

I shall use almost without change the notation employed by Brunel, only altering it when the greater complexity of the present case makes it desirable. Following Schottky, write first:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L_k^4}{L_0^4} &= \frac{-1}{(a_l - a_k)(a_m - a_k)(a_n - a_k)(a_p - a_k)(a_q - a_k)(a_r - a_k)(a_s - a_k)(a_t - a_k)} \\ \frac{L_{kl}^4}{L_0^4} &= \frac{-1}{\begin{aligned} &(a_m - a_k)(a_n - a_k)(a_p - a_k)(a_q - a_k)(a_r - a_k)(a_s - a_k)(a_t - a_k) \\ &\times (a_m - a_l)(a_n - a_l)(a_p - a_l)(a_q - a_l)(a_r - a_l)(a_s - a_l)(a_t - a_l) \end{aligned}} \\ \frac{L_{klm}^4}{L_0^4} &= \frac{-1}{\begin{aligned} &(a_n - a_k)(a_p - a_k)(a_q - a_k)(a_r - a_k)(a_s - a_k)(a_t - a_k) \\ &\times (a_n - a_l)(a_p - a_l)(a_q - a_l)(a_r - a_l)(a_s - a_l)(a_t - a_l) \\ &\times (a_n - a_m)(a_p - a_m)(a_q - a_m)(a_r - a_m)(a_s - a_m)(a_t - a_m) \end{aligned}} \\ \frac{L_{klmn}^4}{L_0^4} &= \frac{-1}{\begin{aligned} &(a_p - a_k)(a_q - a_k)(a_r - a_k)(a_s - a_k)(a_t - a_k) \\ &\times (a_p - a_l)(a_q - a_l)(a_r - a_l)(a_s - a_l)(a_t - a_l) \\ &\times (a_p - a_m)(a_q - a_m)(a_r - a_m)(a_s - a_m)(a_t - a_m) \\ &\times (a_p - a_n)(a_q - a_n)(a_r - a_n)(a_s - a_n)(a_t - a_n) \end{aligned}} \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the functions  $P$  defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad \frac{L_0}{L_0} P_0 &= \frac{\theta_0}{\theta_0}, \quad \frac{L_k}{L_0} P_k = \frac{\theta_k}{\theta_0}, \\ \frac{L_{kl}}{L_0} P_{kl} &= \frac{\theta_{kl}}{\theta_0}, \quad \frac{L_{klm}}{L_0} P_{klm} = \frac{\theta_{klm}}{\theta_0}, \\ \frac{L_{klmn}}{L_0} P_{klmn} &= \frac{\theta_{klmn}}{\theta_0}, \end{aligned}$$

\*Brioschi.—La relazione di Göpel per funzioni iperellittiche d'ordine qualunque. *Annali di Matematica*, Serie II<sup>a</sup>, Tomo X<sup>o</sup>.

then, following Weierstrass and Schottky, and writing

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad R(x) &= (a_k - x)(a_l - x)(a_m - x)(a_n - x)(a_p - x)(a_q - x)(a_r - x)(a_s - x)(a_t - x) \\ \phi(x) &= (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3)(x - x_4) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= 1, \dots \dots \dots 1 \text{ function } P_0 \\ P_k &= \sqrt{(a_k - x_1)(a_k - x_2)(a_k - x_3)(a_k - x_4)} \dots \dots \dots 9 \text{ functions } P_k \\ 3. \quad P_{kl} &= P_k P_l \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\sqrt{R(x_i)}}{(a_k - x_i)(a_l - x_i)\phi'(x_i)} \dots \dots \dots 36 \text{ functions } P_{kl} \\ P_{klm} &= P_k P_l P_m \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\sqrt{R(x_i)}}{(a_k - x_i)(a_l - x_i)(a_m - x_i)\phi'(x_i)} \dots \dots \dots 84 \text{ functions } P_{klm} \\ P_{klmn} &= P_k P_l P_m P_n \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\sqrt{R(x_i)}}{(a_k - x_i)(a_l - x_i)(a_m - x_i)(a_n - x_i)\phi'(x_i)} \dots \dots \dots 126 \text{ functions } P_{klmn} \end{aligned}$$

making in all 256  $P$ -functions replacing the 256  $\Theta$ -functions. In these equations the letters  $k, l, m$  and  $n$  are all different from each other. We have now to determine the linear relations existing between the squares of these  $P$ -functions and those existing between their products taken two and two. Write

$$4. \quad \Sigma x_i = \alpha, \quad \Sigma x_i x_j = \beta, \quad \Sigma x_i x_j x_k = \gamma, \quad x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = \delta.$$

The summations to be taken from 1 to 4 and  $i, j, k$  all having different values.

Further write

$$(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_4)(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4)(x_3 - x_4) = -\theta,$$

that is

$$5. \quad \begin{vmatrix} x_1^3 & x_2^3 & x_3^3 & x_4^3 \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 & x_4^2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = -\theta;$$

and in general write

$$\begin{vmatrix} y_1^{n-1} & y_2^{n-1} & \dots & y_n^{n-1} \\ y_1^{n-2} & y_2^{n-2} & \dots & y_n^{n-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_n \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \equiv |y_1 y_2 \dots y_n|,$$

that is

$$6. \quad |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| = -\theta.$$



The  $P$ -functions can now be written in the following manner,

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_k = \sqrt{|a_k x_1| |a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4|}$$

$$P_{kl} = \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| \sqrt{R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_2| |a_k x_3|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|}} \right. \\ \left. - |x_3 x_4 x_1| \sqrt{\quad} + |x_4 x_1 x_2| \sqrt{\quad} - |x_1 x_2 x_3| \sqrt{\quad} \right\}$$

$$7. \quad P_{klm} = \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| \sqrt{R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_m x_4| |a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1|}} \right. \\ \left. - |x_3 x_4 x_1| \sqrt{\quad} + |x_4 x_1 x_2| \sqrt{\quad} - |x_1 x_2 x_3| \sqrt{\quad} \right\}$$

$$P_{klmn} = \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| \sqrt{R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_m x_4| |a_n x_1| |a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_2| |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_1|}} \right. \\ \left. - |x_3 x_4 x_1| \sqrt{\quad} + |x_4 x_1 x_2| \sqrt{\quad} - |x_1 x_2 x_3| \sqrt{\quad} \right\}$$

It is of course perfectly obvious how to fill up the empty radical signs. The above forms for the  $P$ -functions are retained for the same reason that Brunel gives in the case of the triple theta-functions, that is, although the denominators under the radical signs are actually factors of  $R(x_1)$ ,  $R(x_2)$ ,  $R(x_3)$  and  $R(x_4)$ , it is more convenient in the following transformations to retain the fractional form.

#### LINEAR RELATIONS BETWEEN THE SQUARES OF THE $P$ -FUNCTIONS.

Take first the case of the functions with a single index, i. e.  $P_k$ ,  $P_l$ , etc. We have

$$8. \quad P_k^2 = |a_k x_1| |a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4|;$$

expanding this and using the notation given above, we have

$$9. \quad P_k^2 = a_k^4 - \alpha a_k^3 + \beta a_k^2 - \gamma a_k + \delta.$$

As this is linear in the quantities  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and as  $k$  is any one of the primitive indices, we can, by assuming any five such relations, eliminate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ ; the result of the elimination is obviously

$$10. \quad \begin{vmatrix} P_k^2 - a_k^4 & P_l^2 - a_l^4 & P_m^2 - a_m^4 & P_n^2 - a_n^4 & P_p^2 - a_p^4 \\ a_k^3 & a_l^3 & a_m^3 & a_n^3 & a_p^3 \\ a_k^2 & a_l^2 & a_m^2 & a_n^2 & a_p^2 \\ a_k & a_l & a_m & a_n & a_p \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or expanding this we have

$$11. \quad P_k^2 |a_l a_m a_n a_p| + P_l^2 |a_m a_n a_p a_k| + P_m^2 |a_n a_p a_k a_l| \\ + P_n^2 |a_p a_k a_l a_m| + P_p^2 |a_k a_l a_m a_n| = |a_k a_l a_m a_n a_p| P_0^2$$

Since  $P_0 = 1$ , this factor may be introduced solely for the sake of symmetry. If instead of eliminating  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  between five relations of the form (9) we eliminate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and 1 between six such relations, we have obviously

$$12. \quad \begin{vmatrix} P_k^2 & P_l^2 & P_m^2 & P_n^2 & P_p^2 & P_q^2 \\ a_k^4 & a_l^4 & a_m^4 & a_n^4 & a_p^4 & a_q^4 \\ a_k^3 & a_l^3 & a_m^3 & a_n^3 & a_p^3 & a_q^3 \\ a_k^2 & a_l^2 & a_m^2 & a_n^2 & a_p^2 & a_q^2 \\ a_k & a_l & a_m & a_n & a_p & a_q \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or expanding

$$13. \quad P_k^2 |a_l a_m a_n a_p a_q| - P_l^2 |a_m a_n a_p a_q a_k| + P_m^2 |a_n a_p a_q a_k a_l| \\ - P_n^2 |a_p a_q a_k a_l a_m| + P_p^2 |a_q a_k a_l a_m a_n| - P_q^2 |a_k a_l a_m a_n a_p| = 0.$$

We have thus found the linear relations existing between the squares of the  $P$ -functions possessing a single suffix, or index, i. e. between the functions whose indices are

$$0 \ k \ l \ m \ n \ p \ q \ r \ s \ t,$$

and it is seen that these functions form a group of ten such that any five being given the square of any one of the remaining five can be expressed as a linear function of the squares of the chosen five. Following Brunel I shall call this the group 0.

Consider next the case of the  $P$ -functions with two suffixes: for the square of any one of them, say  $P_{kl}$ , we have

$$14. \quad P_{kl}^2 = \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_2| |a_k x_3|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \right. \\ - 2 |x_2 x_3 x_4| |x_3 x_4 x_1| \sqrt{R(x_1) R(x_2)} \frac{|a_k x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_l x_3| |a_k x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \\ + 2 |x_2 x_3 x_4| |x_4 x_1 x_2| \sqrt{R(x_1) R(x_3)} \frac{|a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_4| |a_k x_2|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \\ - 2 |x_2 x_3 x_4| |x_1 x_2 x_3| \sqrt{R(x_1) R(x_4)} \frac{|a_k x_3| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_3| |a_k x_1|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \\ - 2 |x_2 x_4 x_1| |x_4 x_1 x_2| \sqrt{R(x_2) R(x_3)} \frac{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_4| |a_l x_1| |a_k x_2|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \\ + 2 |x_3 x_4 x_1| |x_1 x_2 x_3| \sqrt{R(x_2) R(x_4)} \frac{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_1| |a_k x_2|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \\ \left. - 2 |x_4 x_1 x_2| |x_1 x_2 x_3| \sqrt{R(x_3) R(x_4)} \frac{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_1| |a_k x_2|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} \right\}.$$

It is possible to find a linear relation between four of these  $P$ -functions with two suffixes which is entirely rational, that is, a relation which shall not contain any of the quantities  $\sqrt{R(x_i) R(x_j)}$ . Take four of the functions  $P_{kl}$  which have the first suffix  $k$  in common, say  $P_{kl}$ ,  $P_{km}$ ,  $P_{kn}$ ,  $P_{kp}$ , then in order that the radicals  $\sqrt{R(x_i) R(x_j)}$  may disappear we must find a series of multipliers  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$ , satisfying the equation

$$15. \quad A |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| - B |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4| + C |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4| - D |a_p x_3| |a_p x_4| = 0.$$

Giving  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  the following values,

$$16. \quad \begin{aligned} A &= |a_m a_n a_p|, & B &= |a_n a_p a_l| \\ C &= |a_p a_l a_m|, & D &= |a_l a_m a_n| \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to see that equation 15 is satisfied. Assuming then four equations of the same form as 14, we have

$$17. \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kl}^2 - |a_n a_p a_l| P_{km}^2 + |a_p a_l a_m| P_{kn}^2 - |a_l a_m a_n| P_{kp}^2 = \\ & \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |a_m a_n a_p| \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} + \dots \right\} \right. \\ & - |a_n a_p a_l| \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_m x_1|} + \dots \right\} \\ & + |a_p a_l a_m| \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_n x_2| |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_n x_1|} + \dots \right\} \\ & \left. - |a_l a_m a_n| \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_p x_2| |a_p x_3| |a_p x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_p x_1|} + \dots \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing the values of  $R(x_1), R(x_2)$ , etc., it is not difficult to see that the first line of this equation may be thrown into the following form,

$$\frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |a_m a_n a_p| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_1| |a_p x_1| \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccc} |x_2 x_3 x_4| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| \end{array} \right] \mathbf{A} \right. \\ \left. - |a_n a_p a_l| |a_n x_1| |a_p x_1| |a_l x_1| \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{ " " " " " " " " } \end{array} \right] \mathbf{B} \right. \\ \left. + |a_p a_l a_m| |a_p x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{ " " " " " " " " } \end{array} \right] \mathbf{C} \right. \\ \left. - |a_l a_m a_n| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_1| \left[ \begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{ " " " " " " " " } \end{array} \right] \mathbf{D} \right\}$$

where  $\mathbf{A} = |x_2 x_3 x_4| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| - |x_3 x_4 x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1|$   
 $+ |x_4 x_1 x_2| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| - |x_1 x_2 x_3| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1|$

and  $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are obtained by changing  $l$  into  $m, n$  and  $p$  respectively. We have then

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D} = |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| = \text{say } \Delta.$$

Write for convenience

$$|x_2 x_3 x_4| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_l x_1| = \Gamma_1,$$

then this becomes

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma_1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |a_m a_n a_p| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_1| |a_p x_1| - |a_n a_p a_l| |a_n x_1| |a_p x_1| |a_l x_1| \right. \\ \left. + |a_p a_l a_m| |a_p x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| - |a_l a_m a_n| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_1| \right\}.$$

The term in the  $\{\}$  is easily seen to be equal to  $|a_l a_m a_n a_p|$ . Equation 17 thus takes the form,

$$18. \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kl}^2 - |a_n a_p a_l| P_{km}^2 + |a_p a_l a_m| P_{kn}^2 - |a_l a_m a_n| P_{kp}^2 = \\ & \frac{|a_l a_m a_n a_p|}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} [\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 - \Gamma_4]. \end{aligned}$$

Remembering to pay attention to the signs, we may write the term in  $[\ ]$  as  $\Sigma \Gamma$ ; then writing

$$19. \quad \Sigma a_q = \lambda, \quad \Sigma a_q a_r = \mu, \quad \Sigma a_q a_r a_s = \nu, \quad \Sigma a_q a_r a_s a_t = \pi,$$

we have

$$20. \quad \Sigma \Gamma = \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| (\pi - x_1 \nu + x_1^2 \mu - x_1^3 \lambda + x_1^4) (a_k^3 - a_k^2 (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + a_k (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) - x_2 x_3 x_4).$$

Now writing as above  $\theta = -|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|$

and introducing the abbreviations  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \pi$ , it is not difficult to see that

$$21. \quad \theta = |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| \{ a_k^4 - a_k^3 \alpha + a_k^2 \beta - a_k \gamma + \delta - a_k^4 + a_k^3 \lambda - a_k^2 \mu + a_k \nu - \pi \};$$

referring now to equation 9 we have

$$22. \quad \theta = |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| \{ P_k^3 - |a_k a_p| |a_k a_q| |a_k a_r| |a_k a_s| P_0^3 \}.$$

Expanding equation 18 it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & a_k^3 \{ \pi \Sigma |x_1 x_2 x_3| - \nu \Sigma |x_1| |x_2 x_3 x_4| + \mu \Sigma |x_1^2| |x_2 x_3 x_4| - \lambda \Sigma |x_1^3| |x_2 x_3 x_4| + \Sigma |x_1^4| |x_2 x_3 x_4| \} \\ & - a_k^2 \{ \pi \Sigma (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_2 x_3 x_4| - \nu \Sigma (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_2 x_3 x_4| + \mu \Sigma |x_1^2| (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_2 x_3 x_4| \\ & - \lambda \Sigma |x_1^3| (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_2 x_3 x_4| + \Sigma |x_1^4| (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_2 x_3 x_4| \} \\ & + a_k \{ \pi \Sigma (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_2 x_3 x_4| - \nu \Sigma (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_2 x_3 x_4| \\ & + \mu \Sigma |x_1^2| (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_2 x_3 x_4| - \lambda \Sigma |x_1^3| (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_2 x_3 x_4| \\ & + \Sigma |x_1^4| (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_2 x_3 x_4| \} - \{ \pi \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| |x_2 x_3 x_4| \\ & - \nu \Sigma |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| + \mu \Sigma |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| \Sigma |x_1| |x_2 x_3 x_4| - \lambda \Sigma |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| \Sigma |x_1^2| |x_2 x_3 x_4| \\ & + \Sigma |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| \Sigma |x_1^3| |x_2 x_3 x_4| \} = \end{aligned}$$

$$|a_m a_n a_p| P_{kl}^2 - |a_n a_p a_l| P_{km}^2 + |a_p a_l a_m| P_{kn}^2 - |a_l a_m a_n| P_{kp}^2.$$

Of course in all these summations particular care must be taken to give the right signs to each term; for example,  $\Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4|$  means

$$|x_2 x_3 x_4| - |x_3 x_4 x_2| + |x_4 x_2 x_3| - |x_1 x_2 x_3|.$$

Using now equations 19 to 21 inclusive, we have, after simple reductions, for the reduced form of equation 18,

$$23. \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kl}^2 - |a_n a_p a_l| P_{km}^2 + |a_p a_l a_m| P_{kn}^2 - |a_l a_m a_n| P_{kp}^2 = \\ & \frac{|a_l a_m a_n a_p|}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} \{ P_k^3 - |a_k a_p| |a_k a_q| |a_k a_r| |a_k a_s| P_0^3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

The factor  $P_0^3 = 1$  being introduced simply for the sake of symmetry.

Now advance all the letters after  $k$ , that is, change  $l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t$  into  $m, n, p, q, r, s, t, l$ , and 23 becomes

$$24. \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_n a_p a_q| P_{km}^2 - |a_p a_q a_m| P_{kn}^2 + |a_q a_m a_n| P_{kp}^2 - |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kl}^2 = \\ & \frac{|a_l a_m a_n a_p|}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} \{ P_k^3 - |a_k a_q| |a_k a_r| |a_k a_s| |a_k a_l| P_0^3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of  $P_0^2$  in 23 and 24 are respectively

$$[(a_m - a_n)(a_m - a_p)(a_n - a_p)(a_k - a_q)(a_k - a_r)(a_k - a_s)(a_k - a_t)]$$

$$\text{and } [(a_m - a_n)(a_m - a_p)(a_n - a_p)(a_k - a_q)(a_k - a_r)(a_k - a_s)(a_k - a_t)]$$

Multiplying then 23 by the factor

$$(a_m - a_q)(a_n - a_q)(a_p - a_q)(a_k - a_l),$$

and 24 by the factor  $(a_l - a_m)(a_l - a_n)(a_l - a_p)(a_k - a_p),$

and subtracting one result from the other we eliminate  $P_0$  and have

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{kl}^2 |a_m a_n a_p a_q| a_k a_l | \\ & - P_{km}^2 \{ |a_n a_p a_l| a_m a_q | a_n a_q | a_p a_q | a_k a_l | + |a_n a_p a_q| a_l a_m | a_l a_n | a_l a_p | a_k a_p | \} \\ & + P_{kn}^2 \{ |a_p a_l a_m| a_m a_q | a_n a_q | a_p a_q | a_k a_l | + |a_p a_q a_m| a_l a_m | a_l a_n | a_l a_p | a_k a_p | \} \\ & - P_{kp}^2 \{ |a_l a_m a_n| a_m a_q | a_n a_q | a_p a_q | a_k a_l | + |a_q a_m a_n| a_l a_m | a_l a_p | a_l a_p | a_k a_p | \} \\ & + P_{kq}^2 \{ |a_m a_n a_p| a_l a_m | a_l a_n | a_l a_p | a_l a_p | a_k a_l | \} = \\ & P_k^2 \{ |a_l a_m a_n a_p| a_m a_q | a_n a_q | a_p a_q | a_k a_l | - |a_m a_n a_p a_q| a_l a_m | a_l a_n | a_l a_p | a_k a_p | \} \end{aligned}$$

This reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{kl}^2 |a_m a_n a_p a_q| a_k a_l | - P_{km}^2 |a_n a_p a_l a_m| a_k a_m | + P_{kn}^2 |a_p a_q a_l a_m| a_k a_n | \\ 25. \quad & - P_{kp}^2 |a_q a_l a_m a_n| a_k a_p | + P_{kq}^2 |a_l a_m a_n a_p| a_k a_q | = \\ & P_k^2 |a_l a_m a_n a_p a_q|. \end{aligned}$$

If we here make again the substitution

$$\begin{vmatrix} l & m & n & p & q & r & s & t \\ m & n & p & q & r & s & t & l \end{vmatrix}$$

we get a new relation connecting the squares of  $P_k, P_{km}, P_{kn}, P_{kp}, P_{kq}, P_{kr}.$

The coefficient of  $P_k^2$  will be  $|a_m a_n a_p a_q a_r|:$

multiplying this equation then by the factor

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_l a_m | a_l a_n | a_l a_p | a_l a_q | \\ a_m a_r | a_n a_r | a_p a_r | a_q a_r | \end{vmatrix},$$

and multiplying 25 by

and subtracting one result from the other, we eliminate  $P_k$  and have a linear relation between the squares of

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{kl}, P_{km}, P_{kn}, P_{kp}, P_{kq}, P_{kr}, \text{ viz.} \\ 26. \quad & P_{kl}^2 |a_m a_n a_p a_q a_r| a_k a_l | - P_{km}^2 |a_n a_p a_q a_r a_l| a_k a_m | \\ & + P_{kn}^2 |a_p a_q a_r a_l a_m| a_k a_n | - P_{kp}^2 |a_q a_r a_l a_m a_n| a_k a_p | \\ & + P_{kq}^2 |a_r a_l a_m a_n a_p| a_k a_q | - P_{kr}^2 |a_l a_m a_n a_p a_q| a_k a_r |. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that we might have eliminated  $P_k^2$  between 23 and 24, and so have found a linear relation connecting the squares of

$$P_0, P_{kl}, P_{km}, P_{kn}, P_{kp}, P_{kq},$$

and by making the above substitution and eliminating  $P_0^2$  between the two equations thus formed we would again arrive at 26. It is then clear that the functions with the indices

$$0, k, kl, km, kn, kp, kq, kr, ks, kt,$$

form a group of ten, such that any five being selected the squares of any of the remaining five can be expressed as a linear function of the squares of the chosen five. There are of course in all nine such groups, and these may be tabulated as follows:

0	k	kl	km	kn	kp	kq	kr	ks	kt
0	l	lk	lm	ln	lp	lq	lr	ls	lt
0	m	mk	ml	mn	mp	mq	mr	ms	mt
0	n	nk	nl	nm	np	nq	nr	ns	nt
0	p	pk	pl	pm	pn	pq	pr	ps	pt
0	q	qk	ql	qm	qn	qp	qr	qs	qt
0	r	rk	rl	rm	rn	rp	rq	rs	rt
0	s	sk	sl	sm	sn	sp	sq	sr	st
0	t	tk	tl	tm	tn	tp	tq	tr	ts

and the groups will be called the  $k$ -group, the  $l$ -group, etc.

We will now take up the case of three indices, which, as will be seen, divides into two sub-cases, according to the choice of the index. The two sub-cases give rise to two tables, the first containing 36 groups and the second containing 84 groups. As the method of working out these groups by Brunel's method has already been sufficiently indicated, I shall, in what follows, leave out as much as possible the purely algebraical processes of reduction, as they now become very long and wholly uninteresting. Squaring the function  $P_{klm}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 27. \quad P_{klm}^2 = & \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1|} + \dots \right. \\ & \left. - 2 |x_2 x_3 x_4| \sqrt{R(x_1) R(x_2)} |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4| + \dots - \dots + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

The radicals  $\sqrt{R(x_1) R(x_2)}$ , etc., may be eliminated between any six equations of the form 27, or between five equations of this form, having each a common index, say  $k$ , or between four equations having each two indices, say  $k$  and  $l$ , common. Choose multipliers  $A, B, C$  and  $D$ , such that the coefficient of  $\sqrt{R(x_1) R(x_2)}$  (and in consequence the coefficients of all the other radicals) shall be zero in the sum  $AP_{klm}^2 + BP_{kln}^2 + CP_{ktp}^2 + DP_{klt}^2.$

This coefficient is easily seen to be

$$\begin{aligned} & A |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4| + B |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4| \\ & + C |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_p x_3| |a_p x_4| + D |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| \end{aligned}$$

Striking out the common factor

$$|a_k x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_i x_3| |a_i x_4|$$

the condition to be satisfied is

$$28. \quad A |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4| + B |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4| + C |a_p x_3| |a_p x_4| + D = 0;$$

this is equivalent to

$$A + B + C = 0,$$

$$a_m A + a_n B + a_p C = 0,$$

$$a_m^2 A + a_n^2 B + a_p^2 C + D = 0.$$

These are easily seen to be satisfied by the values

$$29. \quad A = |a_n a_p|, \quad B = |a_p a_m|, \quad C = |a_m a_n|, \quad D = -|a_m a_n a_p|.$$

Introducing then these values of  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$ , we have

$$30. \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_n a_p| P_{klm}^2 + |a_p a_m| P_{kln}^2 + |a_m a_n| P_{klp}^2 - |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kli}^2 = \\ & \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |a_n a_p| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_m x_1|} + \dots \right] \right. \\ & + |a_p a_m| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_n x_2| |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_n x_1|} + \dots \right] \\ & + |a_m a_n| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4| |a_p x_2| |a_p x_3| |a_p x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1| |a_p x_1|} + \dots \right] \\ & \left. - |a_m a_n a_p| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| |a_l x_3| |a_l x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_l x_1|} + \dots \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This is to be reduced just as in the case of two indices, viz. expand 30, so that the first line becomes

$$31. \quad \begin{aligned} & \{ |a_n a_p| |x_2 x_3 x_4| |a_n x_1| |a_p x_1| \dots |a_l x_1| |a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_l x_2| \dots |a_l x_4| \} \\ & \times \{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4| - |x_3 x_4 x_1| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4| |a_m x_1| + \dots \\ & - |x_1 x_2 x_3| |a_m x_1| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| \} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

There are three more terms similar to this to be obtained by simply advancing certain of the subscripts. The remaining three lines in 30 are to be expanded in a similar manner, and then the terms which have been introduced will disappear by aid of equations 28 and 29. The right-hand side of 30 is now easily reduced by aid of the following identities:

$$32. \quad \begin{aligned} & |x_2 x_3 x_4| - |x_3 x_4 x_1| + |x_4 x_1 x_2| - |x_1 x_2 x_3| = 0, \\ & (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_3 x_4 x_1| - (x_3 + x_4 + x_1) |x_4 x_1 x_2| \\ & + (x_4 + x_1 + x_2) |x_1 x_2 x_3| - (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) |x_2 x_3 x_4| = 0, \\ & (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_3 x_4 x_1| - (x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_1 + x_1 x_3) |x_4 x_1 x_2| \\ & + (x_4 x_1 + x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_4) |x_1 x_2 x_3| - (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1) |x_2 x_3 x_4| = 0, \\ & x_2 x_3 x_4 |x_2 x_3 x_4| - x_3 x_4 x_1 |x_3 x_4 x_1| + x_4 x_1 x_2 |x_4 x_1 x_2| - x_1 x_2 x_3 |x_1 x_2 x_3| = -|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|. \end{aligned}$$



A similar group of identities may easily be written down for the general case. Using these last identities and taking the four functions

$$P_{kim}^2, P_{kin}^2, P_{kjp}^2, P_{kjq}^2,$$

we can, by multiplying the first by  $A$ , the second by  $B$ , etc., and taking the sum, eliminate the radicals  $\sqrt{R(x_1)R(x_2)}$ ,  $\sqrt{R(x_1)R(x_3)}$ , etc. Forming this sum it is only necessary to show that

$$39. \quad A|a_m a_p a_q| + B|a_n a_p a_q| + C|a_p a_q a_m| + D|a_p a_q a_n| = 0;$$

and this equation of condition is at once seen to be satisfied by the values

$$39'. \quad A = |a_n a_p a_q|, \quad B = -|a_p a_q a_m|, \quad C = |a_p a_q a_n|, \quad D = -|a_m a_n a_p|.$$

We have now

$$40. \quad \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |a_n a_p a_q| P_{kim}^2 - |a_p a_q a_m| P_{kin}^2 + |a_p a_q a_n| P_{kjp}^2 - |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kjq}^2 = \right. \\ \left. |a_n a_p a_q| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_1 x_2| |a_1 x_3| |a_1 x_4| |a_m x_2| |a_m x_3| |a_m x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_1 x_1| |a_m x_1|} + \dots \right] \right. \\ - |a_p a_q a_m| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_1 x_2| |a_1 x_3| |a_1 x_4| |a_n x_2| |a_n x_3| |a_n x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_1 x_1| |a_n x_1|} + \dots \right] \\ + |a_p a_q a_n| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_1 x_2| |a_1 x_3| |a_1 x_4| |a_p x_2| |a_p x_3| |a_p x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_1 x_1| |a_p x_1|} + \dots \right] \\ \left. - |a_m a_n a_p| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{|a_k x_2| |a_k x_3| |a_k x_4| |a_1 x_2| |a_1 x_3| |a_1 x_4| |a_q x_2| |a_q x_3| |a_q x_4|}{|a_k x_1| |a_1 x_1| |a_q x_1|} + \dots \right] \right\}.$$

This may be briefly written in the form

$$41. \quad \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} \left\{ |a_n a_p a_q| P_{kim}^2 - |a_p a_q a_m| P_{kin}^2 + |a_p a_q a_n| P_{kjp}^2 - |a_m a_n a_p| P_{kjq}^2 = \right. \\ \left. |a_n a_p a_q| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{[a_k, a_1, a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4]}{[a_k, a_1, a_m][x_1]} + \dots \right] \right. \\ - |a_p a_q a_m| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{[a_k, a_1, a_n][x_2, x_3, x_4]}{[a_k, a_1, a_n][x_1]} + \dots \right] \\ + |a_p a_q a_n| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{[a_k, a_1, a_p][x_2, x_3, x_4]}{[a_k, a_1, a_p][x_1]} + \dots \right] \\ \left. - |a_m a_n a_p| \left[ |x_2 x_3 x_4|^2 R(x_1) \frac{[a_k, a_1, a_q][x_2, x_3, x_4]}{[a_k, a_1, a_q][x_1]} + \dots \right] \right\}$$

Expanding this just as in the case of two indices and the case of equation 30, we have for the first line on the right-hand side of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_n a_p a_q| |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_n, a_p, a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1][a_k, a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4] \\ & \times \{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4] - |x_3 x_4 x_1| [a_m][x_3, x_4, x_1] \\ & + |x_4 x_1 x_2| [a_m][x_4, x_1, x_2] - |x_1 x_2 x_3| [a_m][x_1, x_2, x_3] \} \\ & + \text{three similar terms.} \end{aligned}$$

The remaining three lines of 41 are to be expanded in the same manner, and then it will at once be seen that the extra terms which have been introduced

will vanish on account of the relations 39 and 39'. Consider now the terms containing the factor  $R(x_1)$ : they have obviously the common factor

$$|x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1] \cdot [a_k, a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

and the remaining factor is

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_n a_p a_q| [a_n, a_p, a_q][x_1] - |a_p a_q a_m| [a_p, a_q, a_m][x_1] \\ & + |a_p a_q a_n| [a_p, a_q, a_n][x_1] - |a_m a_n a_p| [a_m, a_n, a_p][x_1] =, \text{ say } K. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding  $K$  and using the identities 32 and 33, we have

$$42. \quad K = -|a_m a_n a_p a_q|.$$

The first line on the right-hand side of equations 40 or 41 contains four terms, the first of which contains the factor already mentioned, viz.

$$43. \quad |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4] - |x_3 x_4 x_1| [a_m][x_3, x_4, x_1] + |x_4 x_1 x_2| [a_m][x_4, x_1, x_2] \\ - |x_1 x_2 x_3| [a_m][x_1, x_2, x_3];$$

the factor in each of the remaining terms is derived from this by changing  $m$  into  $n, p, q$  respectively. The same is true for the remaining three lines on the right-hand side of 40 or 41. This factor is independent of  $a_m$ , and the others not written down are equally independent of  $n, p$ , or  $q$ ; for writing 43 out in full it is

$$\begin{aligned} & |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_m x_2] [a_m x_3] [a_m x_4] - |x_3 x_4 x_1| [a_m x_3] [a_m x_4] [a_m x_1] \\ & + |x_4 x_1 x_2| [a_m x_4] [a_m x_1] [a_m x_2] - |x_1 x_2 x_3| [a_m x_1] [a_m x_2] [a_m x_3] \end{aligned}$$

and this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & a_m^2 \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| + a_m^2 \Sigma (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) |x_2 x_3 x_4| \\ & + a_m \Sigma (x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 + x_4 x_2) |x_2 x_3 x_4| + \Sigma x_2 x_3 x_4 |x_2 x_3 x_4|. \end{aligned}$$

The first three terms of this vanish by virtue of the identities 32, and the fourth term by 33 becomes  $-|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|$ .

The right-hand side of 40 and 41 thus contain the factor

$$-|a_m a_n a_p a_q| \cdot -|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4| = |a_m a_n a_p a_q| |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|.$$

The right-hand member of 41 takes now the form

$$\frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} |a_m a_n a_p a_q| \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1][a_k, a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4].$$

The  $\Sigma$  of course refers only to the cyclic permutations of the suffixes 1, 2, 3, 4.

We have now to determine the value of the quantity under the summation sign, viz.

$$\Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_r x_1] [a_s x_1] [a_t x_1] [a_k x_2] [a_l x_2] [a_k x_3] [a_l x_3] [a_k x_4] [a_l x_4] [a_r x_1] [a_s x_1] [a_t x_1]$$

in order to find the relation connecting the squares of  $P_{kim}, P_{kin}, P_{kjp}, P_{kjq}$ .

For greater generality and completeness, however, it is better to go back to equation 30 and reduce it, i. e. find the linear relation connecting the squares of  $P_{kim}, P_{kin}, P_{kjp}, P_{kjq}$ . It will then be seen that by making the substitution

$$\begin{vmatrix} k & l & m & n & p & q & r & s & t \\ l & m & n & p & q & r & s & t & k \end{vmatrix}$$



and eliminating one quantity, we arrive at what we might obtain directly by completing the reduction of equation 40.

The second factor in 31 is easily seen to be

$$44. \quad = -|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|,$$

and the same is true for the corresponding factors in the remaining three lines of 30. Now adding together the first factors of the four lines in 30, viz. those similar to the first factor in 31, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_n a_p| |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_n, a_p, \dots, a_t] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4] \\ & + |a_p a_m| |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_p, a_q, \dots, a_t, a_m] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4] \\ & + |a_m a_n| |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_m, a_p, \dots, a_t] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4]. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth line need not be written down, as its second factor is zero.

Adding these terms we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma \{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4] \\ & \times |a_n a_p| |a_n x_1| |a_p x_1| + |a_p a_m| |a_p x_1| |a_m x_1| + |a_m a_n| |a_m x_1| |a_n x_1| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$|a_n a_p| + |a_p a_m| + |a_m a_n| = 0,$$

$$(a_n + a_p) |a_n a_p| + (a_p + a_m) |a_p a_m| + (a_m + a_n) |a_m a_n| = 0,$$

and

$$a_n a_p |a_n a_p| + a_p a_m |a_p a_m| + a_m a_n |a_m a_n| = |a_m a_n a_p|,$$

so that the above reduces to

45.  $|a_m a_n a_p| \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4],$   
the summation referring to the subscripts 1, 2, 3, 4. Equation 30, or equation 31, becomes now, by taking into account 44 and 45,

$$|a_n a_p| P_{kln}^2 + |a_p a_m| P_{kln}^2 + |a_m a_n| P_{kln}^2 - |a_m a_n a_p| P_{ki}^2 =$$

$$46. \quad \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|} |a_m a_n a_p| \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4].$$

For brevity write as before

$$\Sigma a_q = \lambda, \Sigma a_q a_r = \mu, \Sigma a_q a_r a_s = \nu, a_q a_r a_s a_t = \pi,$$

the summations extending over the subscripts  $q, r, s, t$ . We have now

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t] [x_1] [a_k] [x_2, x_3, x_4] [a_t] [x_2, x_3, x_4] \\ & = \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| (\pi - x_1 \nu + x_1^2 \mu - x_1^3 \lambda + x_1^4) \{ (a_k^3 - a_k^2 (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + a_k (x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4) - x_2 x_3 x_4) \\ & \quad \times (a_t^3 + a_t^2 (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + a_t (x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4) - x_2 x_3 x_4) \} \\ & = \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| (\pi - x_1 \nu + x_1^2 \mu - x_1^3 \lambda + x_1^4) \{ a_k^3 a_t^3 - a_k^2 a_t^2 (a_k + a_t) (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) \\ & \quad + a_k^2 a_t^2 (x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^2 + a_k a_t (a_k^2 + a_t^2) (x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4) \\ & \quad - a_k a_t (a_k + a_t) (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) (x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4) + a_k a_t (x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4)^2 \\ & \quad + (a_k^2 + a_t^2) (x_2 + x_3 + x_4) x_2 x_3 x_4 - (a_k + a_t) (x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_4) x_2 x_3 x_4 \\ & \quad - (a_k^3 + a_t^3) x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

If instead of eliminating  $P_{kl}^2$  between 48 and 49 we had eliminated  $P_l^2$ , we would arrive at a linear relation connecting

$$P_{klm}^2, P_{kln}^2, P_{klp}^2, P_{klq}^2, P_{klr}^2, P_k^2,$$

say

$$51. \quad AP_{klm}^2 + BP_{kln}^2 + CP_{klp}^2 + DP_{klq}^2 + EP_{klr}^2 + FP_k^2 = 0.$$

Now effect upon 50 the substitution

$$\begin{vmatrix} m & n & p & q & r & s & t \\ n & p & q & r & s & t & m \end{vmatrix} = \text{say } \Omega,$$

then we will obviously obtain a relation of the form,

$$52. \quad A'P_{kln}^2 + B'P_{klp}^2 + C'P_{klq}^2 + D'P_{klr}^2 + E'P_k^2 + F'P_k^2 = 0.$$

Eliminating  $P_{kl}^2$  between 51 and 52 and we have a linear relation connecting five of the  $P$ -functions possessing triple indices, and one possessing a single index, viz., a relation of the form

$$53. \quad A''P_{klm}^2 + B''P_{kln}^2 + C''P_{klp}^2 + D''P_{klq}^2 + E''P_{klr}^2 + F''P_k^2 = 0.$$

Or, if  $P_k^2$  had been eliminated, we would have a relation connecting five  $P$ -functions with triple indices, and one with a double index, viz.

$$54. \quad A'''P_{klm}^2 + B'''P_{kln}^2 + C'''P_{klp}^2 + D'''P_{klq}^2 + E'''P_{klr}^2 + F'''P_{kl}^2 = 0.$$

Effecting the substitution  $\Omega$  upon 54 and there results an equation of the form,

$$55. \quad A^{iv}P_{kln}^2 + B^{iv}P_{klp}^2 + C^{iv}P_{klq}^2 + D^{iv}P_{klr}^2 + E^{iv}P_{kl}^2 + F^{iv}P_{kl}^2 = 0.$$

Now finally eliminating  $P_{kl}^2$  between 54 and 55 and we arrive at a linear relation connecting the squares of six  $P$ -functions with triple indices, viz.

$$56. \quad A^vP_{kln}^2 + B^vP_{klp}^2 + C^vP_{klq}^2 + D^vP_{klr}^2 + E^vP_{kl}^2 + F^vP_{kl}^2 = 0.$$

Of course, the substitution  $\Omega$  performed upon 56 would give

$$57. \quad A^{vi}P_{kln}^2 + B^{vi}P_{klp}^2 + C^{vi}P_{klq}^2 + D^{vi}P_{klr}^2 + E^{vi}P_{kl}^2 + F^{vi}P_{kl}^2 = 0.$$

We arrive thus at the conclusion that the ten functions

$$P_k, P_l, P_{kl}, P_{klm}, P_{kln}, P_{klp}, P_{klq}, P_{klr}, P_{kls}, P_{klt}$$

form a group such that selecting any five of them the square of any one of the remaining five is linearly expressible in terms of the squares of the chosen five.

There are in all 36 such groups, and they are given in the following table:

$k$	$l$	$kl$	$klm$	$kln$	$klp$	$klq$	$klr$	$kls$	$klt$
$k$	$m$	$km$	$kml$	$kmn$	$kmp$	$kmq$	$kmr$	$kms$	$kmt$
$k$	$n$	$kn$	$knl$	$knm$	$knp$	$knq$	$knr$	$kns$	$knt$
$k$	$p$	$kp$	$kpl$	$kpm$	$kpn$	$kpq$	$kpr$	$kps$	$kpt$
$k$	$q$	$kq$	$kql$	$kqm$	$kqn$	$kqp$	$kqr$	$kqs$	$kqt$
$k$	$r$	$kr$	$krl$	$krm$	$k rn$	$k rp$	$k rq$	$k rs$	$k rt$
$k$	$s$	$ks$	$ksl$	$ksm$	$ksn$	$k sp$	$k sq$	$k sr$	$k st$

k	t	kt	ktl	ktm	ktn	ktp	ktq	ltr	kts
l	m	lm	lmk	lmn	lmp	lmq	lmr	lms	lmt
l	n	ln	lnk	lnm	lnp	lnq	lnr	lns	lnt
l	p	lp	lpk	lpm	lpy	lpq	lpr	lps	lpt
l	q	lq	lqk	lqm	lqn	lqp	lqr	lqs	lqt
l	r	lr	lrk	lrn	lrp	lrq	lrs	lrt	
l	s	ls	lsk	lsn	lsp	lsq	lsr	lst	
l	t	lt	ltk	ltm	ltu	ltq	ltr	lts	
m	n	mn	mnl	mnp	mnp	mnp	mnr	mns	mnt
m	p	mp	mpk	mpl	mpn	mpq	mpr	mps	mpt
m	q	mq	mqk	mql	mqn	mqp	mqr	mqs	mqt
m	r	mr	mrk	mrl	mru	mrp	mrq	mrs	mrt
m	s	ms	msk	msl	msn	mso	msq	msr	mst
m	t	mt	mtk	mtl	mtu	mtp	mtq	mtr	mts
n	p	np	npk	npl	npn	npq	npr	nps	npt
n	q	nq	nqk	nql	nqn	nqp	nqr	nqs	nqt
n	r	nr	nrk	nrl	nru	nrp	nrq	nrs	nrt
n	s	ns	nsk	nsi	nsn	nso	nsq	nsr	nst
n	t	nt	ntk	ntl	ntu	ntp	ntq	ntr	nts
p	q	pq	pqk	pql	pqn	pqr	pqs	pqt	
p	r	pr	prk	pri	pru	prq	prs	pri	
p	s	ps	psk	psi	psu	psq	psr	pst	
p	t	pt	ptk	ptl	ptu	ptq	ptr	pts	
q	r	qr	qrk	qri	qru	qrp	qrs	qri	
q	s	qs	qsk	qsi	qsu	qsp	qsr	qst	
q	t	qt	qtk	qtl	qtu	qtp	qtr	qts	
r	s	rs	rsk	rsl	rsu	rsp	rsq	rst	
r	t	rt	rtk	rtl	rtu	rtq	rtq	rts	
s	t	st	stk	stl	stu	stp	stq	str	

Consider now the functions with four indices and find values of  $A, B, C, D$ , such that the radicals  $\sqrt{R(x_1)R(x_2)}$ , etc. shall vanish in the sum

$$AP_{klmn}^2 - BP_{klmp}^2 + CP_{klmq}^2 - DP_{klmr}^2.$$

The coefficient of  $\sqrt{R(x_1)R(x_2)}$  in this sum is, leaving out the common factor  $|x_2 x_3 x_4| |x_3 x_4 x_1|$ ,

$$A[a_k, a_l, a_m, a_n][x_3][a_k, a_l, a_m, a_n][x_4] - B[a_k, a_l, a_m, a_p][x_3][a_k, a_l, a_m, a_p][x_4] + C[a_k, a_l, a_m, a_q][x_3][a_k, a_l, a_m, a_q][x_4] - D[a_k, a_l, a_m, a_r][x_3][a_k, a_l, a_m, a_r][x_4] = 0.$$

Taking out the common factor

$$[a_k, a_l, a_m][x_3][a_k, a_l, a_m][x_4],$$

and this becomes

$$A|a_n x_3| |a_n x_4| - B|a_p x_3| |a_p x_4| + C|a_q x_3| |a_q x_4| - D|a_r x_3| |a_r x_4| = 0,$$

giving

$$A = |a_p a_q a_r|, \quad B = |a_q a_r a_n|,$$

$$C = |a_r a_n a_p|, \quad D = |a_n a_p a_q|.$$

Introducing here the values

$$R(x_1) = [a_k, a_l, a_m, a_n, a_p, a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1], \text{ etc.}$$

we ought to be able to show that the squares of any six of the functions whose indices are  $kl \quad lm \quad mk \quad klm \quad klmn \quad klmp \quad klmq \quad klmr \quad klms \quad klmt$

are connected by a linear relation. We would then have a table of 84 groups similar to the above, and such that the squares of any six functions in a given group are connected by a linear relation. In the case of quadruple indices there would also be a second table containing 126 groups, of which

$$klm \quad lmn \quad mnk \quad nkl \quad klmn \quad pqrs \quad qrst \quad rstp \quad stpq \quad tpqr$$

is the first, and the squares of any six of these functions should also be connected by a linear relation.

We would thus have in all 256 groups giving linear relations between the squares of six  $P$ -functions. There would be 840 relations of this kind, but not all, of course, aszygetic.

These 256 groups of ten functions each might be called the 256 decads, and they would obviously correspond to the 16 Kummer hexads in the case of the double theta-functions, viz. between the squares of any four theta-functions of a Kummer hexad there exists a linear relation, and between the squares of any six of the  $P$ -functions belonging to a given decad there exists a linear relation, the same kind of relation will obviously exist between the squares of the six corresponding quadruple theta-functions. We have thus hexads of double theta-functions of which the squares of any four are connected by a linear relation; octads of triple theta-functions of which the squares of any five are connected by a linear relation; decads of quadruple theta-functions of which the squares of any six are connected by a linear relation, and in general  $2(p+1)$ -ads of  $p$ -tuple theta-functions of which the squares of any  $p+2$  are connected by a linear relation. It seems highly probable that this generalization is true, but I have not as yet been able to prove it.

In order to show the linear relations between the squares of the  $P$ -functions with quadruple indices, we will begin with the 84 groups, of which

$kl\ lm\ mk\ klm\ klmn\ klmp\ klmq\ klmr\ klms\ klmt$

is the first. Take the functions

$$P_{klm}, P_{klmn}, P_{klmp}, P_{klmq},$$

and find the values of  $A, B, C, D$ , so that the radicals  $\sqrt{R(x_1)R(x_2)}$ , etc., shall vanish in the sum  $AP_{klm}^2 + BP_{klmn}^2 + CP_{klmp}^2 + DP_{klmq}^2$ .

Dropping out a common factor

$$[a_k][x_3, x_4][a_l][x_3, x_4][a_m][x_3, x_4]$$

the necessary condition is easily seen to be

$$A + B[a_n][x_3, x_4] + C[a_p][x_3, x_4] + D[a_q][x_3, x_4] = 0;$$

this is equivalent to

$$B + C + D = 0,$$

$$a_n B + a_p C + a_q D = 0,$$

$$A + a_n^2 B + a_p^2 C + a_q^2 D = 0,$$

and these are easily seen to be satisfied by the values

$$A = -|a_n a_p a_q|, B = |a_p a_q|, C = |a_q a_n|, D = |a_n a_p|.$$

Introducing these values in the above sum we have

$$-|a_n a_p a_q| P_{klm}^2 + |a_p a_q| P_{klmn}^2 + |a_q a_n| P_{klmp}^2 + |a_n a_p| P_{klmq}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ -|a_n a_p a_q| [x_2 x_3 x_4]^2 [a_n, a_p, a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1][a_k][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4] + \dots \\ & + |a_p a_q| [x_2 x_3 x_4]^2 [a_p, a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1][a_k][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_n][x_2, x_3, x_4] + \dots \\ & + |a_q a_n| [x_2 x_3 x_4]^2 [a_q, a_r, a_s, a_t, a_n][x_1] \dots \dots \dots [x_2, x_3, x_4] + \dots \\ & + |a_n a_p| [x_2 x_3 x_4]^2 [a_r, a_s, a_t, a_n, a_p][x_1] \dots \dots \dots [x_2, x_3, x_4] + \dots \} \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of this equation may be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|^2} [ |a_p a_q| |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_r, a_s, a_t, a_p, a_q][x_1][a_k][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4] \\ & \times \{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_n][x_2, x_3, x_4] - |x_3 x_4 x_1| [a_n][x_3, x_4, x_1] + |x_4 x_1 x_2| [a_n][x_4, x_1, x_2] \\ & - |x_1 x_2 x_3| [a_n][x_1, x_2, x_3] \} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

+ (two similar terms containing  $[a_q, a_n][x_1]$ ,  $[a_n, a_p][x_1]$  respectively)

$$- |a_n a_p a_q| [a_r, a_s, a_t, a_n, a_p, a_q][x_1][a_k][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

$\times \{ |x_2 x_3 x_4| - |x_3 x_4 x_1| + |x_4 x_1 x_2| - |x_1 x_2 x_3| \} + \dots$

The omitted terms are easily supplied by symmetry. Now

$$\Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| = 0, \quad \Sigma |x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_n][x_2, x_3, x_4] = |x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4|$$

The fourth line of the last equation vanishes and the first terms of the first three

$$|x_2 x_3 x_4| [a_r, a_s, a_t][x_1][a_k][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_l][x_2, x_3, x_4][a_m][x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

P 300