TTU UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH CONFERENCE RESEARCH PRESENTATION RUBRIC

Abstract #: _____ Reviewer name: _____

Please rate the presentation utilizing the 10-point mastery level scale below. Please score in whole numbers only.

Criteria	Mastery Level					
8	Beginning: 1 – 2 Research question/problem not defined; presentation lacks discussion of background/theory, methodology, and results/conclusions/interpretations.	Developing 3 – 5 Research question/problem not well- defined; discussion of background/ theory, methodology, results/conclusions/interpretations wer insubstantial or lacked clarity.	Proficient 6 – 8 Research question/problem adequately defined; discussion of background/ theory, methodology, and eresults/conclusions/interpretations are sufficient and generally clear.	Mastery 9 – 10 Research question/problem well defined; discussion of background/ theory, methodology, and results/conclusions/interpretations are substantial and clear.		
	Research Design & Content Score:/10					
	Comments:					
 B. Articulating Impact Each presenter should explain how their research has the POTENTIAL to meaningfully make an impact. Impact may relate to the cultural or societal value of their work. Impact may look like opportunities to commercialize work. Impact may relate to advancing the state of knowledge in a particular field of research 	Beginning: 1 – 2 Impact on the field/future research, benefit to society, and/or potential for commercialization was not addressed.	Developing 3 $-$ 5 Impact on the field/future research, benefit to society, and/or potential for commercialization could be better clarified.	Proficient 6 – 8 Impact on the field/future research, benefit to society, and/or potential for commercialization are generally clear.	Mastery 9 – 10 Impact on the field/future research, benefit to society, and/or potential for commercialization are clearly addressed.		
	Articulating Impact Score:/10					
	Comments:					
Reviewers are asked to evaluate how						
effectively presenters make this case— not the perceived merit of the claimed						
impact.						

Criteria	Mastery Level						
C. Visual Aid (e.g. poster, slides)	Beginning: 1 – 2 Visual aid does not effectively deliver message; contains many errors; is extremely unappealing or difficult to follow.	Visual aid somewhat supports message; contains several errors; is somewhat	Proficient 6 – 8 Visual aid adequately supports message; contains few errors; is fairly appealing and easy to follow.	Mastery 9 – 10 Visual aid well represents and supports the message; contains no errors; is attractive and easy to follow.			
	Visual Aid Score:/10 Comments:						
			-				
D. Presentation Quality	Beginning: 1 – 2 Low volume or energy; pace extremely slow or fast; poor diction; language improper or inappropriate for audience distracting gestures or posture; unprofessional appearance	More volume/energy needed at times; pace too slow or fast; some improper or inappropriate language used; some distracting gestures or posture;	mostly appropriate language used; few				
	Presentation Quality Score:/1 Comments:	10					
This presenter should be considered for an outstanding presenter award. Yes No							
Any Additional Comments:							
		/40					